

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** John Salmon [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 18 July 2016 09:37  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** OBJECTION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

For the attention of Mr John Diver

I wish to object most strenuously to the proposed addition to 30 Ornan Road of a glass roof terrace.  
I refer to Planning Application No: 2016/3305/P.

If this structure were to be added to the roof of the property all surrounding properties would be adversely affected. Our gardens would be overlooked as if by a grandstand. Privacy would be lost. Noise would increase, and our lives would be permanently damaged.

Sincerely yours

John Salmon

15 Belsize Lane NW3 5AD

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Barrie Tankel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 16 July 2016 00:01  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Application 2016/3305/P 30 Ornan Road NW3 4HB

Dear Sirs

I object to this application for a roof extension at 30 Ornan Road NW3.

1. This extension would cause serious overlooking by the occupants of No 30 to other properties and particularly over 17 Belsize Lane, which directly faces the property. It would destroy the roof landscape and be out of character with this area.

2. An application reference PWX0302267 was made for roof extensions above the 3 houses at 26, 28 and 30 Ornan Road in 2003. This was granted permission on the condition that all 3 roof extensions were constructed at the same time, to ensure a unified design above these houses. This requirement was included in the proposed Section 106 Agreement that stated

a. *"Not to occupy or use or permit occupation of any part of the Development until such time as the First Property the Second Property and Third Property have Implemented and fully completed the Development to the reasonable satisfaction of the Council, such completion to be demonstrated by written notice from the Council to that effect not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed."*

b. There is no application to add identical roof extensions to No 26 and No 28 and therefore this application should be rejected on the grounds they are not included.

3. An application to add a roof extension at 28 Ornan Road (2014/3019/P) was, according to the Officer's Delegated Report, rejected by the planning officer and was only heard by the Development Committee because of a request by a local

Councillor. The planning application was subsequently refused by the council and also refused at appeal because

*a. "The proposed additional storey, by reason of its form, bulk and location in a roofscape largely unimpaired by later additions, would result in harm to the character and appearance of the building, the terrace of which it forms part and of this part of the conservation area, contrary to policy CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP24 (Securing high quality design) and DP25 (Conserving Camden's heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies."*

4. This scheme is contrary to CPG1, there is no unified design with the adjoining properties at 26 and 28 Ornan Road that was required in the previous consented scheme.

5. There is no basis for granting permission and I ask that this scheme be refused.

Regards

Barrie Tankel FRICS  
Chartered Project Management Surveyor  
[REDACTED]  
[www.barrietankelpm.co.uk](http://www.barrietankelpm.co.uk)

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Martyn Wenzelul [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 19 July 2016 21:11  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application 2016/3305/P, 30 Ornan Road, NW3 4QB

For the attention of John Diver, Case Officer

Dear Sirs,

As an opposite neighbour at 51 Ornan Road, NW3 4QD, I wish to strongly object to the above application on the following grounds.

1. The present altered design to the application of 28 Ornan Road in 2014 is still unsympathetic and inharmonious and will be detrimental to the appearance of the three houses.

2. It is generally known that the owner of one of the three houses, No 26, is unlikely to agree to any roof or other extension to his house in the future and have not even been informed of this recent application.

It is therefore an illusion to assume there will be conformity of the three houses at any point in the future.

3. Although our house, 51 Ornan Road, is more opposite 28 Ornan Road the proposed roof extension will cause loss of daylight, sunshine and greenery as the sun sets behind the three houses. Even if there is no sun the brightness of the sky reflects back into the houses opposite, particularly at 47, 49 and 51 Ornan Road. It is unlikely that 47 will bother to object as he has just moved out and will let his house in the future. 49 asked me to include their objections on the same grounds.

Our houses at the back are totally overshadowed by block of flats in close distance and there is lack of daylight in the main rooms which we had to accept. It is in our interest to protect a bit of sky in this overdeveloped area.

4. I further object to this application on the grounds of loss of privacy, particularly if No. 28 would follow with a similar proposal in the future as two of our bedrooms are on a slightly lower level allowing full view into the rooms.

5. Noise pollution is to be expected on the roof level to which we object which was of less concern in the past as noise was more concentrated at the back of the house and basement.

Yours faithfully,

Sonja and Martyn Wenzelul

Sent from my iPad