Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/5190/P	Tahir Hussain Babar	26 Phoenix House 104-110 Charing Cross Road	17/11/2016 20:47:57	OBJ	I disagree with this planning proposal. As an owner of one of the properties, the suggested penthouses on floors 6 and 7 will have a significant impact on this Grade II Listed Building.
		London WC2H 0JN			Additionally, the building noise will be unacceptable. We have had "improvements" on the area for over 10 years now, and living in the block has been like living in a construction site for over a decade !
					There also seem to be no detailed assessment of the potential damage to the structure and fabric of my apartment on the top floor, and as the building is 90 years old, it was never constructed to support such alterations.

					Printed on: 18/11/2016 09:
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
Application 140: 2016/5190/P	Consultees Ivalle: Meredith Whitten on behalf of the Covent Garden Community Association	Consultees Addr. Covent Garden Community Association 42 Earlham Street WC2H 9LA	17/11/2016 16:31:57		The CGCA objects to the proposed two-storey roof extension because of its design and its impact on the conservation area, streetscape and heritage assets. The proposed roof extension is out of keeping with the character of the Denmark Street Conservation Area and the local streetscape because of its design (including the dominance of glass on the extension) increased height and bulk. We disagree with the applicant's assertion that the proposals "maintain balance and harmony with the original host building" (D&A, p. 7). Design These proposals fail to preserve or enhance the historic nature and unique character of the conservation area (CSS, CS9, CS14). According to DP24, careful consideration must be given to the characteristics of a development site, features of local distinctiveness, and the wider context in order to achieve high-quality development which integrates into its surroundings. These proposals fail to do so. DP24 further states that the character and proportions of the existing building, where alterations and extensions are proposed, must be respected. Again, these proposals fail to do so. In DP24.13, the Council says that "overly large extensions can disfigure a building and upset its proportions." That is the case with these proposals. Camden's planning policy is clear that the Council expects development to retain the distinctive characters of the conservation area and new development must contribute positively to this. DP25 specifies that the Council "will only grant planning permission for development in Camden's conservation areas that preserves and enhances the special character or appearance of the area." (Also see CPGI 2.6 and CPGI 2.9.) The proposed extension dramatically changes the scale and proportion of the existing building, which affects it relationship with the streetscape and the surrounding buildings, and alterations and extensions, should respect the character and appearance of the local area and neighbouring buildings." Impact on streetscape Whilst the footprint of the original
					Descriptions the outdoor ements made the CCCA recognizes that while tempetity made on add

Regarding the outdoor amenity space, the CGCA recognises that, whilst amenity space can add

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 18/11/2016 09:05:11 Response:
					significantly to residents' quality of life, particularly in a densely developed area such as Covent Garden, this must be balanced with the impact on neighbours. This is acknowledged by the Council in CS5e-f, DP24 and DP26. DP24.23 states that, "the densely built up nature of the borough means that the provision of private amenity space can be challenging, and the Council will require that the residential amenity of neighbours be preserved, in accordance with policy DP26 and CS5." The proposed balcony/roof terrace would cause significant nuisance and harm to the amenity of neighbours. This includes overlooking and loss of privacy, noise, light spillage and security, all of which are outlined as impacts from balconies and terraces in CPG1 5.23.
2016/5190/P	Michael Lee	Flat 1 Phoenix House 104 Charing Cross Road London London	17/11/2016 13:44:09	OBJ	I strongly object to this application. It will cause extra noise and environmental pollution to an already very densely populated area. It will deprive existing residences of a safe open air amenity space. Extension will not fit within an existing historical structure and cause a detrimental effect on the character of the adjacent Grade 2 listed Phoenix Theatre. This building was designed entirely for a collection of studio flats,not for extension to 2 bedroom flats. Granting of application will set a very dangerous precedence to future buildings in this over crowded area. The residences in this block of flats already suffers from existing everyday noises ,congestion and pollution. It is already at breaking point. Any further addition of use in this saturated building will cause an everlasting detrimental effect on the quality of our life's