					Printed on: 07/10/2016 09:05:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Tony Gurhey	11 Troyes House	06/10/2016 22:11:59	OBJNOT	I object to this mast application on Troyes House because of the serious health effects that my family and I will be subjected to from it. I am very frightened as these antennas would be clearly visible just a short distance from the entrance of my door, this would affect the quality of my life and would have to consider moving after living here for 20 years. In view of all the evidence and long-term studies from Independent Scientists it would be completely irresponsible for this mast application to be approved. Nobody knows what the long-term health risks will be from these masts. The Stewart Report clearly does not rule out the possible harmful effects of exposure to low level electromagnetic fields. The Stewart Report states take a precautionary approach when sighting these masts. I hope that the Planning Officer will take into consideration the above points and turn down this application.
2016/4803/P	Cressida Langlands	5 Lawn Road	06/10/2016 11:34:41	ОВЈ	'I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts too close to my place of work. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health. I do not have WiFi at my own house because of the unknown effects of electroradiation. Thank you for hearing my objection.
2016/4803/P	jayne arrowsmith	garden flat 12 upper park road NW3 2UP	06/10/2016 17:02:05	OBJ	this site is totally inappropriate and a lack of consultation by Camden Council.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 07/10/2016 09:05:08 Response:
2016/4803/P	Troyes House Residents Group	Flat 16 Troyes House Lawn Road London	06/10/2016 15:57:48		A survey of the residents of Troyes House showed that everyone strongly objected to the planning application to erect a telecom mast with six antennae on the roof of the building where they live. Health: Over a third of residents are vulnerable adults and children, suffering from physical and mental illnesses, some with very severe disabilities. These residents are at greater risk from EMFs than healthy adults. Also many of the residents have had cancer and fear the radiation from the proposed mast would bring back their cancers. Whether this is justified or not is immaterial as there is no doubt that the worry about this would severely impact their health over time. Location: We question the location of this mast on a low-rise residential building such as ours when there are two, fifteen story buildings near by: one at the bottom of Lawn Road and the other at the bottom of Upper Park Road. Surely these high-rise blocks would offer greater coverage for the telecom company? Conservation: Our estate sits in a conservation area so erecting a mast on the roof of our building violates the guidelines for conservation areas. We have been told the mast will be surrounded by GRP, glass reinforced plastic, but this is most definitely not a material to be used in a conservation area such as ours. See Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management. Procedure: There are seven leaseholders in Troyes House and they should have been served by Camden with a Certificate B. No one however has received this notice. Although Camden has a statutory duty to consult with residents about this proposal, there has been no such consultation. Neither has there been any consideration given to the many residents who do not have computers and internet access and as a result have been excluded from this process. We would argue that the failure of Camden Planning Department to serve the required certificates to leaseholders and to consult with residents invalidates this planning application.
2016/4803/P	Rachel Summerfield	78 Lawn Road NW3 2XB NW3 2XB	06/10/2016 17:40:10	ОВЈ	Strongly object - there is no need for mobile antennae as there is perfectly good signal in this area. The dangers of antennas are unclear, but are thought to be damaging for children in particular - which we have in Troyes house, and the houses opposite (including my own daughter). I would therefore strongly object to these going up.
2016/4803/P	Fer Chinner	2nd floor 12 lawn road	06/10/2016 19:41:09	INT	I have just bought a property at 12 Lawn Road. I would never have done so if this proposal had been made known to in June when I bought the property. Camden have failed to bring it to the attention of residents. The ham and high is no longer a reasonable port of communication. I object to the antennas being installed. I have chosen this area because it is in a conservation area free from radiation and in beautiful surroundings.