

## The Planning Inspectorate

### COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

**Appeal Reference: APP/X5210/W/16/3153454**

#### DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/X5210/W/16/3153454

Appeal By GENERATOR GROUP

Site Address  
Mansfield Bowling Club  
Croftdown Road, Camden  
LONDON  
NW5 1EP

#### SENDER DETAILS

Name MR ROBERT MINTO

Address  
10 Laurier Road  
LONDON  
NW5 1SG

#### ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

## YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Reference Number: APP/X5210/W/16/3153454

Site address: Mansfield Bowling Club, Croftdown Road, Camden, London NW5 1EP

To whom it may concern

I have watched with interest the proposals put forward by Generator for the Mansfield Bowling Club area, and would like to set out my reasons for being against the appeal.

Firstly, as a local parent, I would prefer to see a proper examination of the plausibility of the area being used exclusively for leisure and sporting activities. While the area is currently in dire need of redevelopment, that doesn't mean putting extra houses on site.

Secondly, as a very involved parent at Brookfield school, and supporting school sports activities and clubs where possible, there is clearly a strong need for local schools to have access to better facilities. Inner London schools need to encourage exercise to give children the chance of a healthier start in life.

Thirdly, I don't think the voices of neighbouring households have been listened to. Everyone I have spoken (over 25 residents living within 300m of the site) to is against this proposal and would prefer leisure space. Why are these views being ignored?

Fourthly, the area is not a brownfield free-for-all. While I appreciate the need for housing in London, the area is already stretched in terms of transport, medical services and other facilities. Extra residential units will only make this worse.

Lastly,

Best regards

Rob Minto