Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2016/3968/P	Naomi Gordon	21 Kidderpore Gardens	23/09/2016 10:37:01	OBJ	I strongly object to this proposal. My house is directly behind the tennis courts. I am within feet of the tennis court and the light pollution caused by this proposal alongside the additional noise created by using the tennis courts until 9:30pm at night is totally unacceptable to us. The height of these poles will be overbearing and appear much larger due to my garden being situated beneath the tennis court. I would like an officer from Camden to see the position of my garden in relation to the tennis court because it is important to understand how the position of the poles will appear to us from our position in the garden. This cannot be appreciated without seeing the site. It will not only cause light pollution but have a feeling of encroachment and enclosure on our property. The all year courts (which are the ones most used at the club) are the ones closest to our garden and we will therefore suffer the most from light pollution and noise. This is a residential area and the Council should be protecting us from the adverse affects that this floodlights will bring. The poles will be an eyesore and the tennis court is located in a tranquil position surrounded by trees. Camden should be preserving the outlook of their borough not permitting 16 huge poles which will disturb trees, wildlife and destroy the beautiful outlook that is rare in our borough. Should this be granted, it will destroy the outlook we currently enjoy. This is a heritage conservation area and the borough should be ensuring that conservation is at the heart of its policies.
2016/3968/P	Jaini patel	5 kidderpore gardens London Nw37ss	26/09/2016 07:59:56	ОВЈ	I strongly object to he erection of floodlights using long 6 m poles. My house backs onto these courts. As it is I get a lot of noise form the club. This is a serious impact to our privacy
2016/3968/P	cedric de la chaise	Flat 1-2 and 4 33 Ferncroft Avenue London NW3 7PG	26/09/2016 07:11:11	OBJ	I am writing to you with respect to West Heath tennis club application for floodlights (2016/3968/p). We live at 33 Ferncroft Avenue and therefore have direct vision into West Heath tennis. There is absolutely no doubt that the floodlights:  1/ will create new light pollution (witch by the way will already increase a lot with the new developments on Kidderpore),  2/ the poles are totally unaesthetic  3/ There will be an increased level of noise at night  This is a conservation area, house owners are restricted as to what they can do with their facade (eg: satellite dish, floodlights) or trees. Therefore I struggle to understand how the club could get permission.  We also have been active members of the club for more than a decade and would argue the club does not need lights. The number of members has increased significantly in the last 5 years and the club is now near maximum capacity. Yet, the courts are frequently empty during daylight at week ends. The best example was this week end. The weather was sunny and warm and yet the courts were not even 50% utilized. It is also worth pointing out that the lights would mainly be used in winter when the courts are often wet and therefore dangerously slippery and practically unusable. This is why very few members play there in winter even during day time.
					Finally, most of the club members do not live in the vicinity and drive to the club. This will add to the parking shortfall that will emerge as the > 200 flats get completed on Kidderpore Av.

Printed on: 26/09/2016

09:05:08