Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 23/09/2016 09:05:09 Response:
2016/4803/P	Joanna Sellam	13c Clissold Road Stoke Newington N16 9EX N16 9EX	22/09/2016 15:19:17		I have personally experienced how phone masts impact on health: sleep disruption, extreme thirst, tinnitus, brain fog were a few of my symptoms. If a mast was set up I would not be able to visit my friend's who live in Lawn Road. The people in the area would all be adversely affected especially pregnant women, babies and children. It is wrong to expose people to RF radiation as independent, peer reviewed scientific research shows it contributes to infertility, heart conditions, brain tumours, cancer (the latency period may be 10-30 years), autism, dementia, diabetes type 2. RF radiation also causes breakages to DNA this has serious consequences for future generations. The 'safety limits' have been deemed obsolete by the European Parliament as they do not take into account non-thermal biological effects. For many, sensitivity comes from increased exposure, meaning we are all susceptible to increasing RF/EMF proliferation from the growing use of wireless technology. 3-5% of the population are hyper electrosensitive and it will cause them acute pain. Residents have a right to a healthy environment in which to live and raise their families. Camden should be looking at ways to decrease our RF exposure and calling for safety limits to be set which will protect all Camden citizens. This is too important to be complacent about.
2016/4803/P	Alex Maclean	4a Upper Park Road Belsize Park London NW3 2UP	22/09/2016 10:15:35	INT	I object to the masts. The location is unnecessary and the risks to health in a residential block are considerable. I have no problem with O2 mobile reception in this area. This is an undemocratic proposal which will be detrimental to the health and prosperity of local residents, negatively impacting on local house values. Camden are displaying flagrant disregard for locals interests for purely financial gain.
2016/4803/P	Lyndsey Rao	Garden flat 22 upper park road	22/09/2016 13:52:47	COMMNT	I oppose this phone mast, I live near by and I don't want this to be in such close proximity to so many families and children.
2016/4803/P	M Rose	Chy An Mor Plaidy Park Road PL13 1LG PL13 1LG	22/09/2016 12:28:37	OBJ	As someone who is environmentally-sensitive and visit Lawn Road regularly for work, I strongly object to this proposal, especially since coverage in the area seems good whenever I am there! Just not needed and not the right location for vulnerable residents either!
2016/4803/P	Fiona Sturges	10 Upper Park Rd London NW3 2UP	22/09/2016 09:42:43	OBJEMPER	I would like to register my objection to this proposal. Not enough is known about mobile phone radiation. Also the area already has sufficient coverage and the masts will be extremely unsightly.
2016/4803/P	CLARE MACLEAN	4A UPPER PARK ROAD BELSIZE PARK LONDON NW3 2UP	22/09/2016 17:25:59	ОВЈ	I strongly object to the erection of 6 mobile phone antennas on the roof of Troyes House, Lawn Road. As well as being unsightly in a conservation area it is still unknown just how these antenna affect public health.