| | | | | | Printed on | 17/10/2016 | 09:05:07 | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|---|----------|--|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: | | | |
| 2016/5052/P | Goss | 93 a Toriano Avenue | 15/10/2016 13:59:42 | OBJ | I object to the erection of a rear outbuilding. Given that this application is in essen application of 2015, which was rejected, it is disappointing, therefore, that it has b owner and disappointing that the planning officer has allowed it to be submitted. F balcony, this rear building would cause the whole area at the rear to appear over-b is an example of insufficient consideration for the long term quality of life - for ga may well cause additional traffic and noise. Please do not allow this proposal to go | ting, therefore, that it has been submitted by the llowed it to be submitted. From my window and at the rear to appear over-built and cramped. It term quality of life - for gardens and views. It | | |