

From: Genie Lee [
Sent: 13 October 2016 11:22
To: Quigley, Elaine
Subject: 45 Lancaster Grove

Dear Elaine,

I live at no 16 Lancaster Grove and have been witness to the unsuitable and uncalled for extension mentioned below.

Could you please add my objection to those of Barrie Tankel's .

Many thanks, Genie Lee



Dear Elaine

I have just seen the posting of the revised drawings and a single sheet regarding the geotechnical information on the web site. My comments are as follows...

- · Revised drawings
 - Whilst the drawings indicated that the roof level has been lowered it is still above the level of the transom of the Bay Window. This was the benchmark set by the previous planning consent.
 - The 2.6m height is still measured from the raised level on the left hand side of the extension therefore it is impossible for them to achieve the roof line that they show. The 2.6m height of the consented scheme was measured from a lower ground level and since they have shown a higher floor level the roof must be higher.
 - I believe that the drawings and any permission must make be conditional that the top of the roof level is no higher than the transom of the Bay Window, otherwise they will build something that is too high and there will be further arguments over height, especially if they also exceed the permitted height.
 - The length of the extension alongside 47 Lancaster Grove exceeds the habitable area of the previous extension.
 - o The overall width and depth exceed Camden's own design criteria.
 - The neighbours at 47 Lancaster Grove will suffer a great deal if this application receives consent. This has already been articulated in previous objections.
- · Geotechnical Information
 - A single sheet of information "Response to BIA queries" has been posted on the web site without the relevant Geotech supporting information so it is impossible to comprehend.
 - As previously stated there is a line on the Planning web site that refers to the Initial Campbell Reith Report but there is no link to open it. I have requested on at least 2 occasions for this to be rectified without any response.

I look forward to receiving your response.

Regards	
Barrie Tankel FRICS	
Chartered Project Management Surveyor	