

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Michele Foux	85 Lawn Road NW3 2XB	21/09/2016 19:07:16	OBJ	I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts to close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health and the health of my children and grandchildren. I also believe Camden has failed to consult adequately with local residents who would be affected by the instillation of these masts. I live directly opposite Troyes House and have not been consulted. I believe the masts to be unnecessary and likely to have a detrimental affect on local residents, in particular children, on the local environment and on local property values.
2016/4803/P	FELICITY DUNNING	10 Upper Park Road Belsize Park London NW3 2UP	21/09/2016 20:03:26	OBJ	I am an extremely concerned parent and I STRONGLY OBJECT to the proposed erection of a mobile base mast directly opposite my property. My 8 year old child's bedroom is in direct alignment and until I am cast iron guaranteed 100% via scientific evidence that there is no risk whatsoever from the exposure due to close proximity of EMF radiation from a mobile mast, then proceeding with this proposal and going against objections from residents of Upper Park Road, Lawn Road and the surrounding streets is tantamount to negligence. I DO NOT WANT TO EXPOSE MY CHILD OR MYSELF TO THE POTENTIAL HEALTH RISKS that I strongly believe after undertaking mass research. Until mobile mast radiation exposure is 100% proven safe and harmless to cells then I OPPOSE this planning proposal and will fight it with everything I have, as I believe the health risks outweigh the financial gain for Camden Council. To reiterate, to proceed is tantamount to safety negligence against my own health and most concerningly my young child's health.
2016/4803/P	Elliott Kaye	80 Upper Park Road	21/09/2016 12:25:32	OBJ	I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts to close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health' would be fine.
2016/4803/P	Raksha Raghavan	Flat B 190A Westend lane London NW6 1SG	22/09/2016 03:34:05	OBJ	'I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts to close to residences. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on the health of the people living around it
2016/4803/P	Robert Sturges	10 Upper Park Road London NW3 2UP	22/09/2016 08:43:47	OBJ	<p>This area is a family area and local residents worry about the continuing rumours about affects to health (of particular concern to those with children) regardless of whether this is factual or not.</p> <p>I understand that we live in a Conservation Area and far less significant applications get rejected daily so something as significant (& frankly ugly) as this application seems completely unfair and inappropriate.</p> <p>Mobile phones signal in the area is fine so this are no of any kind of community benefit here.</p> <p>For a Council with the alleged social commitments to their residents as Camden, it would seem that any serious consideration of an application of this nature in the face of significant residents objection would be unpolitic and a break in the social trust that should exist between an Authority and its Tax Payers.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Lucy Heard	Flat 7 Oliver Court NW3 2TE	22/09/2016 06:10:01	OBJ	An unsightly, unnecessary and potentially hazardous mast to be put on top of a building where children and elderly people are living. I think this is a very bad move and should be stopped.
2016/4803/P	Mr and Mrs Lewis	27 Parkhill Road London NW3 2YH	21/09/2016 16:44:56	OBJ	There is no need for this. It will be aesthetically offensive in a conservation area. We strongly object and ask that planning permission is refused.
2016/4803/P	Mr and Mrs Lewis	27 Parkhill Road London NW3 2YH	21/09/2016 16:45:05	OBJ	
2016/4803/P	Kimberley Turner	48 parliament hill Hampstead	21/09/2016 23:15:46	OBJ	I am opposed to this application on the grounds it is not required as network coverage is adequate in the area. Also the risk to public health is concerning. Adding as well that the lack of transparency by the council is lacking
2016/4803/P	Catherine Rose	5 Lawn Road	21/09/2016 17:22:54	OBJ	I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts to close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health'
2016/4803/P	Catherine Rose	5 Lawn Road	21/09/2016 17:22:38	OBJ	

Total: 5