					Printed on: 21/10/2016 09:05:07
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2016/4953/P	Alain Courtines	42 Croftdown Rd	20/10/2016 22:18:47	ОВЈ	Whilst I do not object to the planning application per se, I do wish to highlight an element that I believe to be unneighbourly in densely packed gardens and which fails to preserve or enhance the conservation area.  The proposed single storey rear extension is against policy to avoid creep into the garden. In particular, the pitched tile roof of the proposed extension would materially extend beyond the line of the white-rendered rear extension wall at no. 42 Croftdown Road. It is therefore not in proportion to the neighboring extension at no. 42 and is a form of development that would have a negative impact on the visual amenity of the conservation area and would have an adverse impact on the residential amenities of the adjoining property no. 42.
2016/4953/P	Alain Courtines	42 Croftdown Road	20/10/2016 22:06:59	ОВЈ	Whilst I do not object to the planning application per se, I do wish to highlight an element that I believe to be unneighbourly in densely packed gardens and which fails to preserve or enhance the conservation area.  The proposed single storey rear extension is against policy to avoid creep into the garden. In particular, the pitched tile roof of the proposed extension would materially extend beyond the line of the white-rendered rear extension wall at no 42 Croftdown Road. It is therefore not in proportion to the neighbouring extension at no 42 and is a form of development that would have a negative impact on the visual amenity of the conservation area and an adverse impact on the residential amenities of the adjacent property at no 42.