

Dempsey, Matthew

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 August 2016 18:47
To: Dempsey, Matthew
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2016/4402/P

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr Dempsey

Many thanks for the consultation letter.

Comments on design of connection of Allensbury Community garden to new development and retrospective application for removal of trees behind Allensbury Place (not blocks B and C, this is not right and is the issue) as follows:

drawing 210

- Does not show a resolution of the connection.
- There is a weld mesh fence indicated to "protect drop", does this mean there will be a retaining structure in this location?

drawing 211

- There are no contours on the drawing and insufficient spot levels to assess the levels in the landscape design. No landscape sections have been submitted with the application. Is the idea is to slope the ground down behind the existing retaining wall exposing the back of it?, perhaps there will be some steps or a retaining structure as drawing 210 suggests, perhaps the bank will be left

against the back of the wall there is insufficient detail and the design for the levels is still unclear.

- There are two gates shown between the street and the community garden, double and single, is this correct? Why is there a need for two?
- There is a gate shown between the end of the retaining wall and the new building giving access to the land at the rear of blocks B and C, can you confirm this is correct? This access gate will need to be managed and locked for the security of people using the community garden. Is it a right of way/fire access?
- There is no detail showing the relationship of the new york stone paving to the existing concrete paving slabs and red brick pavers in the community garden, will they just but up?
- There are shrubs shown against the new building, what species will they be? Will the residents have input on that?

drawing 212 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 213 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 214 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 215 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 216 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 217 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 218 - not relevant to the community garden application

drawing 230 - does not show resolution of the connection

drawing 231

- Tree species, 3 x 4.5 metre trees noted, please ensure these are delivered.
- Network rail have an exclusion zone for access along the railway line on our side of the fence about a metre wide I believe and do not permit planting in this zone. This currently serves as a pathway in the community garden, this drawing shows some planting in that zone, I appreciate the covering letter mentions this, please check the situation.

drawing 232 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 233 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 234 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 235 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 236 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 237 - not relevant to the community garden application
drawing 238 - not relevant to the community garden application


drawing 4211 - Noted, I appreciate as a planning department you have been given limited opportunity to assess the further tree removal given they were removed without your consent

ATA - page 8 item 3.4.1, group G2. The trees felled in the community garden and those remaining nearby were/are predominantly Holm Oak, Field Maple and Holly. The report makes no mention of these species and so how can the category the trees are given be credible?

Breeding Birds - A flock of Magpies breed in these trees every year, opposite my balcony at the same level. They build substantial nests high in the trees. The nests are on the edge of the felled area and the birds have not been present since the trees were felled this year and have no doubt moved.

In consultation with Camden and PRP before the 2012 application we asked for a resolution of the levels at both ends of the community garden. I am still unclear on the proposed levels, what levels the new trees will be planted at, and would recommend you ask the applicant for landscape sections in two directions and/or a clear contour drawing of the area where the retained trees have been removed behind the community garden.

regards Dylan Haughton


23 allensbury place, london nw1 9yr, uk

From: "Demposey, Matthew" <Matthew.Demposey@Camden.gov.uk>

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 4:16 PM

Subject: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2016/4402/P

Please find attached Consultee letter for PlanningApplication application 2016/4402/P

Y442616

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.