

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/4487/P	Andy Moody	35 Leighton Road London NW5 2QG	27/08/2016 11:06:29	OBJ	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I strongly object to this application on three grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed mansard roof extension is completely out of keeping for the terrace. It is over sized and out of keeping for a conservation area. 2. The plans are misleading and dishonest in their description of Leighton Road and the character of the house. Specifically, (a) the mansard roofs cited as example precedents are not in the terrace affected or in the conservation area and (b) the house is not a family house but rented to students and kept in a very poor state. I strongly suggest a site visit should be made by the planning officers and note taken of the other objections made about this property and its owner. 3. The suggested scheme is too large for the size of the house, which is a small two bed roomed cottage. <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Andy Moody</p>
2016/4487/P	Dr Sally Dormer	35 Leighton Road	29/08/2016 21:22:06	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to this application for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed mansard roof extension is out of keeping for this terrace which is in a conservation area. Such an extension would be visible from the Leighton Road and thus spoil the roof line of the terrace which has been maintained in its original state. 2. The mansard roofs cited as comparable precedents are not in the terrace affected or in the conservation area, but further up Leighton Road, and plainly visible from the street. 3. 29 Leighton Road is a two bedroom cottage; the suggested extension is proportionately too large for the size of the house.