

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/3968/P	Felicia Epstein	13 Ferncroft Avenue London NW3 7PG	26/09/2016 13:21:59	OBJ	We do not support this proposal as it will impact on our enjoyment of our property. It will impact with noise in the area, light infringing on our property at night and extending the hours of the business in a residential area. It is also outside of the planning regulations for the area.
2016/3968/P	Vimmi Singh	17 Kidderpore Gardens	26/09/2016 12:27:49	OBJ	<p>We are members of the tennis club. However, as our house backs on to the club, we will be subjected to much more noise and light if this application is approved. The light will be very close to our house - there is just Croft Way between us. Further, as the courts are elevated by 2 to 3 metres, the light will be coming into our bedrooms.</p> <p>There will be more noise until later in the night with the tennis players and members using the club until 9.30pm. We have not been informed by the Club about these proposals although we are members of the Club and immediate neighbours.</p> <p>Many of the club members don't live in the area and will be able to play longer but are not affected by the floodlighting as residents. As a resident of Kidderpore Gardens, backing onto Crofts Way we are most affected (adversely) by the lights. For the above reasons, we object to the proposed installation.</p>
2016/3968/P	David Friedman	21 Ferncroft Avenue	26/09/2016 10:44:59	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Camden Council,</p> <p>I have two primary objections to this application.</p> <p>(1) The club presumably wants these lights in order to illuminate their courts to allow them to play tennis until at least 2130. This would cause serious nuisance due both the light, and to the sound of their playing and talking.</p> <p>(2) The club would also be busier at night and would attract more cars and people traffic to the neighbourhood.</p> <p>Both the light and noise/traffic would create a disturbance to all of the residents nearby at night. It is one thing for the tennis club members to play during daylight hours. During the summer, this means they can play later than during the fall/winter. Adding lights would put an illuminated, busy sports facility in the middle of this quiet neighbourhood and would be completely inappropriate, as well as a serious nuisance to those of us who live here and can not escape either the light pollution or noise nuisance.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>David Friedan</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/3968/P	Nikhil Choraria	31 Ferncroft Avenue NW3 7PG NW3 7PG	26/09/2016 17:47:31	OBJ	<p>Similar planning was requested in 1983 (Application number: 35976) and was refused. Reason for refusal:</p> <p>"The proposal is considered unacceptable as the effect of the floodlighting would be detrimental to the amenity of the adjoining residents.". As a resident that backs on the tennis club, I concur with the council initial findings. This is a prime residential area, not a commercial area. Moreover it is a family area. Floodlights would be inappropriate and disruptive to the surrounding residents, especially bedrooms facing the tennis courts that may have children who want to sleep. The tennis courts are predominately busy in summer, when the UK's natural daylight allows light to persist well into the evening. In addition to the light disturbance, the additional noise disturbance in a family residential area would be unwelcome and unwarranted. The floodlights would also be an eyesore in an area that is predominately green has lots of trees and period buildings.</p>
2016/3968/P	Nicholas White	6 Kidderpore Gardens London NW3 7SR	26/09/2016 21:52:52	OBJEMAIL	<p>I object to this unwelcome application. My 2nd Floor flat will look directly out at these floodlights and the light pollution will not only be highly intrusive but in conflict with the aims and objectives of the Conservation Area.</p>