

Dike, Darlene

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 August 2016 14:38
To: Planning
Subject: Planning application 2016/3018/P.

I am writing to object to this planning application. Please read this objection in conjunction with my separate objection to planning application 2016/4143/P (copied below).

I live in the adjacent building, The Ziggurat. My windows overlook Saffron Street and Onslow Street so I am likely to be most directly affected by any development which might increase traffic and noise and light pollution on the Onslow Street side of the building. My neighbours with windows overlooking the other sides of The Ziggurat have been severely affected by the illegal development of the car park, and breaches of the s106 agreement which was intended to mitigate the consequences for them of the illegal development. I strongly object to any further development of this building which could increase the nuisance and disturbance to them, which any additional space and increase of glazed window area is bound to do.

Also, please see below my comments on the access via Onslow Street. If any further development is permitted under this application 2016/3018/P please include a requirement that the exit to Onslow Street is to be used as a fire exit only, and not for general access and deliveries.

Please also note my comments below that both applications should be decided by committee and not a single case officer.

regards,

Catherine Ghosh
Flat 3.4 The Ziggurat, 60-66 Saffron Hill, London, EC1N 8QX.

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@camden.gov.uk
Sent: 31/08/2016 14:24:40 GMT Daylight Time
Subj: Planning application 2016/4143/P

I am writing to object to this planning application. Please read this objection in conjunction with my separate objection to planning application 2016/3018/P.

I object to this application on the grounds that it may increase the traffic and noise pollution in Onslow Street, which my bedroom windows overlook. I am fully aware of all the problems my neighbours on the other sides of the building have had with this owner, with illegal development and consistent breaches of a s106 agreement. I do not want the landlord in any circumstances to replicate those difficulties by 'moving round' the traffic to the rear of the building, so I am very against any development of the rear of this building (or at all) whilst under the current ownership.

The plans show the rear staircase in Onslow Street as a 'fire exit'. If any development is permitted at all (whether under this application or related application 2016/3018/P) then please impose a condition that this exit to the building is only to be used as a fire exit and not as a main means of entry to any part of the building, especially the upper floors.

I also object on the grounds of increased light pollution.

The design statement for this application says that as part of the development there will be a stair lift added to increase disabled access to the lift which starts on the half-landing. I think that this is for the lift at the front of the building, but this is not entirely clear. Whilst it is highly unusual to object to a disabled stair lift, if it is intended for the rear of the building I consider that inappropriate for a fire exit. In any event, there is nothing to stop the building owner putting this lift in to benefit disabled access so I do not consider it in any sense an amenity which requires the trade-off of further development of space.

Please note that my view is that, having regard to the history of the site, this application, and the related application 2016/3018/P both need to be decided by committee not a single case officer.

regards,

Catherine Ghosh
Flat 3.4 The Ziggurat, 60-66 Saffron Hill, London EC1N 8QX.