					Printed on:	28/09/2016	09:05:08
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:		
2016/4803/P	Heidi Stokes	24 Isokon flats Lawn road Nw3 2xd	27/09/2016 22:14:03	OBJ	I object to this given the reasons set out by Troyes House Residents Group.		

					Printed on: 28/09/2016 09:05:08	3
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2016/4803/P	Dowdeswell Lawn Road Lawn Road London NW3 2XT  Camden council states that it can only consider comments that are directly proposal "material considerations". There is legal precedent for health being accepted as a material considerations". There is legal precedent for health being accepted as a material consideration. (Newport county Boro of State for Wales and Browning Ferris Environmental Services Ltd Perceiplanning consideration).  Vulnerable residents: I feel that the installation of a mobile phone must on top of Troyes House w health. I believe the planning officers and councillors have a responsibility new research pointing point health in the first phone must, on the policy of the phone must on the policy of the phone must of the phone phone must of the phone phone phone must of the phone	House Lawn Road London	27/09/2016 12:15:58	OBJNOT	Dear Camden Council Planning	
					I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.	
		Camden council states that it can only consider comments that are directly related to the actual proposal "material considerations". There is legal precedent for health and perceived risk to health being accepted as a material planning consideration (Newport county Borough Council v The Secretary of State for Wales and Browning Ferris Environmental Services Ltd Perceived health effects material planning consideration).				
					I feel that the installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House will adversely affect my health. I believe the planning officers and councillors have a responsibility to consider the significant new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts (www.bioinitiative.org), especially vulnerable people. Troyes House has residents who are vulnerable, ranging from children, people with chronic illnesses and people with disabilities. Complying with the ICNIRP guidelines does not and cannot allay my concern as the guidelines do not take into account the non-thermal effects from mobile phone mast emissions and are only applicable to the "general population".  The installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House also goes against Camden's own health and wellbeing strategy. In January 2016, "Camden's Joint Health and Wellbeing strategy 2016-2018: Living well, working together" was published. It states "health and wellbeing outcomes and inequalities are driven by a range of factors, some of which individuals have little control over. Others are the result of health behaviours, which in turn are heavily influenced by people's circumstances and environment, such as income, employment and living conditions. The strategy also states that it aims to: "Prevent – act early to prevent issues from developing and getting worse  Promote – promote better health so that inequalities do no emerge in the first place". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on the top of Troyes House adversely alters my living environment and circumstances and will have a detrimental effect on my health. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident of Camden to reject the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of	
				Health: In 2015, Camden and Islington published its annual public health report: "healthy minds, healthy lives: widening the focus on mental health". The report states "the physical environment can have a significant impact on the mental wellbeing of residents and communities". It further states "physical health and mental health are inextricably linked". The installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes house is a change in my physical environment. The tower will direct high levels of electromagnetic radiation straight into the residents of Troyes House and neighbouring properties. Current independent research shows that high levels of electromagnetic radiation can have harmful effects on health, especially that of children – see www.bioinitiative.org. I have very concerned for the effects that this		

mast will have on both my physical and mental health. I have had to take time out from my working

Printed on: 28/09/2016 09:05:08

Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr: Received: Comment: Response:

schedule to meet councilors and other residents. It has been stressful trying to monitor the planning application page on a daily basis as I have only a limited time to object. This whole experience has been distressing and unnecessary. I am further concerned for those residents of Troyes House who already have an existing mental and/or physical health condition as their risk of developing another condition increases significantly. Due to the low height of Troyes House it will be highly visible to all the adjacent neighbours as well as residents of Troyes House. The proposed mast tower will thus be a constant reminder of the perceived threat to my health. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident to oppose the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.

## Conservation area:

The mast extends almost two meters above the current height of the building. This I feel will be visually intrusive. Troyes House sits within the Parkhill Conservation Area with listed buildings in its vicinity. According to the 2011 Management Strategy of Parkhill and Upper Park conservation area "Camden has a duty under the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (sections 69 and 72) to designate as conservation areas any "areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or historic interest of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance" and to pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of those areas". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes House will be to the detriment of the appearance and character of the Parkhill conservation area.

## Lack of transparency:

I feel that Camden Council and Waldon telecommunications have not given me adequate information regarding the proposed installation e.g. what is the power level per square centimetre at 3m from each antenna? I feel that Camden Council have not engaged fully with me on this matter. I also do not understand what other avenues Camden Council has explored to generate income that are better for the environment and the health of Camden council residents, for example installing solar panels on the roof of Troyes House. The large flat roof area would be ideal for this and would help decarbonise our energy production and also provide an income for the council through Feed in Tariffs.

## Lack of choice:

There appears to be opposition from many of the local residents to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. There is legal precedent that sensible alternative sites should be used if local opinion is against one siting

(Jodie Phillips v. (1) First Secretary of State (2) Havant Borough Council (3) Hutchinson 3G (UK) Limited).

The Ministry of Justice released a handbook for public authorities called "human rights: human lives". Article 8 of the booklet states "Everyone has the right to respect for their private and family life, their home and their correspondence" and that I have a right to the "protection of health". Article 8's rights cover matters of self-determination and my freedom to choose. I feel that I have not been given the opportunity to make an informed choice with regards to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. I also have no choice about how to limit my exposure to the emissions from the proposed mast tower and I have no control over my interaction with the proposed mast on top of Troyes House. I feel that Camden Council has a duty and responsibility to respect my human rights.

					Printed on: 28/09/2016 09:05:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:  Negative effect on property values There is a high risk of a drop in property value and difficulty selling due to the public perception of mobile phone masts. An overwhelming 94 percent of home buyers and renters surveyed by the National Institute for Science, Law & Public Policy (NISLAPP) say they are less interested and would pay less for a property located near a cell tower or antenna.  Lack of technical justification There is also lack of adequate justification for the mast due to poor coverage. Ofcom, who regulate radio frequency usage throughout the UK, show evidence that O2 coverage is sufficient for 4G throughout the Belsize Park East area. Their coverage checker (http://maps.ofcom.org.uk/check-coverage/mobile) shows that "Signal in most buildings is likely to be
					sufficient to use 4G data services reliably" for the entire Belsize Park area.  Secondly, O2's own coverage checker (http://www.o2.co.uk/coveragechecker) states that 2G, 3G and 4G signal is "Good indoors and outdoors. Good for mobile broadband." This is the best category possible. So, we clearly have enough cellular signal in this area and there is no justification for additional masts.  Even if there was evidence to show that signal is poor in the area (none has been provided that would contradict Ofcom and O2 above), Troyes House is too low for a mobile phone base station to have any substantial improvement for most Belsize Park residents. Signals will not be far-reaching as too many buildings will obstruct them.
					I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02. I would like Camden Council to stand by its "Ways of Working" and "remember that we are here for the residents So that people of Camden know we are acting on their behalf". Please reject this proposal for a mast installation.  Thank you.
2016/4803/P	Maya Levin	160 Haverstock Hill NW3 2AT NW3 2AT	27/09/2016 11:15:23	OBJ	I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts too close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health