

Gentet, Matthias

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 September 2016 15:59
To: Planning
Subject: Fwd: 106 Great Russell Street WC1 2015/6422/P and 2016/0354/L

Dear Camden Planning,

I wrote in objecting to planning application on 26 July, see below. My objection was not acknowledged or placed on the Camden Planning website as far as I can see.

Since sending it, I have seen further information and comments on the applications, notably from the Bloomsbury Association, and I have consulted the application again.

I see no reason to change the substance of my email sent 26th July. So I am sending it again.

I object very strongly to this application for the reasons given. Planning permission should not be given.

Yours sincerely
Dr Kaori O'Connor

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kaori O'Connor <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 106 Great Russell Street WC1
Date: 26 July 2016 18:28:10 BST
Cc: laura.hazeltan@camden.gov.uk, "Harrison, Adam (Councillor)" <Adam.Harrison@camden.gov.uk>
To: planning@camden.gov.uk

106 GREAT RUSSELL STREET
LONDON WC1B 3NB

Application for planning permission 2015/6422/P

Application for listed building consent 2016/0354/L

26 July 2016

I live in Bedford Court Mansions which backs on to 106 Great Russell Street and will be directly affected by this application.

I objected to the initial application 2015/6422/P – and to previous similar applications relating to this property - and on reviewing the revised version of the application on the Camden website, I am writing to register my continuing objection on the following grounds:

1) The application poses a threat to the mature tree in the rear garden. This tree is the subject of a Tree Preservation Order, it provides necessary shade and privacy to the many residents of the block in which I live. The report provided by the applicants and their claims that the tree would not be damaged are not convincing. It's loss would impact negatively on the natural environment and the wellbeing of residents.

2) The historic character of the Bloomsbury Conservation Area is a great asset that benefits Camden as a whole, and which the Council should be determined to preserve and protect. This application damages the visual, historic and spatial aspect of the area, both at the front of the property and at the rear.

3) The application includes the request for relocation of air conditioning equipment which would directly affect us in neighbouring properties but no noise assessment has been made.

For these reasons – and for those raised in my previous objections – Camden should reject this application, which it has rejected before, and which in its new version is not materially different or improved.

I want to be kept aware of the progress of this application.

Dr K. O'Connor

118 Bedford Court Mansions WC1