

From: Roger H Pollard [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 August 2016 14:22
To: Phillips, Kate
Cc: Bernard Carnell
Subject: Re: planning application 2016/3252/P 4 The Hexagon

Dear Ms. Philips,
Thank you for your reply.
I note that you have been sent some minor ground floor amendments which, as they do not address the original objections that I have lodged on behalf of Mrs. E Pollard, I have no comment.
When you do assemble your report, can you give me your assurance that, as the application makes no provision for 'off street car parking', it will supplant the legal rights of the other Hexagon residents.?

Kind Regards
Roger Pollard

--- Original Message ---

From: Phillips, Kate
To: 'Roger H Pollard'
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 9:33 AM
Subject: RE: planning application 2016/3252/P 4 The Hexagon

Dear Mr Pollard,

I'm afraid I have not started my report on the above application. The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) needs to be independently audited by Campbell Reith, and we will not be able to determine the application until this has been done, which will delay the process beyond the usual 8-week determination period. The application will not necessarily be determined at Planning Committee; however, if 3 or more objections from neighbours, or an objection from a local amenity group is received, the application will go to Member's Briefing (more info) and they may choose to send it to committee if they think this is necessary.

I will make sure your comments are taken into consideration in the determination of the application.

Kind regards
Kate Phillips
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2521

You can sign up to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

-----Original Message-----

From: Roger H Pollard [mailto:rogerhpollard@btinternet.com]

Sent: 19 July 2016 13:05

To: Phillips, Kate

Cc: Bernard Carnell

Subject: planning application 2016/3252/P 4 The Hexagon

Dear Ms. Phillips,

Have you completed your report on the application?, and if so may I ask what your views are? I have been trying to telephone you but you have not been available

In my letter to you I made a strong case against the application: pointing out that, by not making reasonable provisions for car parking, cars will park on the already congested 'paved area' that is set aside for common use in the schedules set out in the Land Registry documentation. Have you considered this legal issue which is a fundamental issue.?

I have been passed a copy of Mr. Carnell's letter of objection, who lives at no 1 The Hexagon. He, by referring to the 'planning history', uncovers the real issue: which is that the current application seeks to increase the density of building and usage far beyond what is reasonable. Camden Council has refused more modest applications in the past, and I support fully his comments and objections.

Has a date been set for it being considered by Committee?

Yours sincerely,
Roger Pollard

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.