

Dike, Darlene

From: Calum Lamont [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 August 2016 07:35
To: Planning
Subject: 2016/3018/P
Attachments: 1 x response.PDF; 1 x response (1).PDF; 1 x response (2).PDF

Dear Sirs

There are three objections currently listed under related application 2016/4143/P which have been put in the wrong place, not surprisingly given the difficulties and confusion caused by the various failures in the consultation process.

Please could these be added to 2016/3018/P. I have told the original complainants to add them separately as well.

Thanks
Calum

CALUM LAMONT



Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2016/4143/P	vallery tchukov	Flat 5.1 Ziggurat Building 60-66 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8QX EC1N 8QX & 2016/3018/P (as per Calum Lamont's email)	20/08/2016 15:25:33	COMMNT	Dear Sir/Madam,	I am writing to object to the above planning application.
					I live on the fifth floor of the adjacent building (60-66 Saffron Hill) and my windows face north and west, directly towards and to the side of the proposed extensions. Apart from the disruption and the deterioration of quality of life, which building works would inevitably cause, my main concerns are:	

1. Reduced direct sunlight and obstruction of free travel of light. My flat presently sits just above the roofline of 67-74 and enjoys uninterrupted views, reflected in its higher purchase price.
2. Further loss of ambient light.
3. Further increase of light pollution - already a substantial problem for residents facing the south side elevation of 67-74.
4. Intrusive loss of privacy. The back windows of the proposed rear elevation extension would face my windows from a distance of less than 7.8 metres.

Yours faithfully,
V. Tchukov

Printed on: 22/08/2016 09:05:08

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4143/P & 2016/3018/P	Malcolm McKay 6.5 Ziggurat 6 Saffron Hill EC1N 8QX	22/08/2016 12:26:29	COMMNT	I live on the north facing sixth floor of the Ziggurat the next door to 67-74 Saffron Hill and object strongly to the proposed extension. As you will know the record of the owners in keeping to the agreed proposals is woeful. They are noisy neighbours indeed having no regard for the peaceful lives of the residents next door. The proposed development will include windows over looking the Ziggurat and will create many privacy problems. The proposed development will block light from the Ziggurat. There will be months of not years of disruption. The sun path will be disrupted. I object strongly.	
	<u>(as per Calum Lamont's email)</u>				

Printed on: 23/08/2016

09:05:07

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4143-P 8 2016/3018/P	LINDSAY ALKER (as per Calum Lamont's email)	Flat 2:9 The Ziggurat 60-66 Saffron Hill EC1N 8QX	24/08/2016 12:09:40 COMMNT		The proposed extension will have a detrimental effect on our residential properties in the ziggurat. It will cause a further loss of light & privacy as windows face directly into flats a short distance away. The additional people in this building will cause an increase in noise & vehicles which are already a significant problem in this building. Lights are left on 24/7 & rubbish is constantly thrown out into the yard. This also happens at the rear where the proposed extension to the floor above is planned, consequently the owner of this building uses 2 areas directly below residents windows to noisily dispose of all the waste products of the printing business therein by hurling them into the yard. They have no consideration for their neighbours & are disruptive out of hours & at weekends. Trucks & deliveries will increase significantly with more office or warehouse space. The pollution levels are already at record highs. The council needs to seriously consider that a business which operates in a mixed residential area with 24 hour deliveries should not be allowed to expand. They use both front & back entrances to load currently & this is unacceptable. Also there are numerous cyclists in this area or passing through so granting permission to a business reliant on its heavy duty vehicles is clearly a danger to them.

Printed on: 25/08/2016

09:05:08