

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/3129/P	David Lewis	43 Platts Lane NW37NL	16/07/2016 13:03:59	OBJ	<p>I would like to oppose the application.</p> <p>The extension of the side addition backwards at above ground floor level will mean that the window at first floor level will be brought closer to our boundary and will much more directly overlook our garden in a highly intrusive way. The additional volume of that element of the proposed extension will also create a feeling of being hemmed in and will make No 1 much more "in our face".</p> <p>For the same reasons, the proposed dormer extensions will significantly impact the sense of the (over) built environment that we feel from our garden/rear outlook. The position of No1 as perpendicular to our garden means this impact is much more significant than for a neighbour adjacent to that house or one that looks out on it from Pattison Road but is separated by two lengths of garden.</p> <p>The dormer windows will also have direct line of sight into the rooms at the top of our house (including some of our children's bedrooms) and the distance is relatively small because of the perpendicular arrangement of our house and No1.</p> <p>For the record, we do not consider any approval previously given for No3 should serve as a precedent for this application.</p> <p>You will hopefully have a record of this in light of our visit to your offices shortly after the application for an extension at No3 was approved in circumstances in which neither we nor no 45 Platts lane were even consulted (notwithstanding our proximity to the development and the direct line of sight due to the perpendicular arrangement of the houses). It was acknowledged we ought to have been after the event at that meeting and had we been we would have vigorously opposed such development as being totally out of keeping with the conservation area in size, shape and materials.</p>
