Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5881/T	Alison Morrow	96C haverstock Hill NW32BD	17/11/2016 15:46:36	COMMNT	I live and own Flat C, 96 Haverstock Hill and I am desperate for the Elm in our front garden to be removed and the sycamore at 94 Haverstock Hill to be removed. There have been numerous previous applications submitted to the council that have identified the tree as the cause of movement in the property and each time we have been told by the council that the cause of the subsidence must be something else.
					I've seen the terrible damage the seasonal movement has caused my neighbours in the basement flat and despite repainting my flat in very dark colours yearly I've begun to notice small hairline cracks up the walls that I believe must also be a result of subsidence caused by the tree (i live on the top two floors). I love my flat, it has been my home since 2007, but it is also my biggest asset and my financial nest egg. I have watched with dismay as Camden Council have continually refused to take out the trees and felt the subsequent drop in the value of our flats.
					After years of monitoring and after my neighbours have been subjected to having boreholes dug in their bedroom, and the elm roots found to extend 4 metres below ground (which we told Camden Council would be the case months ago) I am asking that the Council sees sense and the trees will be removed.
					I am asking that the council consider Camden residents ahead of a tree, the argument that a tree in small private front garden is something for the borough to enjoy and this should outweigh the serious damage to the residents right to peaceful enjoyment of their private properties is nonsense, especially when the elm is an American Hybrid and not even an English tree. We will plant another tree that grows slower and won't damage the foundations of the house.
					All best Al

Printed on: 18/11/2016

09:05:11