

**Dike, Darlene**

---

**From:** Phillips, Kate  
**Sent:** 09 September 2016 15:52  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Planning Application Ref 2016/1355/P

Please log to 2016/1355/P

Kind regards

Kate Phillips  
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2521



---

You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

---

**From:** GMI1 [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2016 17:19  
**To:** Phillips, Kate  
**Cc:** Gerald Moran  
**Subject:** Planning Application Ref 2016/1355/P

Dear Ms Phillips.

I am writing in response to the above listed planning application at 82 Heaths St LONDON NW3 1DN.

I would like to register my OBJECTION to the above planning application based on the following points.

1. The building is grade 2 listed building and forms a focal point at the upper end of Heath Street and directly across from Holly Bush Steps a major historical feature of Hampstead.
2. The building is one of the oldest and most recognised ( historically ) buildings in Hampstead.
3. I object to the change of use of this building from Retail use Class A1 to Class D1. I feel that it is necessary for the community to maintain the businesses on Heath St to benefit all other businesses on this section. ( As exemplified by the demise of the upper part of Heath St)
4. When previous planning was granted ( though I can find no record of this on your website ) for No 76 Heath ST , I believe that condition was that business should be maintained at street level. This has not been done directly as the windows are frosted out and appear to be office accommodation behind, This is very unsightly on the street elevation.
5. I believe that a number of the buildings are owned by the owners of Heaths side Preparatory School and on plan it s clear of some pincer movement to form a complete block of buildings. especially at street level.

6. The most obvious objection is due to the likely increase of traffic, which is already impossible during most of the day and worse in mornings and evenings for any sort of transport. Should these so called rooms be intended to increase the number of pupils in attendance, This will logically increase the drop off and collect traffic.

7. The volume of young pupils already on the pavement with parents at drop off and pick up times dangerously overcrowds what is a very narrow section of pavement.

8. The buildings themselves when considered on plan in the proposed layout form spaces that are poorly serviced for light and ventilation and also for safety access in case of emergency the only staircase ( proposed ) is surrounded by buildings ( in an enclosed courtyard. )

9. A very old and largely timber construction building is in no way suitable for taking the level of live loads that could be generated by a school usage.

10. At very minimum I would request that a condition in perpetuity is placed on the premises, that the whole ground floor is maintained as retail space as the square footage in relation to the glazing and therefore business rates is required in order to generate sufficient income to maintain a viable business.

11. We have received no notification of these changes / applications as we would expects we are virtually opposite the premises.

12. We have also not been aware of any notices on local lampposts etc.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Kind Regards,

Yours Sincerely,

Gerald J. Moran  
Managing Director  
GMI ( Intl ) Ltd - Gerald Moran Interiors  
Goulding House  
85 Heath Street  
LONDON  
NW3 6UG

