



Sir/Madam

I am the owner and occupier of the first floor of the adjoining property, Number 33 Museum St.

Nos33 and No47 share a party wall at the rear which is the boundary of this proposed development. The work described as "Proposed Work" has been carried out over the past three years, with interruptions. Before this development there was a rear yard, part covered by a concrete and asphalt roof with skylights which had been used for storage by the occupier of the street frontage.

Once the work began, the skylights were changed twice and a steel bridge was placed to connect the first floor rear to the back yard about three years ago. The trellis and tropical hardwood decking was added this summer.

I assume these are the features for which planning consent is now being sought although the word 'retention' seems to presume that they have been there for a long time.

I have requested the freeholder of Number 47 that he seeks a 'party wall' agreement with me for the trellis which blocks light to my property.

Accordingly I object to the proposed development on the grounds that the use of the rear part of number 47 has been changed without consent and that the intention of adding high specification residential property in this already overcrowded rear yard is inappropriate and contrary to the housing interests of the borough.

Additionally the work has been carried out in a way that diminishes the light coming onto my property.

It is not part of my objection but I note that the work has been carried out recently, without consent, on the expectation that 'restrospective' permission will be obtained more easily, which I believe treats the planning regulations of the borough with contempt.

I waive my right to anonymity.

Hugh Matheson