

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4870/L	Adam Donneky	Flat 1 9 St George's Terrace nw18xh nw18xh	05/10/2016 16:24:51	OBJ	<p>My concerns are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The project is not in fitting with the original architecture of our Grade II listed house. I know that the Primrose Hill Conservation Society feel the same.</li> <li>- The excavation will involve the removal of tones of earth from the rear garden, which could have an impact on the stability of the foundations of the entire terrace. (A similar excavation at house three that was carried out several years ago has had a terrible impact on the neighboring properties.)</li> <li>- The proposed building will affect the view from the rear of my home, as it will effectively make what is a first floor window into a ground floor window. The plan would introduce a privacy screen that would mean the view from my window would be a hedge. This will also mean a deficit of natural light. This also poses a security issue for me.</li> <li>- The proposed building would mean the living area, dining area and kitchen would be situated in the garden. This will create noise issues at the rear of the terrace.</li> <li>- I am concerned that if this development was to go ahead, it would set a precedent for other houses within the Primrose Hill conservation area to construct houses in their gardens.</li> <li>- The proposed project will take 6-12 months, which would, for me living on top of a construction site for this duration. Having endured a restoration of the basement flat several years ago (which was modest in comparison to the proposed project) I know how disruptive construction can be. Previously I endured noise levels that rendered my home uninhabitable during the day, a rat infestation and fresh, heavy covering of dust and dirt throughout my home on a daily basis. The proposed project will certainly be much worse!</li> <li>- In house 9 we have a sitting tenant who has resided here for over 30 years. Valerie Talbot has expressed how fervently against the project she is to me and as she is without e-mail, I offered to pass on her concerns to you on. Valerie who is retired spends a large portion of her day in her home and would find a construction project of this size and nature intolerable.</li> </ul> <p>I would like to add that I was very disappointed with the response from the appeal of the last planning application. Although it ruled against the development, it stated that many of my concerns including those shared by neighbors, the Primrose Hill Conservation Society and Camden Council were irrelevant. I strongly disagree with the opinion of one man.</p> <p>As Camden Council has seen fit to reject planning permission for this project already, I would urge those decision makers to stick to their guns on the issue and put a halt to this clearly unpopular proposition.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4870/L	daniella Kochavi	35 summerfield avenue NW6 6JT NW6 6JT	05/10/2016 15:22:36	OBJ	"The garden of House 9 was never intended as living space. The noise generated from the proposed living dwelling in the garden, that will be used every day with doors or windows open can not be compare to the noise created by the occasional use of the garden. That new noise will destroy the quiet enjoyment of several rooms in the back of the houses overlooking the existing garden of House No.9."

---