

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/3018/P	Robert Holliman - Anne Gorman	3.9 The Ziggurat 60-66 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8QX	26/07/2016 10:09:29	OBJ	<p>As owners of a flat in the building next door we object to this application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Loss of light and sun for those residents living on the north facing side of the building. Our only natural light is via the windows on that side of the building so light is very important.</li> <li>2. Lack of privacy due to the proposed new full length windows. If floor to ceiling windows are going to replace existing windows then they must use opaque glass or similar glass to protect our privacy.</li> <li>3. Disruption to the enjoyment of our property due to additional people, traffic, light pollution and deliveries. These neighbours currently ignore the existing restrictions placed on them in respect of the use of the courtyard. Any additional office space will increase the disruption.</li> <li>4. Disruption to our lives due to the proposed building works especially bearing in mind the record of the existing occupiers and their lack of respect for our enjoyment of our property.</li> <li>5. Finally, as far as we're aware no residents of the Ziggurat building have been directly notified of this application despite being directly next door and on the list of neighbours on the application documents. There is surprising and very worrying.</li> </ol>
2016/3018/P	Richard Hopkin	Flat 7.1 The Ziggurat 60-66 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8QX	26/07/2016 22:43:43	OBJ	<p>I object to this proposal for a number of reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Loss of natural light, increased overlooking and loss of privacy for those residents facing the property.</li> <li>2. Lack of notification: neither I nor any of my neighbours in the Ziggurat have received direct notification of the application. In the past we have always been notified by post.</li> <li>3. Past history and previous violations of planning law: many attempts have been made in the past to extend this building and have been refused. The developer then went ahead regardless without planning permission. After extensive hearings demolition was ordered but the illegal extension still stands and is subject only to a s106 agreement which is regularly ignored.</li> <li>4. Damage to residential amenity: this is quite simply over development in a tight and narrow spot on a narrow and very densely populated street. The construction works alone would be highly disruptive.</li> </ol> <p>Please refuse the application.</p>