

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4104/P	A Margolis	144 Fleet Road	04/09/2016 16:04:03	OBJ	<p>Please redact personal identifying data.</p> <p>We have objections to the planning application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The building already oversees the gardens and back bedrooms windows of several properties in Fleet Road 140, 142, 144 and Constantine Road including immediately in front of our bedroom window. Increasing the size so that the property is built even closer to our property will only mean our privacy is invaded more so. It will be impossible to enjoy any privacy in surrounding gardens or bedrooms during the day and early morning and evening when the mews is in use. This also includes noise from the building being closer and thus more invasive.2. Deliveries to the building will be made closer to our properties with all the noise and fumes, particularly early morning, that that entails.3. The applicants state there would still be room for 12 parking spaces. Presently the area in front of the entrance to the building is not large enough for that number of cars. If such a large extension is built at the front of the property this would lose several parking spaces unless the applicants plan to start parking cars right next to the garden walls of our property, 144 Fleet, and under our building itself which is where the entrance to their property exists. We object strongly to the idea that staff (numbers of which would increase surely with a larger office building) would park their cars, making fumes and noise right next to our property, along with deliveries doing similar.4. Access for Fire Engines can only be through the covered driveway under our building. If staff cars are parked closer to our building this would impede access if Fire Rescue becomes necessary. It could also mean that fire could spread from the building or from a car and this would increase fire risk as all the cars would contain petrol which is an accelerant and this would put our building at risk of fire from the back with difficult access for Fire Rescue.5. We believe that this is an overdevelopment in a completely residential area. Whilst it is close to South End Green and the application makes a statement about previous permission, this was 12 years ago, before there was a conservation area. The surrounding areas have become more residential with Camden Council selling land which abutted the property in question which has had a large residential estate, Byron Mews, built on it.6. We believe the proposal would increase pollution, both noise and fumes, and destroy privacy in a completely residential enclave.
