

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Maree Ballantine	12 Upper Park Road London NW3 2UP	25/09/2016 17:08:41	OBJ	I would like to submit a strong objection to the proposed mast on Troyes House. We have completely adequate coverage and do not need this proven danger to the health in our community. It is a conservation area with many listed buildings and the height of the proposed sight is lower than is normal. There are many schools in the area and many children on the surrounding streets. It is a strong family neighbourhood and we do not want this danger to our health. Please consider this comment to be a very strong objection to this proposal.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Sharon Gurhey	11 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	23/09/2016 21:01:21	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Camden Council Planning</p> <p>I strongly object to the installation of 6 antennas on top of Troyes House. From my walk way I will be 4 meters away exposed to deadly radiation from these antennas and sleeping directly underneath (I will be sending photo via post) I am very worried about Health Issues. I know the government is saying there is no scientific evidence and I notice in the Public Document Pack District Management Committee - Holborn 29th September 2015 Camden are saying there are NO health and safety issues associated with the masts so Camden Council can guarantee me 100% that I will be safe if this proposal should go ahead, if not don't put my life at risk. Planning controls exist to give people the power over developments that impact on their quality of life, and they should be respected.</p> <p>Visual image and character for the area - The plans are outrageous. The structure is out of character for the area. This is going to be an absolute eyesore, and will be seen right down the far end of Lawn Road. Troyes House is in Parkhill and Upper Park Road conservation area and as this points out Lawn Road has a special character. Troyes House flats were built in 1952 on a site of a bombed out convent. Opposite Troyes House we have houses built in rich red brick with matching bricked pavement – these house have a homely and picturesque character. We have several grade 1 listed buildings near us. If this base station was to go ahead it would be very visible and the characteristics of this road would be spoilt. Surely Camden will pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character of appearance in this area.</p> <p>Base Station - Technology is moving fast. I know the government is putting the pressure on telecommunication companies to get base stations so then they can add more masts to it, but Troyes House is really not the ideal location for these telephone masts. It is a low storey residential block of flats, with a walkway exposed within meters of these antennas. If it is essential that this area needs more coverage, I am sure there must be more suitable sites away from residents. It is vital that the council works with network providers to ensure local areas get the best possible coverage in a way that residents are happy with and not just for financial gain.</p> <p>Health and Safety - I believe as with many scientists non-ionizing radiation is a health risk. New child cancer statistics rate going up 40% in 16 years, this information was published just last month. In 2011 World Health Organization announced cell phones can possibly cause cancer, but before this announcement WHO assured people that no health effects had been established. So overnight they changed their minds, as they could do with a base station. So many people have died of cancer living near masts that I do not want to be used as a statistic in research. Long term exposure emitted by mobile mast makes the body tissue temperature rise so your immune system is damaged, you could then experience headaches, stress, cancer and other serious issues.</p> <p>For all the reasons above I feel that Camden Council has a duty and responsibility to respect my human rights and object to this planning application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Dale Walden	Flat 4 Troyes House	24/09/2016 12:31:38	OBJJEMPER	I live at the site. In general, no thanks!
2016/4803/P	Pat Gurhey	11 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	25/09/2016 11:39:24	OBJNOT	<p>I strongly object to the installation of 6 antennas on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>My front door will be 4 meters away should the proposed telephone base station go ahead. I am very angry and worried about health issues this has already caused a considerable amount of worry, stress and fear of what could happen to me and my family. Nobody knows what the long-term health risks will be from these masts. How Camden Council can tell their committee on 29th September 2015 there are NO health and safety issues associated with the masts is absolutely outrageous. Even the Stewart Report which the government sponsored clearly does not rule out the possible harmful effects of exposure to low level electromagnetic fields, and recommends a precautionary approach when sitting these masts, so placing a base station 4 meters from my front door is not a precautionary approach. Genuine perception of danger is a valid planning consideration.</p> <p>We are in a conservation area, if this base station was to go ahead it would be very visible and the characteristics of this road would be spoilt. Surely Camden will pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character of appearance in this area.</p> <p>I feel that Camden Council's planning notification sent out to just a handful of local residents is outrageous. In the same committee meeting as above Camden told members that any proposed sites that are proposed we will proactively engage tenants and leaseholders to ensure that local views and feedback are taken into account as part of any installation. 30 residents (some who are very venerable) receiving a single page letter asking to comment for or against an application within 21 days is absolutely disgusting, this is not proactively engaging with local residents. Local residents have not been fully or fairly informed and should have the right to know.</p> <p>I believe it would be completely unreasonable to allow a mast to be sited in what is already a populated residential area and hope you will take all my concerns into consideration when making you decision about these masts.</p>
2016/4803/P	Mr Toby Clark	12 Upper Park Road London NW3 2UP	25/09/2016 17:01:48	OBJ	I and all of our community are very worried by the idea that there might be unwelcome phone masts installed far too close to our residential homes where we all live. We know there is already public concerns about mobile phone radiation and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my personal health, my family and the local community. Please consider this comment to be a very strong objection to this proposal.
2016/4803/P	Susan Cane	Warren Cottage Harcombe Lyme Regis DT7 3RN	25/09/2016 12:26:25	OBJ	<p>As a frequent visitor to a property next to these proposed masts and in direct line of fire from them I wish to register my objection to their siting on Troyes House.</p> <p>The proposed site is far too low and unacceptable levels of radiation will be delivered to the surrounding properties. High levels of EMR have been shown to have harmful effects on human health.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Catherine Mortier	17 Parkhill Road London NW3 2YH	24/09/2016 21:08:32	OBJ	<p>'I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts too close to where I live.. I know that many people say they are safe but I am not convinced and I feel real concern about the effect it might have on my health and that of my family and friends.</p> <p>I also think it is preposterous to want to put a mast on a building the height of Troyes House which is not any higher than any of the other buildings around it.</p> <p>Last but not least my partner mobile provider is O2 and we have NO reception problem in our area (one street down from Lawn Road).so I cannot see why O2 should feel the need to put up a mast there.</p> <p>I do hope that you and your colleagues in Camden Council will take into consideration the objections of the local residents who are, after all, those who have to live with the mast, its possible health consequences and the possibility of seeing the value of their property go down.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your interest and fairness.</p> <p>Kind regards Catherine</p>
2016/4803/P	Dominie Wilson	7 Upper Park Road Hampstead NW32UN	23/09/2016 14:13:33	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to this proposal because of the very obvious health risks involved.</p> <p>As an elderly resident of Camden, struggling on a tiny pension, my health has already suffered grievously from the stress of now having to pay double council tax because last year a visiting Camden Council Official, ostensibly visiting to support our bed-ridden mother, deemed the higher tax necessary because our basement is not linked by indoor stairs. These stairs were removed thanks to WW2 bombing and the basement, without a damp course, is literally mould ridden and not fit for long term habitation!!!</p> <p>It seems, therefore, that Camden cares nothing for the well-being of its residents and often by stealth, only seeks to increase its own revenues.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Catherine Mortier	17 Parkhill Road London NW3 2YH	24/09/2016 21:08:18	OBJ	<p>'I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts too close to where I live.. I know that many people say they are safe but I am not convinced and I feel real concern about the effect it might have on my health and that of my family and friends.</p> <p>I also think it is preposterous to want to put a mast on a building the height of Troyes House which is not any higher than any of the other buildings around it.</p> <p>Last but not least my partner mobile provider is O2 and we have NO reception problem in our area (one street down from Lawn Road).so I cannot see why O2 should feel the need to put up a mast there.</p> <p>I do hope that you and your colleagues in Camden Council will take into consideration the objections of the local residents who are, after all, those who have to live with the mast, its possible health consequences and the possibility of seeing the value of their property go down.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your interest and fairness.</p> <p>Kind regards Catherine</p>
2016/4803/P	Andrew Judge	40 Queens Court Queens Road Cheltenham	24/09/2016 07:01:09	OBJ	<p>'I am a frequent visitor to my brother in law's house at 5 Lawn Road and I was horrified to hear that there was an application to erect a phone mast station on the roof of the block of flats next door. It is a very low block so seems a ridiculous place to put a phone mast station. It will give no coverage as it will be blocked by the surrounding houses while it will direct high levels of mobile phone radiation into all those houses.</p> <p>I am aware that the case for mobile phones causing cancer and other ills is still much disputed but I can only think back to cigarettes and asbestos, which were also much disputed. I therefore feel that this is an unacceptably risky installation and would like object on behalf of the residents.'</p>
2016/4803/P	Gerard James Dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road NW3 2XS	24/09/2016 12:18:35	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the proposal in the strongest possible terms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a conservation area. If I wished to increase the height of my roof it would be refused on aesthetic grounds. 2. I work in a medical field and there is increasingly powerful evidence of the long term damaging effects on the health of especially children in proximity to masts. Some residents of Troyes House will be living within a few metres of the masts. 3. I understand that Camden Council has proposed masts in a number of sites in order to get annual rental from the phone companies. For the Council to rule on it's own proposal is totally undemocratic and unacceptable. <p>It is clear that the only reason for this proposal is to increase revenue for the Council at the expense of the well being of the residents. It will be scandal if it is approved.</p>