

# SITE INVESTIGATION FACTUAL REPORT

Report No:	246119
Client:	Crawford Claims Management
Site:	4B Hampstead Hill Gardens, Hampstead
Client Ref:	SU1404491-
Date of Visit:	13/02/2015



Home Emergency Response - Subsidence Investigation - Drainage Services – Crack & Level Monitoring – Property Video Surveys

Unit E2 First Floor Suite, Boundary Court Willow Farm Business Park, Castle Donington Leicestershire, DE74 2NN 0843 2272362

 $\bowtie$  enquiries@cet-uk.com

www.cet-uk.com

CET is the trading name of CET Structures Ltd Registered in England No. 02527130









Bor	ehole No:	Sheet: 1 of 1									
		Job No:	246119	ЭЕ	Site:		4b Ha	umpstead Hill Gardens, London			
Boring Method:     Hand Auger       Diameter:     80mm     Coordinates:					Date: 13/02/2015 Ground Level		Work Carried C		Craw	Crawford Claims Management Ltd	
Depth (m)	Γ	Description of Strata		Thick- ness (m)	Legend	Sample	т Туре	est Result	Depth (m)	Field Records/Comments	Depth to water (m)
0.55	As Trial Pit 1			0.55						Roots of live & dead	
0.33	MADE GROU brown/orange, s clinker & brick	ND: Medium comp silty clay with occa fragments.	oact, dark sional	0.25						appearance to 2mm diameter to 2m	
	Firm, mid brow CLAY with par sand.	n/orange, grey veir rtings of orange silt	ned, silty & fine	0.70	x  	D	v	70 68	1.00		
1.50					x	D	v	140+ 140+	1.50		
	Very stiff, mid silty CLAY wit fine sand with o	brown/orange, grey h partings of orang occasional crystals.	veined, e silt &	0.80	  	D	V	140+ 140+	2.00	No roots observed below 2m	
2.30 Remar	Borehold Obstruction - T	e ends at 2.3m boo dense to hand a	uger			Kov	ТЪТ	D. Too	Dense 1	o Drive	
Remarks: Borehole dry and open on completion						<i>Key:</i> D Sr B Bu W W	T.D.T. nall dis alk distu ater sar	D. Too turbed sa turbed sat	Dense t ample nple	o Drive J Jar sample V Pilcon Vane (kPa) M Mackintosh Probe	
Logged: SP Checked: SE Typed by: D						Scale:		NTS		Weather: DRY	

Bor	ehole No:	Sheet: 1 of 1 Job No: 246119E		ЭЕ	Site: 4b H		4b Ha	mpstead Hill Gardens, London			
Boring	, Method:	Date:	13/02/2	2015							
Diame	ter: 80mm	Coordinates:		Ground I mOD <sup>.</sup>	Level		Work Ca	arried	Crawf	ford Claims Management Ltd	
Depth (m)	E	Description of Strata		Thick- ness (m)	Legend	Sample	Tes Type	st Result	Depth (m)	Field Records/Comments	Depth to water (m)
	As Trial Pit 2			1.16							
1.16 1.20	Firm, mid brow CLAY with par sand.	m/orange, grey veir tings of orange silt	ned, silty & fine	0.04	x					Ropots of live & dead appearance to 1mm diameter to 1.4m	
					x  _x	D	V	86 88	1.50	Hair & fibrous roots to 2.6m	
	Stiff, mid brow CLAY with par sand with occas	n/orange, grey vein tings of orange silt sional crystals.	ed, silty & fine	1.80	X.	D	V	92 98	2.00		
					x 	D	V	120 116	2.50	No roots observed below 2.6m	
3.00	Borehole	e ends at 3m			_	D	V	110 112	3.00		
Remar	Remarks: Borehole dry and open on completion					<i>Key:</i> D Sr B Bu W W	T.D.T.D nall distu alk distur ater samp	. Too l rbed sa bed sar ble	Dense t imple nple	o Drive J Jar sample V Pilcon Vane (kPa) M Mackintosh Probe	
Logged: SP Checked: SE Typed by:						Scale:	N	TS		Weather: DRY	

Laboratory Testing Results

Our Ref:

Location : 4B, Hampstead Hill Gardens, NW3

Work carried Crawford Claims Management

246119

out for:

TP/BH	Sample Ref	Type	Moisture Content	Soil Fraction	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Liquidity Index	Modified Plasticity	Soil Class	Filter Paper Contact	Soil Sample	In situ Shear Vane	Organic Content	pH Value	Sulphate	Content (1)	Class
No	(m)	Type	content	> 0.425mm				index (-)	Index	61055	Time	Suction	Strength	Content	vulue	so3	so <sub>4</sub>	61455
			(%)[1]	(%)[2]	(%)[3]	(%)[4]	(%)[5]	[5]	(%)[6]	[7]	(h) [8]	(kPa)	(kPa) <i>[9]</i>	(%)[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	[14]
1	0.25(11/5)	D	26	22	4.9	20	20	0.20	22	CI	N-4 C	4-1-1- <b>F</b>	Coostion T					
1	0.35(0/8)	D	26	22	48	20	28	0.20	22	CI	Not Su	table For	Suction 1	esting (M	ade Gro	una)		
	1.0	D	26	<5							168	105	69					
	1.5	D	23	<5	73	24	49	-0.01	49	CV	168	624	> 140					
	2.0	D	28	<5	75	25	50	0.07	50	CV	168	589	> 140					
Test Me	thods / Notes				[9] Values of shear	strength were det	ermined in situ by	CET using						Kev				
[1] BS 137 [2] Estimat	7 : Part 2 : 1990, Test	No 3.2 neasured			a Pilcon hand v	ane or Geonor va	ne (GV).	-						D	Disturbed sam	ple (small)		
[3] BS 137	77 : Part 2 : 1990, Test	No 4.4			[11] BS 1377 : Part	2 : 1990, Test No	9							U	Undisturbed sam	ample		
[4] BS 137	77 : Part 2 : 1990, Test	No 5.3			[12] BS 1377 : Part	3 : 1990, Test No	5.6							W	Groundwater	sample		
[5] BS 13. [6] BRE D	Digest 240 : 1990, Test	INU 3.4			[13] SO <sub>4</sub> = 1.2 x SO [14] BRE Special E	93 Digest One (Concr	ete in Aggressive (	Ground) August 2	005					ENP U/S	Essentially No Underside of 1	on-Plastic by in: Foundation	spection	

Date Sampled: 13/02/2015

Date Tested :

16/02/2015 Date Received :

16/02/2015

Date of Report : 23/02/2015

[6] BRE Digest 240 : 1993

[7] BS 5930 : 1981 : Figure 31 - Plasticity Chart for the classification

of fine soils

[8] In-house method S9a adapted from BRE IP 4/93

[14] BRE Special Digest One (Concrete in Aggressive Ground) August 2005

Note that if the SO<sub>4</sub> content falls into the DS-4 or DS-5 class, it would be prudent to consider the sample as falling

into the DS-4m or DS-5m class respectively unless water soluble magnesium testing is undertaken to prove otherwise

Our Ref : 246119

# Laboratory Testing Results

16/02/2015

16/02/2015

23/02/2015

Date Received :

Date of Report :

Date Tested :

Location : 4B, Hampstead Hill Gardens, NW3

Work carried Crawford Claims Management

out for:

Sulphate Content
(g/l) Class
[12] $[13]$ $[14]$
mple (small)
sample
r sample
Jon-Plastic by inspection
<b>f</b> U U U I a K

[7] BS 5930 : 1981 : Figure 31 - Plasticity Chart for the classification of fine soils

[8] In-house method S9a adapted from BRE IP 4/93

Note that if the  $SO_4$  content falls into the DS-4 or DS-5 class, it would be prudent to consider the sample as falling

into the DS-4m or DS-5m class respectively unless water soluble magnesium testing is undertaken to prove otherwise



### Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 ( after Driscoll, 1983 ) should only be applied to London Clay ( and similarly overconsolidated clays ) at shallow depths.

# Note

When shown, the theoretical equilibrium suction profiles are based on conventional assumptions associated with London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths. Note that the sample disturbance component is dependant on the method of sampling and any subsequent recompaction. The above plots show this to be 100kPa which is the value suggested by the BRE on the basis of their limited number of tests on recompacted samples. This may or may not be appropriate in this instance and judgement should be exercised.

## Moisture Content and Shear Strength Profiles Date Sampled : 246119 4B, Hampstead Hill Gardens, NW3 Date Received :

Crawford Claims Management Work carried

Our Ref :

Location :

Note : Unless specifically noted the profiles have not been related to a site datum.

13/02/2015 16/02/2015

16/02/2015 23/02/2015

160

Date Tested :



## Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 (after Driscoll, 1983) should only be applied to London Clay ( and similarly overconsolidated clays ) at shallow depths.

Note

Unless otherwise stated, values of Shear Strength were determined in situ by CET using a Pilcon Hand Vane the calibration of which is limited to a maximum reading of 140 kPa.



### Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 ( after Driscoll, 1983 ) should only be applied to London Clay ( and similarly overconsolidated clays ) at shallow depths.

# Note

When shown, the theoretical equilibrium suction profiles are based on conventional assumptions associated with London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths. Note that the sample disturbance component is dependant on the method of sampling and any subsequent recompaction. The above plots show this to be 100kPa which is the value suggested by the BRE on the basis of their limited number of tests on recompacted samples. This may or may not be appropriate in this instance and judgement should be exercised.

<b>EPSL</b> European Plant Scie	ence Laboratory	Sheet: 1 of 1 Job No: 246119 Date: 19/02/2015 Order No: 670026 EPSL Ref: R11000	Site: 4B Hampstead Hill Gar Work carried out for: Crawford Claims MGM	dens, Hampstead, IT SUS
		Certificate	of Analysis	
The following work was c reference given as to the ty The results were as follow	ommissioned by CET or ypes of tree or shrub from 's -	n behalf of their client. Ro m which they may have or	bot samples were obtained in sealed pack riginated.	ets from the above site with no
Trial pit/ Borehole <u>number</u>	Root diameter ( <u>mm</u> )	T <u>fro</u> i	Result of starch test	
TP1 (USF)	3 mm		Carpinus spp. 5 roots	Positive
BH1 (to 2m)	2 mm		Carpinus spp. 5 roots	Positive
TP2 (USF)	1 mm		Carpinus spp.	Positive
TP2 (USF)	1 mm		Leguminosae spp. 3 roots	Positive
BH2 (to 1.4m)	<1 mm		Carpinus spp. 2 roots	Positive
BH2 (to 1.4m)	<1 mm		Leguminosae spp.	Positive

Carpinus spp. are hornbeams.

Leguminosae spp. include laburnum, Robinia (false acacia or locust), broom, the pagoda tree and the climber wisteria.

MDM

Address for correspondence: EPSL, Intec, Parc Menai, Bangor, Gwynedd, North Wales, LL57 4FG
Telephone: 01248 672 652
e-mail: lab@innovation-environmental.co.uk
Head of Laboratory Services : M D Mitchell B.Sc. (Hons), M.Phil.
Plant Anatomist : Dr G S Turner B.Sc. (Hons), M.Sc., Ph.D
Plant Anatomist : Dr D P Aebischer B.Sc. (Hons), M.Sc., Ph.D
Consultant: Dr M P Denne B.Sc. (Hons), M.Sc., Ph.D
Registered in England. No 3256771, Registered Office:Yarmouth House, 1300 Parkway, Solent Business Park, Hampshire, PO15 7AE

: Gordon McEwan	Crawford Claims Management	Client Ref: Si Job No.	U1404491 246119
	4B Hampstead Hill Gardens	Claim No: Date: 1	6-Feb-15
	ESTIMATE		
1	No recommendations required to the private drainage surveyed.		Amou
	Manhole 1 & the main run at the front of the property is shared, therefore owned by the water authority. Repairs may be the responsibility of the water authority.		
Notos			
Repairs to shared r	uns and off boundary pipe-work may be the responsibility of the water authority.	Total	£0.0
Condition Grade		plus VAT @20%	£0.0
A - Structurally sour B - Cracks and frac C - Structurally unse	nd with no leakage evident. tures observed. pund	Total + VAT	£0.0
	Quotation is binding only if accepted within 28 days from date of issue and is subject to ou The price qualification notes, stated on the drainage solutions schedule of rates,	r Standard Terms and Conditions apply to this quotation.	

Uno <u>MA</u> Ma	derground	d Drainage Report ETAILS Depth to 790r	Sheet: 1 of 2 Job No: 246119 Date: 13-Feb-15	Site: Work carried out for:	4B Hampstead Hill Gardens Crawford Claims Management <b>Condition</b> As built					
CC	TV Survey:	<u>:</u>								
1.	Drainage I	Run:								
	From manh Metres:	ole 1 run 1 to upstream - 1 Code: Observa	n (not shared) Surface Material/ Condition:							
	0.0 0.4 2.2 2.8 2.8 Water test	Start LR Line right LR Line right LU Line up FH Finish - r Nowhere to bun	eached U/S INT SV g	p	Paving for 1.5m then under building					
2	Drainage H	Run:								
	From manh Metres:	ole 1 run 2 to rain water g Code: Observa	ully 1 - 100mm clay tions:	surface wate	er - upstream (not shared) Surface Material/ Condition:					
	0.0StartPaving0.0WLWater level 5%1.0LULine up1.6FHFinish - reached RWG1Gully condition: As built									
Wa	<b>ter Test Gr</b> 0 - Ur 1 - He	ade: nable to fill eavy Loss	2 - Medium 3 - Slow Lo 4 - No Loss	Loss over 2 oss over 5 mi	minutes inutes					

Jn	derground	l Drainag	ge Report	Sheet:       2 of 2         Job No:       246119         Date:       13-Feb-15	Site: Work carried out for:	4B Hampstead Hill Gardens Crawford Claims Management				
3	Drainage F	tun:								
	From manh	ole 1 run 3 t	o upstream - 10	00mm clay surface	water - upstr	eam (shared off boundary) Surface Material/				
	Metres:	Code:	Observat	ions:		Condition:				
	0.0		Start			Paving for 1m				
	0.2	WL	Water leve	el 10%		then off boundary				
	0.4	RFJ	Roots fine	at joint						
	0.8	DES	Debris silt	30%						
	1.4	JDM	Joint displa	aced medium						
	2.4	FH	Finish - rea	ached YG off bour	ndary					
	Water test	: Rı	un off boundary	7						
4	Drainage F	Run:								
From manhole 1 run 4 to upstream - 100mm clay surface water - upstream (shared off boundary) Surface Material/ Metres: Code: Observations: Condition:										
0.0StartPaving for 1m3.0FHFinish - reached U/S off boundarythen off boundaryWater test:Run off boundary										
			- E	nd of Survey -						
Our assessment of the drainage system is based on our visual inspection and on information collated at the time of the survey. Where assumptions have been made these are based on our experience and do not constitute any form of guarantee, nor do we guarantee that further deterioration will not occur following this survey. CCTV video records will be stored for a period of 3 months from date of inspection and then destroyed.										

# Water Authority Sewer Condition Codes

в	Broken pipe at (or from to) o'clock	JN	Junction ato'clock, diametermm
BR	Branch Major	JX	Junction defective at o'clock, diameter mm
сс	Crack circumferential from to o'clock	LC	Lining of sewer changes/starts/finishes at this point
CL	Crack longitudinal @ o'clock	LD	Line of sewer deviates down
СМ	Cracks multiple from to o'clock	LL	Line of sewer deviates left
CN	Connection at o'clock, diameter mm	LN	Line defect at (or from to ) o'clock
CNI	Connection at o'clock, diameter mm, intrusion	r LR	Line of sewer deviates right
CU	Camera under water	LU	Line of sewer deviates up
СХ	Connection defective at o'clock	MB	Missing bricks at (or from to) o'clock
СХІ	Connection defective at o'clock, diameter mm,	MC	Material of sewer changes at this point
	intrusion mm	ΜН	Manhole/node
D	Deformed sewer %	ММ	Mortar missing medium at (or from to) o'clock
DB	Displaced bricks at (or from to) o'clock	MS	Mortar missing surface at (or from to) o'clock
DC	Dimension of sewer changes at this point	МТ	Mortar missing total at (or from to) o'clock
DE	Debris (non silt/grease) % cross-sectional loss	ОВ	Obstruction % height/diameter loss
DEG	Debris grease % cross-sectional area loss	OJL	Open joint large
DES	Debris silt % cross-sectional area loss	OJM	Open joint medium
DI	Dropped invert, gap mm	PC	Length of pipe forming sewer changes at this point,
EHJ	Encrustation heavy from to o'clock % cross-section	al	new lengthmm
	area loss (at joint)	RFJ	Roots fine (at joint)
ELJ	Encrustation light from to o'clock%	RMJ	Roots mass % cross-sectional area loss (at joint)
EMJ	Encrustation medium from to o'clock %, cross-sect	ti RTJ	Roots tap (at joint)
	area loss (at joint)	SA	Survey abandoned
ESH	Scale heavy % cross-sectional area loss from to.	SC	Shape of sewer changes at this point
	o'clock	SSL	Surface damage, spalling large at (or from to)
ESL	Scale light from to o'clock		o'clock
ESM	Scale medium % cross-sectional area loss from t o'clock	SSN	Surface damage, spalling medium at (or from to) o'clock
FC	Fracture circumferential from to o'clock	SSS	Surface damage, spalling slight at (or from to)
FL	Fracture longitudinal at o'clock		o'clock
FM	Fractures multiple from to o'clock	SWI	Surface damage, wear large at (or from to)
GO	General observation at this point		o'clock
GP	General photograph number taken at this point	SWI	Surface damage, wear medium at (or from to)
н	Hole in sewer at o'clock		o'clock
IDJ	Infiltration dripper at (or from to) o'clock (at joint)	SWS	Surface damage, wear slight at (or from to)
IGJ	Infiltration gusher at (or from to) o'clock (at joint)		o'clock
IRJ	Infiltration runner at (or from to) o'clock (at joint)	V	Vermin (rats and mice)
ISJ	Infiltration seeper at (or from to) o'clock (at joint)	WL	Water level % height/diameter
JDM	Joint displaced medium	X	Sewer collapsed % cross-sectional area loss
JDL	Joint displaced large	FH	End of survey

