**Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction**

**Section A (Site Summary)** – to be completed by Case Officer

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Case officer contact details:** | Nora ConstantinescuNora-andreea.constantinescu@camden.gov.uk02079745758 | **Date of audit request:** | 06/09/2016 |
| **Camden Reference:** | 2016/4460/P | **Statutory consultation end date:** | 27/09/2016 |
| **Site Address:** | 59 Solent Road, NW6 1TY, London |
| **Reason for Audit:** | Planning application / Basement Extension |
| **Proposal description:**  Excavation of basement level with front lightwell and erection of a single storey rear extension following the demolition of the existing rear additions to dwelling (Class C3). |
| **Relevant planning background** N/A |
| Do the basement proposals involve a listed building or does the site neighbour any listed buildings?  | No  |
| Is the site in an area of relevant constraints? (check site constraints in M3/Magic GIS) | Slope stability  | Unknown |
| Surface Water flow and flooding | Yes |
| Subterranean (groundwater) flow | Unknown |
| Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference[[1]](#footnote-1)  | No |
| No/Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?  | Yes |

**Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Items provided for Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)1**  |
| **Item provided** | **Yes/No/NA2** | **Name of BIA document/appendix in which information is contained.**  |
| 1 | Description of proposed development.  | yes | Design Access Statement and BIA |
| 2 | Plan showing boundary of development including any land required temporarily during construction. | yes |  |
| 3 | Plans, maps and or photographs to show location of basement relative to surrounding structures. | yes | Design Access Statement |
| 4 | Plans, maps and or photographs to show topography of surrounding area with any nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014) | yes | Design Access Statement and Proposed plan 700.000 |
| 5 | Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures. | yes | PAD dwg and BIA |
| 6 | Plans and sections to show layout and dimensions of proposed basement. | yes | PAD dwg  |
| 7 | Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration. | no | Will be provided once contractor is appointed |
| 8 | Identification of potential risks to land stability (including surrounding structures and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding.  | Yes  | BIA |
| 9 | Assessment of impact of potential risks on neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater.  | Yes  | BIA |
| 10 | Identification of significant adverse impacts. | Yes  | BIA |
| 11 | Evidence of consultation with neighbours. | No  | Will provide |
| 12 | Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including * Desktop study
* exploratory hole records
* results from monitoring the local groundwater regime
* confirmation of baseline conditions
* factual site investigation report
 | Yes/no  | BIA report was based on a desktop study and based on SE studies from n.57 ( adjacent house ) that has a full size basement. Too add consistency to the report we have requested a site investigation to be undertaken. It was conducted and we should be waiting for the results mid September. Will provide results asap. |
| 13 | Ground Movement Assessment (GMA). | no |  |
| 14 | Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area. | Yes  | PAD dwgs |
| 15 | Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts. | Yes  | BIA |
| 16 | Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works. | No  | Tb discussed with appointed contractor |
| 17 | Proposals for monitoring during construction. | No  | Tb discussed with appointed contractor |
| 18 | Confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to Burland Scale  | No  | Tb discussed with appointed contractor |
| 19 | Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects. | Yes  | BIA |
| 20 | Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and CSM), including consideration of cumulative effects. | Yes  | BIA |
| 21 | Identification of areas that require further investigation. | No  | Tb discussed with appointed contractor |
| 22 | Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA. | No  | Tb discussed with appointed contractor |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Additional BIA components (added during Audit)** |  |  |
| **Item provided** | **Yes/No/NA2** |  | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1 NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

2 Where response is ‘no’ or ‘NA’, an explanation is required in the Comment section.

**Section C : Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date** | **Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)** | **Date estimate for initial report** | **Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)** |
| 15/09/2016 | Category B - £3045 | Approximately 4 weeks from instruction | Additional fees may be required for* site attendance
* reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation
* reviewing third part consultation comment
* attending DCC.
 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require an update to the above table, with justification provided by the auditor. These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.

**Section D: Audit Agreement (to be completed by Applicant)**

**For data protection reasons this section should NOT be published on the Public website.**

I agree to pay the full costs of the independent audit of the Basement Impact Assessment associated with the planning application for the site identified in Section A.

Additional fees, which would be charged at the hourly rate, will also arise, for instance in the following circumstances:

* To assess detailed revisions to the originally submitted audit material
* To assess detailed technical consultation responses from Third Party consultants
* To attend Development Control Committee

Every effort will be made to minimise the occurrence of additional unforeseen expenses arising from the audit process.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **FULL Name of contact [to be sent Invoice for final costs]\***  |  |
| **Address of contact** |  |
| **Company (if relevant)** |  |
| **Contact telephone number** |  |
| **Date** |  |

\*If no Company name provided then **full name** of Contact (First-name & Surname) must be provided – initials will not suffice.

1. Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)