| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2016/5232/P | Rodney and Zmira Hornstein | 40 Fairfax Road NW6 4HA | 24/10/2016 10:01:37 | OBJLETTER | Having carefully reviewed the proposals shown in this application we would like to lodge our strong objection against the proposals as presented. |

Our principal concern relates to the size and bulk of the proposed new extension. Our houses are quite narrow and the rear gardens relatively small. You will have noted the relatively modest extension to the rear of our house (No.40) shown on the drawings which was constructed to ensure the impact on the neighbouring properties was limited, both in terms of potential for loss of light from the adjoining gardens and rear facing windows. We also agreed to build within the garden boundary wall so as not to demolish and rebuild it to minimise the disruption to our neighbours from the building works.

In this respect we would also like to draw you attention to inaccuracies in the drawings which give the impression that the proposed extension is less massive with respect to the adjoining houses.Our extension is in fact only 2 metres from the original line of the building. The plans submitted incorrectly show it as approximately 3 metres. We believe the effect is even greater for our neighbour in 44; the line of whose house is set back substantially more than depicted in the plans submitted.

We would like to make it clear that we are not objecting in principle to an extension at the rear of 42 Fairfax Road, but we strongly suggest that given the size of these properties and the relatively small gardens at the rear, that a more modest extension would be appropriate in this regard and would help to lessen the impact on us as neighbours.

We hope that you will consider these comments and invite you to visit our property to view and consider the likely impact of these proposals from the rear of our house.

Please notify us of the committee date.