

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4109/P	Roger Winfield Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum	24 Patshull Road London NW5 2JY	07/10/2016 15:28:46	INT	I comment as the chair of the Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum. The Neighbourhood Plan was supported at a referendum in June and adopted by the Council on 19 September. I only became aware of this application this afternoon so I have not had time to consider the details with my colleagues. Consequently, I limit KTNF's comments to requesting that you ensure the application complies with the policies in the Neighbourhood Plan and express reference is made to the Plan in the officer's report. Thank you. Roger Winfield
2016/4109/P	Amanda Blinkhorn	1 Little Green Street NW5 1BL NW5 1BL	07/10/2016 16:44:01	COMMNT	I object to this application because it is forcing even more over-development on a site that has already far more semi-built houses and flats on it that the land and surrounding area can cope with. The developers hoping to build these flats are only forcing this development onto us because they failed to realise that their original plans were built on land they didn't own so they have been forced to rethink. They still have done nothing to sort out how Little Green Street, a cobbled single-track lane, is expected to carry all the traffic to and from their existing development on the Railway Club site, so I think it is disingenuous to add to the load. They have also been extremely vague about reassuring their neighbours that they are sincere in adhering to their current planning permission which stipulates that they have no more than 13 spaces in their underground car park. Until they sort out their current mess I don't think they should be allowed to do any more building

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4109/P	Peter Thomas and Catherine du Toit	124 Highgate Road NW5 1PB NW5 1PB	07/10/2016 14:15:35	OBJ	<p>We write for a second time to object as we are not sure that our e mail objection has been registered. Please accept our apologies if this is a repetition but the views expressed are strongly held.</p> <p>We write to strongly object to this new proposal to add even more density to this messy and ill conceived over development of the land at College Lane.</p> <p>We understand that the application is to be considered to be a new permission within the context of the current development and its surroundings.</p> <p>We have walked the site with the proposed 2 dimensional and largely contextless plans and would urge officers and members to do the same when assessing the impact of this badly drawn and under explained scheme on its neighbours.</p> <p>It is revealing to see the newly constructed, sickly coloured, wavy roof agglomeration that is the scheme so far complete. The effect is one of overcrowding its setting and overlooking all, even its own residents.</p> <p>The large glazed areas to the million pound apartments overlook bins, back gardens, bedrooms, play areas and host of other private spaces and this proposal seeks to address this by adding an ever greater level of confusion.</p> <p>The proposed flats directly affect the amenity of residents in Holmbrook Court whose back gardens are with 7 metres and rear walls approx. 10 meters from the unbroken wall of this proposal affecting light and views.</p> <p>The proposed end balconies and terraces affect the amenity of Holmbrook Court residents and also of the developments on Block 3, currently under construction.</p> <p>The considerable impact of the currently built scheme on services, access and safety is yet to be tested and yet more is being proposed as if all was solved.</p> <p>The current project has been under construction for over two years. Our property at 124 Little Green street has suffered constant damage since the beginning and has been monitored for damage throughout this time. In August 2016 at the last inspection our insurers asked us to supply dates when the project would be complete such that we could program repairs as agreed under the terms of the construction methodology statement. I visited George Dhillon - [who has submitted this application] on the 11th August 2016. He advised that they were nearly complete and would be handing Little Green Street back to Camden Highways in September 2016 so that they could undertake the repairs to the street.</p> <p>Instead the same person has submitted a planning application seeking to construct more accommodation over a longer length of time using the very roads and communities they had promised to leave, thus delaying the resolution of an already existing and complex set of claims and counterclaims as to damage caused so far.</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

This application of September 9th is the first anyone in the community had heard that something else was intended.

These owners and their team have proved to be serially economic with the truth and they are not stopping now. This application must be refused. The current works be completed, agreements honoured and the constructors leave site, such that the repairs both to the community and its fabric can begin.
