

Hazelton, Laura

Subject:

FW: 100 Cleveland Street, London W1T 6NS

From: Tony Meadows [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 August 2016 19:35
To: Hazelton, Laura; Beechook, Cilpa
Cc: Rhodes, Maya; Jean Journade; Giada Vercelli; Valery; Joe Hutton
Subject: Re: 100 Cleveland Street, London W1T 6NS

Laura

Thank you for your quick response. I have now had the opportunity to read the other documentation on the Camden portal and can see this is a retrospective application. That said, the drawings are not clear on this matter and do not fully indicate where new works are intended.

For instance, the report that accompanies the application indicates that one of the window openings, which you say is not being considered, is indeed part of the proposal, being louvred and used for supply air and therefore remaining open and unattenuated. There is no indication in the document that this window is of adequate capacity for the supply, and it's fairly evident that it isn't, and the problem of noise will inevitably continue or worsen.

If the installation is approved and it makes matters worse, is it that the owner can then apply for the installation of yet further equipment at a later date, with the 'setting' being defined by the equipment already permitted? I would certainly hope we as neighbours are not afflicted with such a process.

There are solutions that can better mitigate our current noise and smell problems with this installation; the addition of a further duct with an attenuated supply above roof level, the removal of the low level external wall mounted duct with a roof top connection tied into the riser duct, a new high level outlet pointing away from the windows of residential property; but none of these have been proposed.

What is certainly not solved is the unsightly character of this un-approved installation which, particularly being in a conservation area, can surely not rely on its unauthorised installation to allow it to remain. I am very pleased that this is also being considered.

Regards

Tony Meadows