Trees are categorised in accordance with the cascade chart in Table 1 of the British Standard BS 5837:2005 'Trees in relation to construction commendations' Category 'U' - Trees in such condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Category 'A' - Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life

expectancy of at least 40 years. ' - Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining Category life expectancy of at least 20 years. - Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life Category 'C expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.

Tree Categories

Root Protection Area

In order to avoid damage to the roots or rooting environment of retained trees, the Root Protection Areas (RPAs) should be plotted around each of the category A, B and C trees. This is a minimum area in m² which should be left undisturbed around each retained tree.

The RPA is calculated using the British Standard BS 5837:2012 'Trees n relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations The calculated RPA is capped to 707m², which is the equivalent to a circle with a radius of 15m. Where there appears to be restrictions to

root growth the root protection area is reshaped to more accurately reflect the likely distribution of the roots.

Foundations within RPAs

The use of traditional strip foundations can result in excessive root loss and as such should be avoided. Designs for foundations that would minimize the adverse impact upon trees soul include particular attention to the existing levels, proposed finished levels and cross sectional details. Site specific and specialist advice should be sought from the project engineers and arboriculturist

Root damage can be minimized by using: Piles with site investigation used to be determined their optimal

location whilst avoiding damage to roots important for the stability of the tree, by means of hand tools or compressed air soil displacement, to a minimum depth of 600mm: Beams, laid at or above ground level, and cantilevered as necessary to avoid tree roots identified by site investigation.

Where a slab for minor structures (e.g. shed base) is to be formed within the RPA, it should bear on the existing ground level, and should not exceed an area greater than 20% of the existing unsurfaced

Slabs for larger structures (e.g. dwellings) should be constructed with a ventilated air space between the underside of the slab and the existing soil surface (to enable gas exchange and venting through the soil surface. In such cases, a specialist irrigation system should be employed (e.g. roof run-off redirected under the slab). The design of the foundation should take into account of the effect on the load bearing properties of the underlying soil from the redirected roof run-off. Approval in principle for a foundation that relies on topsoil retention and roof run-off under the slab should be sought from building control authority prior to this approach being relied upon.

Where piling is to be installed near to trees, the smallest practical pile diameter should be used, as this reduces the possibility of striking major tree roots, and reduces the size of the rig required to sink the piles. If a piling mat is required, this should conform to the parameters for ground boarding. Use of the smallest practicle piling rig is also important where piling within the branch spread is proposed, as this can reduce the need for access facilitation pruning. The pile type should be selected bearing in mind the need to protect the soil and adjacent roots from the potentially toxic effects of uncured concrete, e.g. sleeved bored piles or screw piles.

Hard surfacing within RPAs

formation is compliant with British Standard BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design demolition and

nstruction - Recommendations, section 7.5 Special engineering for foundations within the RPA.

Multi-dimmensional confinement system

Existing vegetation may be removed with hand tools or sprayed with an

approved non residual herbicide such as 'Glyphosate'. The new hard surfacing will be constructed using a 'No Dig' surfacing situated entirely above the existing soil surface and where needed using a proprietary cellular confinement system (GeoWeb or similar) laid over a bi-axel FriAx or similar). Proir to this any sm surface may be filled with clean sharp sand (not builders sand) to a maximum depth of 150mm. The 'GeoWeb' is to be back filled by hand with a no-fines aggregate of 20mm - 30mm. The area of 'GeoWeb' will be covered with a permeable geotextile fabric and the finished wearing course laid on top. Edge supports of an appropriate size and strenght should be set above ground level and secured with haunching or steel pins driven into the ground. the outer edge of the supports may be banked up with clean top soil. Road deck system

Where the area of the RPA(s) is covered by the proposed hard surfacing exceeds 20% of the total area of the uncovered RPA. It is proposed that the section of the proposed roadway/drive will be suspended entirely above the existing ground level. This will be achieved by constructing the suspended road platform by the minimum number of mini pile required to provide a stable structure. The design, materials and methodology of the 'road deck' are to be undertaken to an engineering specification in conjunction with arboricultural advice, to accommodate the likely loading to which it will be subjected to; however the following principles should be followed: The road deck is to be suspended entirely above the existing

ground level. Prevention of the void beneath the road deck being inhabited by rodents or other small mammals. A watering system is to be designed to either capture rain water and direct it onto the soil below the road deck or for the roadway to be permeable. These systems must take into account that if the surface is to be subjected to de-icing salt, an impermeable barrier or filtration system will be required to prevent contamination of the

rooting area. Pile locations are to be designed in conjunction with advice of the project arboriculturist and as identified during the site investigations to allow for the retention of roots that are important to the trees stability.

Piles should be lined and ground covered to prevent contamination of the rooting environment by concrete effluent run to the RPAs of tree nos. 3 and 5 have the potential to to cause soil collapse and lose of the rooting environment. To prevent this potential issue the line of basement adjacent to these RPAs should have sheet piling or a similar retaining system installed to retain the rooting environment, unless deemed unnecessary by the project engineers; if piling it is to be installed under arboricultural supervision.

 \bigcirc


G

Note: Proposed hard surfacing within the RPA of tree no. 17 will be situated no deeper than the existing hard surfacing; the existing sub base will be retained where possible and **only** removed where necessary.

0m 1m 3m 5m

10m





Impacts					Nos. of tre
Trees to be Groups to be					4
-	proposed incursions in	to RPAs			11
	proposed incursions i	into RPA	S		0
	vill require pruning will require pruning				6 0
	Arbo	ricu	Itural Imp	acts	
No.	Species		Proposed strue		Incursion
3	Lime		Basement & hard sur Hard surfacing	facing	RPA RPA / Canopy
5	Norway maple		Basement & hard sur	facing	RPA
6	Holly		Hard surfacing		RPA / Canop
7	Maidenhair tree	e	Garden room, hard su & pergola	rfacing	RPA
8	Lime		Garden room, hard su & pergola	rfacing	RPA
9	Lime		Garden room, hard su & pergola	rfacing	RPA
10	Lime		Garden room, hard su	rfacing	RPA
11	Holly		& pergola Hard surfacing & pe	rgola	RPA / Canop
13	Laburnum		Hard surfacing & pe	rgola	RPA
17	Silver birch		Replacement hard su	rfacing	RPA
	Tree	e Wo	ork Scheo	lule	
No.	Species		Works		Categ
2	Common elder	Fell to	ground level; remove s	stump	Categ
3	Lime	Crown	lift to 8m over site		Br
4	Holly	Crown	lift to 3.5m above hard	surfacing	C
5	Norway maple	Crown	lift to 6m over site		A12
6	Holly		lift to 3.5m above hard	surfacing	C
11	Holly		lift to 4m ground level; remove s	stump	C- Ba
15	Bay		ground level; remove s		C
16	Silver birch		ground level; grind out		C12
17	Silver birch		lift to 4m over site		В
			s to be Re	emove	20
U		A	В		С
All tree w Please re Method S surveyed		0 Ural ertaker onsulti ree Pro	B 1 Method S n in accordance of ng Ltd. Tree Sch tection Plan, for ects of the develo	Staten vith British edule, Ard full details	C 3 Tent n Standard boricultural s on all
All tree w Please re Method S surveyed mplemen	ork is to be under fer to Arbtech Co tatement and Tr trees and how a	0 ertaker onsulti ee Pro all aspe iment t	B 1 Method S ng Ltd. Tree Sch tection Plan, for ects of the develo o retained trees.	Staten vith British edule, Arl full details opment m	C 3 nent
All tree w Please re Method S surveyed mplemen	Arboricultu ork is to be unde fer to Arbtech Cr itatement and Tr trees and how a need without detri	0 Jral ertaker onsulti ree Pro all aspe iment t	B 1 Method S In in accordance with the second secon	Staten vith British edule, Arl full details opment m	C 3 nent
All tree w Please re Method S surveyed mplemen	Arboricultu ork is to be unde fer to Arbtech Co itatement and Tr trees and how a need without detri O n s u 2 Akens London, NW3 5B Georgia 3: Arboricu	ural ertaker onsulti ree Pro all aspe iment t ide SS.	B 1 Method S in accordance vistor of the development of the developmen	Staten vith British edule, Arl full details opment m	C 3 nent
All tree w Please re Method S surveyed mplemen C C Project: Client: Drawing Based o	Arboricultu ork is to be unde fer to Arbtech Co itatement and Tr trees and how a need without detri o n s u 2 Akens London, NW3 5B Georgia 3 Georgia 3 Cr P13 - P Akensid	Ural ertaker onsulti ree Pro all aspe iment t iment t iside SS. Ma ultur - 01 le R	B 1 Method S in in accordance with the development of the development	Staten vith British edule, Arl full details opment m i m i	C 3 nent n Standard boricultural s on all aybe t e d

ould be checked on site. No dimens

Irawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon

base drawing in which this plan is based.

otech Consulting Ltd, 2015

ed trees.

are to be scaled

se notify us of any discrepancies found. Arbtech Consulting Ltd. cannot be held responsible for inaccuraci

rawing in which this plan is based. Irawing is designed to reflect the principles of the layout or design only, and relates only to the protection of

Irawing is not to be read as a definitive part of the engineering or construction designs or method stateme architect or structural engineer should be contacted over any matters of construction, detailing or specification of or any standards or regulatory requirements relating to proposed structures, hard surfacing or underground

Issue: Proposed pergola is situated within the RPAs of tree nos.7 - 11 and 13. Solution: All excavations for the support posts are to be undertaken under direct onsite arboricultural supervision. Individual posts may need to be relocated to preserve roots that are important to the stability of the trees.