

**Muthoora, Leela**

---

**From:** Sally Jay [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 September 2016 20:22  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** Zoe Landau Konson  
**Subject:** Planning 23 Ingham Road  
  
**Categories:** Red Category

Planning 2016/4419/P

To whom it may concern

I have been informed over the last couple of days that no 23 Ingham Road does not have to apply for planning permission to put a second storey on top of the 1st floor extension.,

I am horrified that a notification of this was not going to be forthcoming, and it is no longer an obligation on your behalf to inform interested parties.

I object vehemently to this excessive development to what is a small , originally 2 up 2 down house.. By all means move sideways on the ground floor as many of the local residents are doing, but putting a second floor that sits so close to the end of a tiny tiny garden.

The window in this 2nd floor extension will overlook my garden and remove a degree of privacy that we all require and will be a concrete eyesore., There is no house in either Burrard or the South side of Ingham that has a enclosed second floor ,all the houses have either terraces or do not use the flat roof.

I believe that the development judging from the drawings may have interpreted the measurements of the plot incorrectly and put in plans for what would only be suitable for a much larger area and garden Perhaps a new survey should be done by yourselves , before work starts. The do it yourself extension on the back of the house Which was put up by the previous owners may have been included in the dimension s for original square footage . Please correct me if I am wrong but I believe that the development of these old properties must be based on the original square footage. !

This seems an excessive development in the middle of a terrace when none of the houses have proper foundations and many have subsidence issues.,

I would be pleased to have your comments

Regards  
Sally Jay

Sent from my iPad