Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry	Date:	08/09/2	016	
(Members Briefing)		N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:		11/08/2016		
Officer			Application Nu	Application Number(s)				
Emily Whittredge			2016/3875/P	2016/3875/P				
Application Address			Drawing Numb	Drawing Numbers				
18 Courthope Road London NW3 2LB			Refer to Draft D	Refer to Draft Decision Notice				
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Si	gnature			
Proposal(s)								
Loft conversion involving the installation of 2 x rear dormer extensions and front 2 x rooflights								
Recommendation(s):	Granted							
Application Type:	Householder Application							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	12	No. of responses	00	No. of o	bjections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:	Site notice: 15/07/2016 (expired 20/07/2016)							
	No consultation responses received.							
Mansfield CAAC:	Mansfield CAAC has raised objection to the lower dormer on the grounds that it would have a detrimental effect on the Conservation Area by breaking the eaves line.							
	Officer response - the proposed roof changes have been considered to be acceptable given their modest nature, siting at the rear and in the context of an area with other roof alterations or additions. Please refer to section 3 of the report.							

Site Description

The application site lies on the eastern side of Courthope Road and comprises a three storey end of terrace dwellinghouse with a three storey rear outrigger. The property has been extended at the rear in the form of a single storey side extension.

Most dwellings on Courthope Road have been altered at roof level with front and rear dormers of varying size, and most have created roof terraces over their rear outriggers. No. 20 adjoining the subject property has a full width box dormer and roof terrace with access stair.

Relevant History

2016/3876/P - Loft conversion involving the installation of 2 x rear dormer extensions and front 2 x rooflights and roof terrace – **Not yet determined.** (*N.B. This application has been submitted concurrently and proposes the same development, in addition to a roof terrace).*

The proposal closely replicates the approved development at 48 Courthope Road under application reference 2014/7760/P. This application included a dormer, an access door breaking the eaves line and a terrace over the rear outrigger.

Relevant policies

National Planning Policy Framework (2012)

London Plan (2016)

LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies (2010) CS5 Managing the impact of growth and development

CS14 Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage

DP24 Securing high quality design DP25 Conserving Camden's heritage DP26 Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

Camden Planning Guidance

CPG1 Design (2015) CPG6 Amenity (2011)

Mansfield Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy (2008)

Assessment

- 1. Proposed Development
- 1.1 The proposal comprises a loft conversion with roof lights and rear dormer extensions.
- 1.2 The principal rear dormer would sit within the roof slope 1 m from the outer margin, 0.5 m below the ridge and 0.95 m from the eaves, measuring 2.1m wide, 1.9m high and 3 m deep. It would be clad in hanging tiles to match the existing roof. The second dormer projection would be located on the rear outrigger nearest the party wall, flush with the rear elevation and forming the access to the roof terrace. The secondary dormer would adjoin the party wall, measuring 2.55 m high, 1.85m wide and set 1.85m below the ridge line.
- 1.3 The front roof lights would be small in scale and would align with the windows below.

2. Principle of Development

- 2.1 The key considerations in the assessment of this application are:
 - Design
 - Residential Amenity
- 3. Design
- 3.1 The rear dormers are modest in size in real and relative terms. The doors onto the roof terrace would require a low dormer that would break the eaves line, measuring 1m higher than the eaves by 1.9 m wide. Although this is contrary to CPG1 guidance, which requires all dormers to be set back from the eaves, similar dormers built off the rear wall appear on at least eight other properties in the immediate area. There are at least 9 full-width box dormers built off the rear wall.
- 3.2 A significant proportion of properties in Courthope, Estelle and Shirlock Roads have rear dormers (and many have front dormers), but their scale and appearance varies widely. This part of the conservation area is characterised by broken roof slopes, but with no uniformity to the design, size or materials of the dormers.
- 3.3 The principal roof enlargement being proposed is at the rear of the property which reduces its impact on local character, and given its size and use of materials it would have less visual impact than many others in the immediate area, including the neighbouring property. The dormer is set back from the roof margins and party wall and complies with CPG1 guidance.
- 3.4 The secondary dormer would have little impact on either the appearance of the host building and the character of the wider area due to its modest size and discreet location adjoining the party wall, where the neighbouring full-width dormer and raised access stair have visual dominance.
- 3.5 The Conservation Area Appraisal with respect to roof extensions and alterations places emphasis upon front roof slopes and roofs to properties where a distinct character of roofline has been preserved. It also prioritises a certain number of named roads where roof changes must be very closely controlled; however, Courthope Road is not included within this list.
- 3.6 For the material reasons stated above, it is considered that the lower secondary dormer would not harm the character of the conservation area in this location, and a departure from CPG1 would be acceptable in this instance. The front roof lights would have an acceptable impact on the conservation area.
 - 4. Residential Amenity
 - 4.1 The rear dormer, sited at roof level and modest in size, would not cause amenity issues for neighbours. The neighbouring property has been extended at a larger scale, and as such the proposed development would not cause an undue loss of amenity to the adjoining occupiers.

Recommendation: Grant conditional planning permission.

Disclaimer:

The decision to refer an application to Planning Committee lies with the Director of Regeneration and Planning. Following the Members Briefing panel on Monday 12th September 2016, nominated members will advise whether they consider this application should be reported to the Planning Committee. For further information, please go to <u>www.camden.gov.uk</u> and search for 'Members Briefing'.