

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4862/P	S Julien	Flat C 1 Highgate Road London NW5 1JY	15/09/2016 17:19:35	COMMNT	<p>The developer must have the deliberate intention to further aggravate us by this drip-feed of plans/alterations:</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: FIRE ESCAPE HAZARD</b> Now, in 2016/4862/P "alterations", we are shown six double bike racks taking up about 40% of the internal entrance. This leaves the proposed residents only the remaining corridor space as a potential fire exit. 12 bikes on the plan certainly create a FIRE ESCAPE HAZARD in the entrance area.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: DENSITY/GATES INSTALLED/OVERUSE OF ALLEYWAY CAUSING NOISE POLLUTION/</b> The issue of cyclists manoeuvring through the narrow passage where the Bull &amp; Gate has both regular deliveries plus its own refuse/recycling issues is unsupportable. The noisy opening and closing of gates recently erected in the alleyway (perhaps without planning consent) - compounds the problems anticipated with proposed residents talking/smoking/accessing refuse bins etc in the alleyway. This will be in addition to those who drink and smoke outside the Bull &amp; Gate beneath both our living room and bedroom.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: REFUSE &amp; RECYCLING</b> Our own property needs three metal refuse bins plus three plastic recycling bins. Where are the development's bins to be located and how many? We were subjected to rats being attracted to the Bull &amp; Gate's refuse issues at one time.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: POOR &amp; INCOMPLETE DRAWINGS:</b> Following the initial "Change of Use" 2016/2279/P application granted prior approval in July, we very soon had sight of 2016/4663/P "Alterations" which dispensed with the original concept and burst open the confines of the site to push up the height and destroy the potential of the current building. Those alterations, the choice of cheap materials and indifference to any good contemporary design, shows the developer's bog-standard attitude to this site.</p> <p>The Council should insist on a proper, thorough and complete set of drawings which include sections/elevations, together with 3D computer generated visual/video showing the development's relationship to surrounding buildings, proposed views from our windows at 1C, which we can all "walk through". This is now a standard technology in architectural terms.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: INCONSISTENCY</b> In 2016/4862/P Finally, the "Patio" outside appears to have been discarded - unless it is going to re-appear in a few days on a new application to show where the refuse/recycling area might emerge!</p> <p><b>OBJECTION: INADEQUATE INFORMATION SHOULD NOT RECEIVE APPROVAL</b></p>