

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4136/P	Bryan Edery	Flat 6 8 Maresfield Gardens NW3 5SU NW3 5SU	16/09/2016 16:47:45	OBJ	<p>Please find comments below, on behalf of myself, Bryan Edery and my wife, Deborah Edery of Flat 6, 8 Maresfield Gardens, NW3 5SU, with regard to the proposal at No.9 Maresfield Gardens. Essentially we believe the proposal should be rejected for the following reasons.</p> <p>We understand that the façade of No.9 is listed and believe this should be preserved to maintain the character of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>The proposals would need the removal of two trees on the boundary of No 11, and we feel this will be to the detriment of the character of Maresfield Gardens.</p> <p>The extension of the rear will reduce the size of the garden, which represents a poor approach, poor design, and poor precedent for other future developments.</p> <p>All of the elevations are in our opinion of poor quality given that they are part of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Our understanding of the submission is that it in fact requires a new basement where there was none before – and in addition, extending the new basement outside of the original footprint of the building.</p> <p>The high window to brick ratio for the new basement will make it out of character with Conservation Area.</p> <p>The proposals will mean that the external ground level will need to be lowered along the southern boundary so that light can get into the new basement windows. This will need a new boundary wall and its foundations, which will likely damage the foundational structure of No 7.</p> <p>Also, from what we can determine, the width of the light well will give very insufficient natural light into the new basement rooms.</p>
