

**Dike, Darlene**

---

**From:** Eileen Willmott [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 August 2016 00:30  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Eileen Willmott comments on recent DPCAAC tree applications

Dear Tree officers and planners,

List 385

2016/4216/T 41B Kingswear

The owners want to retain the thickest trunk nearest to their home. They want to remove the other two, as they are worried about the final size and position of the Sycamore, if all three trunks are retained. I appreciate their problem and do not object to their application.

2016/4289/T 117 Chetwynd Road

Despite writing to the address, I was unable to view the trees from the rear garden.

I do not object to the two re-pollardings, but cannot comment, however, on the felling of the sycamore without seeing it in relation to the house.

2016/4343/T 1 Grove Terrace

Despite being in telephone communication with the owner over the trees, we have not been able yet to meet to look at them. I have viewed the trees from the road at the side and front of the house. I have no objections to the re-pollarding of the 5 Limes (T1 - 5) and the reduction of T4. No objection to the Sycamore (T2) reduction. The trees in the front garden are very densely planted, with very little light, and strong shade. T2 is near to the house; I cannot identify it from my Collins tree guide. If it is a common species, then I do not object to its felling as the light level for the other trees will thereby increase.

Many thanks,

Eileen Willmott  
DPCAAC 'trees'