

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/X5210/W/16/3153454

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/X5210/W/16/3153454

Appeal By GENERATOR GROUP

Site Address
Mansfield Bowling Club
Croftdown Road, Camden
LONDON
NW5 1EP

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR SAM KAY

Address
15 Dartmouth Park Avenue
LONDON
NW5 1JL

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Dear Sirs

I am against the appeal proposals for the reasons set out below. I am a local resident and my house backs-on to the Appeal Site. As such, I have an 'interested party' in terms of how the site is developed.

- (1) The residential development that is proposed is not required or suitable for the area.
- (2) Whilst it is clear that the site needs to be redeveloped, there are many other suitable options that would not include a residential development.
- (3) The local community would value and support options for alternative leisure and community use for the site, but these options would be severely reduced because of the residential focus of the development.
- (4) The current proposals would also mean that we lose the potential for this site to be used in a positive way for leisure and community use.
- (5) For many years, the site has been valued because of its community use. Historically, the site had always been intended to be reserved for community and leisure activities. Even now, Camden Council have acknowledged the community aspects of the site are important by designating the land as an Asset of Community Value.
- (6) To lose the land now for a residential development would be a sad outcome and completely contrary to what local residents (including me) would like to see.
- (7) The proposals also run completely counter to the community aspects of the site because the residential development results in financial reward for a small number whilst the majority lose out.
- (8) As a local community, we were very encouraged that the planning application was unanimously rejected by the Council's planning committee. To have this decision overturned on an appeal would be incredibly disappointing.

For the above reasons, I hope and trust that you reject this appeal

Yours faithfully

Sam Kay