

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Anastasia and James Shuck	3 Upper Park Road Nw32un	19/09/2016 14:06:47	OBJ	Residents of 3 Upper Park Road strongly oppose the proposal to authorize the installation of the antennas.
2016/4803/P	Rona Martin	Flat 3 3 Upper Park Road London NW3 2UN	19/09/2016 11:52:51	OBJ	I live at Flat 3, 3 Upper Park Road, NW3 2UN the house next to the block of flats in question. My flat is situated on the first floor and I have a roof terrace which is the flat roof of the coach house 3A Upper Park Road. From my living room, bedroom and terrace I look straight at the area I think you are planning to house the antennas consequently this will be an eye sore and also potentially take away sun light and adversely affect the value of my property. I would also like to take this opportunity to state there is already one of these antennas in situ which I was not consulted on.
2016/4803/P	Gill Fry	22 Troyes House Lawn Road London	20/09/2016 09:46:21	OBJ	<p>I live in Troyes House and do not want these 6 mobile antennae on our home's roof. I am worried and scared about the health dangers of the radiation emitted. I am a nurse and very concerned for the health of the residents, particularly those suffering from acute health conditions, and some ongoing chronic conditions, and for the vulnerable members of our community.</p> <p>Also it affects all our neighbours.</p> <p>There has been very little forewarning about this. Only one piece of paper stuck outside one of the buildings from Telefonica (02) alerted us to this on 8 August 2016. Then the council sent a letter on 12 September 2016. We now discover that the council have been planning this for a year!! Not good. I hope that Camden Council is a responsible and caring council protecting its residents from harm, and will overturn this proposal.</p> <p>I know the council needs more funds following all the austerity cut-backs but isn't there another way of gaining revenue? Instead of being paid to put dangerous, unnecessary mobile equipment on council tenants roofs, why not start a creative hub where people living in Camden can debate some new initiatives to propose better, harmless ventures to create money for the council's shortfalls? How about solar energy panels on the council building roofs to generate energy for the residents and sell the excess to the grid? New solar technology can now achieve this.</p> <p>Come on Camden Council: time to be bold, creative and ground-breaking instead of being swayed by harmful, commercial interests.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Maggie La Tourelle	Flat 16 Troyes House Lawn Road London NW3 2XT	20/09/2016 13:41:29	OBJ	<p>The science is inconclusive regarding the effects of such masts on health. I believe the proposed installation would pose a danger to my health. Seeing the masts and fearing the ill effects of living so close to it would seriously undermine my health and wellbeing on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>I am sensitive the EMFs and might have to sell my flat and move if the installation is approved and erected.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the health, physical and mental, of my neighbours a third of whom are vulnerable and already have debilitating health problems.</p> <p>There is no justification for an O2 mast in this location. I am an O2c customer and have no problems with reception.</p> <p>The site for this mast is low and as a result will beam EMFs into neighbouring houses. Masts such as these should be located on much higher buildings.</p> <p>Troyes House Estate is in a conservation area and putting a mast on this estate is esthetically unacceptable and violates the conditions for this conservation area.</p> <p>I am a leaseholder and having a mast on this estate will reduce the value of my property. If this happens I will seek legal advice with a view to claiming compensation.</p> <p>The process regarding this application lacks transparency on the part of Camden. The plan to erect this mast was discussed in committee a year ago but information requested by the residents has been ignored and there has been no consultation process, something Camden is required to do.</p>
2016/4803/P	Monica Lotta	20 B Lawn Road NW3 2XR NW3 2XR	19/09/2016 17:18:09	OBJ	<p>I am very concerned and worried about the proposal to install 6 mobile phone antennas very near my home.</p> <p>I do not think we have enough guarantees that phone radiation is safe and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health.</p>
2016/4803/P	Kate Mee	Pembroke Antrim Road NW3 4XN	20/09/2016 15:13:01	OBJ	<p>I am very concerned about the proposed installation of 6 antenna at Troyes House as I do not think them to be necessary and they carry the risk of harmful affect on local public health. There is no need to have them here as O2 mobile coverage is fine in Belsize Park and in any event the building is too low for this to be effective. I intend to object to this unnecessary application.</p>
2016/4803/P	Zoe Dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road London NW3 2XS	19/09/2016 12:50:10	COMMNT	<p>These masts are not necessary. This is a conservation area, and very strict controls have been inflicted on those of us who live here - these same controls should apply in this case. The masts will look ugly, and there are serious and genuine concerns as to their safety. It is neither necessary nor appropriate to put the health of the residents at risk in this way.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Frederick Jackson	80 Lawn Road NW3 2XB NW3 2XB	20/09/2016 11:05:20	INT	There are serious health concerns for those in and close to Troyes House. The proposal is unsightly and will reduce property values.
2016/4803/P	Joseph Zarfaty	83 Lawn Road Belsize Park London NW3 2XB	20/09/2016 19:24:27	OBJ	I am very worried by the idea that there might be phone masts just opposite my house. No one really knows enough about mobile phone radiation to say that these masts are safe, and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health. In addition, as it will certainly reduce the value of my property, I will consider demanding a very significant amount in compensation should Camden Council approve the building of these dangerous masts. .
2016/4803/P	Col Spector	First Floor Flat 15 Upper Park Rd London NW3 2UN	19/09/2016 18:54:51	OBJ	Hi there, My flat is within a few metres of the proposed antennas - I am seriously worried and very anxious about the effects that these antennas might cause as there has not been enough evidence to categorically state that the intended antennas will be 100% safe. That is why I am very VERY opposed to having them so near me and my family.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Sudhir Daya	Flat 10 Troyes House Lawn Road NW3 2XT NW3 2XT	19/09/2016 11:24:15	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Camden Council Planning</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>Camden council states that it can only consider comments that are directly related to the actual proposal..... “material considerations”.</p> <p>There is legal precedent for health and perceived risk to health being accepted as a material planning consideration (Newport county Borough Council v The Secretary of State for Wales and Browning Ferris Environmental Services Ltd Perceived health effects material planning consideration).</p> <p>I feel that the installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House will adversely affect my health. I feel the planning officers and councilors have a responsibility to consider the vast amounts of new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts (www.bioinitiative.org), especially vulnerable people. Troyes House has residents who are vulnerable, ranging from children, people with chronic illnesses and people with disabilities. Complying with the ICNIRP guidelines does not and cannot allay my concern as the guidelines do not take into account the non-thermal effects from mobile phone mast emissions and are only applicable to the “general population”.</p> <p>Loss of amenity is a very valid planning issue and it is clear that worrying about the implications to one’s health from mobile phone masts, especially if the mast is clearly visible from one’s home, is going to effect the person's quality of life - thus leading to a loss of amenity.</p> <p>The installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House also goes against Camden’s own health and wellbeing strategy. In January 2016, “Camden’s Joint Health and Wellbeing strategy 2016-2018: Living well, working together” was published. It states “health and wellbeing outcomes and inequalities are driven by a range of factors, some of which individuals have little control over. Others are the result of health behaviours which in turn are heavily influenced by people’s circumstances and environment, such as income, employment and living conditions. The strategy also states that it aims to: “Prevent – act early to prevent issues from developing and getting worse Promote - promote better health so that inequalities do no emerge in the first place”. I feel that the installation of a mast tower on the top of Troyes House adversely alters my living environment and circumstances and will have a detrimental effect on my health. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident of Camden to reject the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>In 2015, Camden and Islington published its annual public health report: “healthy minds, healthy lives: widening the focus on mental health”. The report states “the physical environment can have a significant impact on the mental wellbeing of residents and communities”. It further states “physical health and mental health are inextricably linked”. The installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes house is a change in my physical environment. I have very concerned for the effects that this mast will</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:**

Comment: **Response:**

have on both my physical and mental health. I have had to take time out from my working schedule to meet councilors and other residents. It has been stressful trying to monitor the planning application page on a daily basis as I have only a limited time to object. This whole experience has been distressing and unnecessary. I am further concerned for those residents of Troyes House who already have an existing mental and/or physical health condition as their risk of developing another condition increases significantly. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident to oppose the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.

I feel that the proposed mast will be visually intrusive. Due to the low height of Troyes House it will be highly visible to all the adjacent neighbours as well as residents of Troyes House. The proposed mast tower will thus be a constant reminder of the perceived threat to my health.

Troyes House sits within the Parkhill Conservation Area with listed buildings in its vicinity. According to the 2011 Management Strategy of Parkhill and Upper Park conservation area “Camden has a duty under the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (sections 69 and 72) to designate as conservation areas any “areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or historic interest of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance” and to pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of those areas”. I feel that the installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes House will be to the detriment of the appearance and character of the Parkhill conservation area.

I feel the installation of a mast on top of Troyes House will impact the land. There appears to be a dearth of evidence on the impact of EMF on animals, so we do not know the impact of radiation on wildlife. Camden’s Biodiversity Action Plan 2013-2018 states: “...focuses on looking after our open spaces so that they provide opportunities for wildlife to thrive. This means managing existing natural spaces...”. Troyes House and the neighbouring areas have gardens and natural spaces that should be managed by taking wildlife, such as bees and birds, into account.

I feel that Camden Council and Waldon telecommunications have not given me adequate information regarding the proposed installation e.g. what is the power level per square centimetre at 3m from each antenna? Also what is the justification for the installation of the mast? Also, Waldon telecommunications were not transparent in their voluntary notice in that they only placed it at the communal entrance of Flat 13-25. The residents of flat 1-12 thus had not visibility of this voluntary notice. I feel that Camden Council have not engaged fully with me on this matter.

There appears to be opposition from many of the local residents to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. There is legal precedent that sensible alternative sites should be used if local opinion is against one siting

(Jodie Phillips v. (1) First Secretary of State (2) Havant Borough Council (3) Hutchinson 3G (UK) Limited).

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The Ministry of Justice released a handbook for public authorities called “human rights: human lives”. Article 8 of the booklet states “Everyone has the right to respect for their private and family life, their home and their correspondence” and that I have a right to the “protection of health”. Article 8’s rights cover matters of self-determination and my freedom to choose. I feel that I have not been given the opportunity to make an informed choice with regards to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. I also have no choice about how to limit my exposure to the emissions from the proposed mast tower and I have no control over my interaction with the proposed mast on top of Troyes House. I feel that Camden Council has a duty and responsibility to respect my human rights.</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>I would like Camden Council to stand by its “Ways of Working” and “remember that we are here for the residents..... So that people of Camden know we are acting on their behalf”.</p>
2016/4803/P	Hani Chang	26 Pilgrims Lane Hampstead	19/09/2016 10:33:54	OBJ	As a nearby resident I am extremely concerned that to go ahead with such a plan in the face of such informed public opposition, purely for financial gain, ignoring potential risks to adult and child health, would set a dangerous precedent which would indicate that the council is not acting in the best interests of its constituents and is willing to risk health and safety of the residents for monetary gain.
2016/4803/P	Wim van de Vegte	20 Flat B Lawn Road NW32XR NW32XR	19/09/2016 17:24:30	OBJ	I am worried about the idea of installing 6 antennas very near my home. I am deeply concerned about the possible health implications and consequences for myself and others both in the short and longer term.
2016/4803/P	Mr B Kingsley	Downside Crescent London NW3 2AS	20/09/2016 15:04:51	OBJEMPER	I am resident on the Lawn Road end of Downside Crescent and I am concerned at the prospect of 6 new mobile phone masts being installed in this residential area. I appreciate that mobile phones, and therefore mobile signals, are now omnipresent in London, but I am equally aware that there are reportedly questions about the impact on health of installing phone masts in densely populated areas. I have children and so I am particularly concerned to minimize their unnecessary exposure to harmful electromagnetic radiation sources. I therefore wish to object to the proposed installation of six phone masts on Troyes House.
2016/4803/P	Linda Lyon	9 Hambrook Court Ingestre Road London NW5 1XB	20/09/2016 16:48:15	OBJEMPER	I am very worried by the idea of too many phone masts being installed in Camden. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on mine & other peoples health.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4803/P	Jo Natas	3 garnett road	19/09/2016 21:47:04	COMMNT	'I am very worried by the idea that there might be I I am very concerned that the location of the proposed phone masts are too to close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to say that and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on mine and my families health'
2016/4803/P	James and Victoria Twining	24 Lawn Road NW32XR	19/09/2016 10:55:00	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed phones masts on top of Troyes House for multiple reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no requirement for them, given existing coverage 2. The site is inappropriate, given the height of Troyes House and the inevitable obstruction created by neighbouring buildings 3. There are numerous scientific studies linking high levels of electro-magnetic radiation to health issues, particularly with small children. While none of these studies can be considered definitive, there is enough uncertainty over this topic to raise serious questions over the suitability of this site, within a densely populated residential area. Any decision to go ahead despite these concerns is storing up potentially ruinous legal action for the council in the future 4. The proposed design and location is unacceptable for a Conservation Area that includes many Grade 1 listed buildings <p>I believe that Camden Council is in line to receive a significant payment from O2 in return for granting permission for these masts. I am deeply considered that the council's narrow financial interests are being allowed to ride roughshod over resident's legitimate concerns, health risks and existing planning guidelines.</p> <p>James and Victoria Twining</p>