

**From:** Barbara Gibb [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 July 2015 16:11  
**To:** Marfleet, Patrick  
**Subject:** Planning Application 2015/3137/P (Flat 2, 2 Albert Terrace, NW1) - Objection.

Dear Mr Marfleet,

I wish strongly to object to the above-referenced application for the following reasons:

1. This application represents yet another unnecessary, profit-driven development in a conservation area at the expense of careful preservation and planning. The amenity and proper functioning of neighbouring properties has not been taken into account by the applicant who is clearly seeking a maximum return for blighting the site and its surroundings.
2. The space in question is already enclosed by the windows and garden premises of surrounding properties but as an "open" area, in point of fact, a garden, it should remain as such. To erode further a "green space" is contrary to the Council's own design guidance which endeavours to preserve gardens such as this one for the general good "health" and character of the area.
3. The proposed extensions constitute an unwarranted intrusion because of the proximity of the new windows and glazed door and would seriously detract from the privacy of the neighbouring properties, particularly those in Albert Terrace Mews.
4. The present configuration of the rear of the building meets conservation guidelines. Any additional building would detrimentally affect the integrity of the elevation.

The application, both in principle and proposed execution - from the point of view of appearance and finish - is completely inappropriate for the building, which is of some historical significance in Primrose Hill.

For these reasons, I would urge you to refuse this application.

Yours sincerely,

Barbara Gibb

16 Princess Road  
London  
NW1 8JJ