Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr: Received: Comment: Response: 2016/5202/P Mark Quinlan 15 Trentishoe Mansions 17/10/2016 18:58:00 OBJEMAIL I object to current plans based on the reduction in light that my flat would experience if the current plans go ahead. The developer is seeking to increase the floor space of the building by "filling in" existing light wells and this will negatively affect my flat as I would suffer a significant reduction in light. Road I object to current plans based on the reduction in light that my flat would experience if the current plans go ahead. The developer is seeking to increase the floor space of the building by "filling in" existing light wells and this will negatively affect my flat as I would suffer a significant reduction in light. Road I object to the increased overlooking created by the proposed installation of an external staircase						Printed on:	18/10/2016	09:05:07
Mansions plans go ahead. The developer is seeking to increase the floor space of the building by "filling in" 90 Charing Cross existing light wells and this will negatively affect my flat as I would suffer a significant reduction in light. London	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
near my flat windows. I also ask that Caxton Walk is only opened to Stacey Street if nighttime access is completely blocked to prevent noisy public noctural activity disturbing my sleep as people walk through the new route. I would also like to record that Duncan Hepburn of the developer's PR agency has failed to provide me with exact figures regarding the modelled reduction in light that I would experince if the current plans go ahead. I have sent multiple emails to Duncan requesting the figures before the formal consultation period ends today. I feel the developer superficially engages in public consultation but when asked for specific detail on the negative impacts of their propsals - they fail / obstruct our access to the requested.		Mark Quinlan	Mansions 90 Charing Cross Road London	17/10/2016 18:58:00		I object to current plans based on the reduction in light that my flat would experience plans go ahead. The developer is seeking to increase the floor space of the building be existing light wells and this will negatively affect my flat as I would suffer a significal light. I also object to the increased overlooking created by the proposed installation of an experience my flat windows. I also ask that Caxton Walk is only opened to Stacey Street if nighttime access is comprevent noisy public noctural activity disturbing my sleep as people walk through the I would also like to record that Duncan Hepburn of the developer's PR agency has fair with exact figures regarding the modelled reduction in light that I would experience if go ahead. I have sent multiple emails to Duncan requesting the figures before the for period ends today. I feel the developer superficially engages in public consultation by	by "filling in" ant reduction in external staircase apletely blocked to new route. led to provide meathe current plans mal consultation at when asked for	e
information. Thanks for considering my concerns.								