

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4326/P	Hilary Barnes	2 Alma Street Kentish Town NW53DJ NW53DJ	04/09/2016 20:07:36	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application. This striking building is already being converted from its original purpose of providing employment and studio space, to one of providing this landlord with a large number of flats for rent or sale. There is already far too much housing for high end renting in the area. Not exactly conducive to a cohesive community. The employment space adjacent to 8 Anglers Lane, at 6 Anglers Lane, has already been lost to flats. It is my understanding that they are owned by the same landlord. They are mainly uninhabited.</p> <p>I can only assume this application to plonk 2 further "residences" on the roof of the factory is not required to fulfill any housing need but to provide an additional income for the landlord. It is unnecessary over development.</p> <p>Whatever the plans appear to propose, the line of the roof will be further destroyed and I object to the fact that the rear of my property and that of my neighbours will be overlooked.</p> <p>I fully support the removal of the telecommunications and ancillary structures. Many people objected to their placement when they were proposed, presumably long before the building became the property of the current owner. I welcome this part of the application and the fact that the proposal to remove the hideous structures means that they are no longer useful or needed. I have always been concerned about the possible dangers to health they might be presenting to long term Camden residents, especially those in Una House.</p> <p>As a neighbour of 8 Anglers Lane for the past 50 years, and one who volunteered in the building some 30 years ago when it offered support to vulnerable people through the wonderful Rose and Mark Hacker Centre, I was disappointed to see it changing from an affordable place for voluntary sector organisations and Charities, community and employment space to residential. However, given that resistance was futile, I have to say that I have been very impressed by the way in which the present owner has retained the original windows and brought the facade back to such an excellent standard. The Council and its planners no doubt had an active and very positive role in this.</p> <p>The building is a much loved, a very visible landmark and an impressive part of the history of Camden. I do hope that this application will be rejected.</p>

2016/4326/P	Hilary Barnes	2 Alma Street Kentish Town NW53DJ NW53DJ	04/09/2016 20:07:36	OBJ
-------------	---------------	---	---------------------	-----

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4326/P	D.Barton	2c Willes Road	02/09/2016 19:42:40	INT	<p>I object to this additional over-development of the site, way beyond what has been continuing to date for two summers of noise and disruption. As it is we've already lost precious successful creative media office space to luxury rentals in these two buildings, not simply at number 8.</p> <p>The photo of the existing amount of overlook is grossly misleading, in that if the camera moved left it would clearly see into our bedroom and living room below and those of our neighbours in Willes Road. The character and skyline as it exists would improve if the communication block was removed but would be much more intrusive with any new residential roof development. In the accompanying documents showing planning history, application 2015/2349/P is omitted which shows the comms block only recently upgraded (2015) as part of the current works. Don't we need the mobile antennae? Are more flats arriving by oversight and/or surprise, or somehow left off the previous overall planning application??</p>
