

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/3905/P	Bagrat Tunyan and Lilit Davoyan	27 Holmdale Road Flat 2 NW6 1BJ	07/08/2016 18:28:16	OBJ	<p>As neighbours from 27 Holmdale road we are directly affected by the proposed extension of the house at number 25. We have already expressed our objections through the previous consultation and we reiterate that the proposed construction of extension does have significant impact on neighbours (27 Holmdale road) and unfortunately the new application still does not address our concerns. Therefore we object the proposed application as there are significant negative consequences on the amenity of our home, creates potential health risks. It is very unfortunate that there was no discussion with us about the details of this plan and that our concerns raised during the previous consultation are being ignored.</p> <p>We understand this new application for extension is now submitted under the new rights for homeowners to extend their houses without planning permission. But that right to extension of our neighbour"s home should not be automatically granted when there are direct and serious negative impacts and consequences on us and which are being completely ignored. We therefore object this application until all the impact on us is considered and optimal solution is found which would minimise the negative consequences on us.</p> <p>All the issues raised during the previous consultation remain. We summarise those also below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Significant reduction in daylight and sunlight and related to that potential health issues due to increased dampness. The new extension will have significant damage on the amenity of neighbours at flat 2, as the proposed increased brick wall which will be 1-1.5m higher will reduce the natural daylight and sunlight for the garden and the north-east facing living room of Flat 2 of 27 Holmdale Road. Direct sunlight and daylight for that room is only accessed through that part of the garden and the proposed higher brick wall will block a significant part of the daylight accessed through that section of the fence. Sunlight and daylight are important as they ensure no dampness occurs in the room. Our 10-year old son has a severe asthma and the reduced sunlight and daylight with increased dampness can significantly affect his condition triggering asthmatic reactions more often. Any extension should therefore be considered to have a lower height. 2. Objection to build a brick wall on the place of the current fence shared between Number 25 and 27. As we understand it is proposed that the wall of the new extension is built on the place of a section of the current wooden fence separating two gardens. The fence is shared and we object with the proposed solution which will result in the shared fence benefiting ONLY Number 25. Moreover, it is not clear what will happen to the remaining part of the fence. Any use of the fence MUST be agreed with neighbours whom it is shared with. We object this type of unilateral use of the shared fence and area. There must be an agreed solution that would allow the replaced fence section has an acceptable look and feel from garden of neighbours at 27. 3. Feeling hemmed by the proposed height of the wall. The wall is proposed to be 1-1.5m higher than the current wooden fence. It is only 2-3m away from the window creating unpleasant feeling of being hemmed within the garden which has another tall brick wall at the other side of the garden. Having two high brick walls are too much for our small garden.