From: C DONNELLY

Sent: 15 August 2016 16:28

To: Robinson, Roger (Councillor); Planning;

Cc: Morris, Vicky; Sonola, Adebola; Khatoon, Samata (Councillor);

Tomlinson, Paul (Councillor)

Subject: Re: RE: Replacement of flat entrance doors - Further OBJECTION to planning application

Dear Cllr Robinson

I phoned Shamsul Alam, the Contracts Manager on the day I received the letter advising us abut the replacement of flat entrance doors and frames on upper floor access balconies and asked why this was being done.

He advised me that this was a recommendation by The Fire Brigade as the existing doors are not fire resistant. He said that in blocks where there are 2 entrances such as Trimdon and Goldthorpe it might not be necessary to replace all doors only those that were blocking a route to the nearest exit and there was no other means of escape.

He also said there would be a charge to Leaseholders for this work. I told him that because the doors and frames are an integral part of the toilet which sits beside the front doors, Leaseholders do not actually own the doors and therefore should not be charged. I know this because when Camden replaced all the doors and windows on Curnock Street Estate, I wanted to choose my own front door but was informed that I could not do so for the reasons stated. He agreed but said there would still be a £250 charge, he was not very clear as to what this was for.

I do agree with the points raised by Dimitris Papageorgio and that a proper consultation with residents should be held.

Thank you for your support in this matter

Kind Regards

Carole Donnelly TRIMDON

----Original message----

From :|Roger.Robinson@camden.gov.uk Date : 15/08/2016 - 15:33 (GMTDT)

To:

Cc: Paul. Tomlinson@camden.gov.uk, Samata.Khatoon@camden.gov.uk, Adebola.Sonola@camden.gov.uk, Vicky.Morris@camden.gov.uk,

Subject: RE: Replacement of flat entrance doors - Further OBJECTION to planning application

Dear Planning

Can the replacement of the front doors at Curnock be held back as there would appear to be little consultation with the residents and indeed ward councillors so surely we can defer the works to allow for in-depth consultation??

Please advise

Regards

Cllr Roger Robinson

From: Dimitrios Papageorgiou Sent: 15 August 2016 12:23

To: Planning

Cc: Robinson, Roger (Councillor); Tomlinson, Paul (Councillor); Khatoon, Samata (Councillor);

Sonola, Adebola; Morris, Vicky; Carole Donnelly

Subject: Replacement of flat entrance doors - Further OBJECTION to planning application

Dear Sir/Madam.

Further to my previous email regarding your plans to replace our front doors and my subsequent objection which has been ignored by your team and Mr Samsul Alam, I would like to raise further objections to this planning application:

1) The following link talks about a consultation during August 2016 which we did not have. I did not even had a reply to my emails.

 $\label{lem:http://planningecords.camden.gov.uk/Northgate/PlanningExplorer17/Generic/StdDetails.aspx?PT=Planning%20Applications%20On-$

2) The letter from your department seems to be deliberately vague (no application number, no dates for the deadlines, no description of the jobs or the reasons for the jobs, etc) in order to stop any objections since nobody could find any information about the purpose of the proposed works. This vague letter from Mr Samsul Alam was very successful since you managed to have only one objection, mine.

Most of the residents didn't even know about the works and the reasons.

- 3) The proposed jobs suggests that the doors you have installed to our flats in 2001 at our cost (£10,000) were **NOT** suitable and not in consultation with the fire brigade. Also the new door which your insurance, ZURICH, has installed in my flat ONLY a year ago indicates that the insurance did not comply with the fire regulations. That was after a FIRE in my flat!!!!
- 4) Your planning application does not indicate which specific flats are affected and does not indicate any costs. Most importantly it does not say who will pay the bill because I am not willing to pay again for your continuous and systematic mistakes of the council (first installation 2001, insurance installation 2013, etc).
- 5) Who will pay for the damages to walls, redecoration of the walls, damages to parquet floors (It took Zurich 5 times to install), damages to existing smart locks and door bells?

- 6) Where will the affected residents stay while you do your works to the doors, redecorating and fixing floors? Especially for residents that are suffering with asthma? Who will pay the bill for the relocation because I am willing to jeopardize our health with the dust and fumes?
- 7) Your application talks about existing waste facilities. Where are those existing wasting facilities?

A further email with more questions to your vague letter and application will be sent later.

Yours sincerely,

Dimitris Papageorgiou

On 09 August 2016 at 12:32 Dimitrios Papageorgiou dimitris@papageorgiou.co.uk wrote:

Dear Sir or Madam,

The attached letter and application was sent to my properties on the **Curnock Estate**. As you can see from the letter the whole subject is very vague and does not provide any reasons/justifications or costs for the works.

Based on that I will like to raise my objections to the above application until the relevant information is available to the residents. If the application is approved regardless of the lack of information to the residents then I will consider further formal action.

Yours sincerely,

Dimitris Papageorgiou

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.