

Arboricultural Consultancy Holmwood Farm Grange Horsham Road North Holmwood Dorking Surrey RH5 4JR Tel: 01306 743374 Email: info@challiceconsulting.co.uk Web: www.challiceconsulting.co.uk

Our Ref: CC/1626 AR3061

18th July 2016

Mr. Gabriel George Michaelis Boyd Associates 108 Palace Gardens Terrace London W8 4RT

Dear Mr. George,

Re: Tree Protection Relating to 55 Wilkin Street Mews, London NW5 3NN

Please find enclosed an arboricultural report relating to the proposed development at the above site. I would be grateful if you could review the contents of this report to ensure it meets your requirements before it is sent to the Local Planning Authority. A copy of this report should be maintained on site at all times and be available to all site personnel.

Attendance at the pre-commencement meeting and for inspections/supervision (sections 16.0 and 27.0 of report) is chargeable at the standard hourly rate, details of which are available upon request.

I hope that this information is clear and helpful and if I can be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Challice

Mr. David Challice Chartered Arboriculturist

Enc.

Challice Consulting Ltd. VAT Registration No. 886 2277 82 Registered Office: Griffins Court 24-32 London Road Newbury Berkshire RG14 1JX Registered in England and Wales No. 6080792

Tree Survey Arboricultural Impact Assessment Arboricultural Method Statement

Relating to:

55 Wilkin Street Mews, London NW5 3NN

Produced for: Michaelis Boyd Associates

Prepared by: Challice Consulting Ltd. Mr. David Challice Dip. Arb. (RFS), F.Arbor.A, MICFor

> Date: 18th July 2016

Our Ref: CC/1626 AR3061

INTRODUCTION

SECTION

| Frequently Used Key Terms and Abbreviations | 1.0 |
|---|-----|
| The Proposal | 2.0 |
| Instructions and Purpose | 3.0 |
| Scope | 4.0 |
| Documents Supplied/Used | 5.0 |
| Site Details | 6.0 |

TREE SURVEY

| Survey Method | 7.0 |
|---------------|-----|
| Tree Details | 8.0 |

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

| Introduction to Arboricultural Impact Assessment | 9.0 |
|--|------|
| Tree Removal and Retention | 10.0 |
| Tree Pruning Works | 11.0 |
| Incursions into Root Protection Areas | 12.0 |
| Proximity Issues and Shading | 13.0 |
| Summary of Arboricultural Impact | 14.0 |

ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT

| Introduction to Arboricultural Method Statement | 15.0 |
|---|------|
| Pre-Commencement Meeting | 16.0 |
| General Site Precautions | 17.0 |
| Tree Works | 18.0 |
| Tree Protective Fencing | 19.0 |
| Ground Protection | 20.0 |
| Site Access/Hard Surfaces | 21.0 |
| Demolition | 22.0 |
| Underground Services | 23.0 |
| Foundations | 24.0 |
| Construction/Hard Landscaping | 25.0 |
| Soft Landscaping/Boundary Fencing | 26.0 |
| Sequencing and Supervision | 27.0 |
| Amendments | 28.0 |
| List of Contacts | 29.0 |

APPENDICES

| Appendix 1 | Tree Survey Schedule with Recommended Tree Works |
|------------|---|
| Appendix 2 | Tree Protection Plan in Colour at A1 and Scale 1:50 with Tree |
| | Protective Fencing and Ground Protection Specifications |
| Appendix 3 | Example of Site Inspection Record |
| Appendix 4 | Hand Digging Methodology and Installation of Services within Root |
| | Protection Areas |
| Appendix 5 | Induction Form for Personnel |

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Frequently Used Key Terms and Abbreviations

| Tree Preservation Order | TPO |
|---|----------|
| Arboricultural Method Statement | AMS |
| British Standard 5837:2012 – Recommendations for Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction | BS 5837 |
| British Standard 3998:2010 - Recommendations for Tree Work | BS 3998 |
| Root Protection Area/Root Protection Areas | RPA/RPAs |
| Local Planning Authority | LPA |

2.0 The Proposal

2.1 It is proposed to construct a rear extension to the existing building.

3.0 Instructions and Purpose

- 3.1 This report has been commissioned by Michaelis Boyd Associates to;
 - Survey the trees in accordance with British Standard (BS 5837) 5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction- Recommendations.
 - Make suggestions to decrease the arboricultural impact of the proposed scheme on the retained trees.
 - Detail the arboricultural impact of the proposed project.
 - Prepare a tree work schedule to British Standard (BS 3998) 3998:2010 Recommendations for Tree Work.
 - Develop a tree protection strategy for the duration of the development including any demolition works.
- 3.2 Provision of the above information is designed to address the requirements of the LPA in terms of the arboricultural information necessary to register and determine the planning application.

4.0 Scope

4.1 In surveying the trees to the requirements of BS 5837, trees on and immediately adjacent to the site with a stem diameter over 75mm have been included. Large shrubs and hedges have been included where these are considered to be of significant amenity value. These are particularly important where they provide boundary screening. For clarity and ease of data interpretation, large shrubs have been classified as trees.

Challice Consulting Ltd.

4.2 A full hazard assessment of the trees (including the assessment of decay or defects and their impact), has not been undertaken as this is considered beyond the scope of this report. Any obvious hazards and defects have been identified in the Tree Survey Schedule and appropriate works recommended for immediate action.

5.0 Documents Supplied/Used

| Document | Obtained From | Format/Ref. |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Existing and proposed layout plans | Michaelis Boyd Associates | Dwg. |

6.0 Site Details

- 6.1 The site is comprised of an industrial unit located under a railway arch.
- 6.2 The site is largely flat with no significant inclines in any direction that would affect the recommendations in this report.
- 6.3 The site is within the administrative jurisdiction of the London Borough of Camden.
- 6.4 I have not been instructed to ascertain the protection status of any of the trees on or near the site.

TREE SURVEY

7.0 Survey Method

- 7.1 The site and trees were inspected on 12th July 2016.
- 7.2 The trees were inspected from ground level and no climbing inspections were undertaken.
- 7.3 Stem diameters were measured using a diameter tape at 1.5m from ground level. The locations of the surveyed trees has originated from the

Challice Consulting Ltd.

Holmwood Farm Grange Horsham Road North Holmwood Dorking Surrey RH5 4JR Tel: 01306 743374 Email: info@challiceconsulting.co.uk Web: www.challiceconsulting.co.uk

drawings supplied by the client unless otherwise stated in the Tree Survey Schedule.

8.0 Tree Details

- 8.1 The total number of records is as follows: Individual Trees (T): 3 Tree Groups (G): 1
- 8.2 The tree details and proposed works are presented in the Tree Survey Schedule with Recommended Tree Works at **Appendix 1** and tree positions are shown on the Tree Protection Plan at **Appendix 2**.
- 8.3 The quality and value of the tree stock has been broken down by BS 5837 quality grade. The grading system can be summarised as follows:

A Grade – trees of high quality and value with a life expectancy of more than 40 years

B Grade – trees of moderate quality and value, with a life expectancy of more than 20 years

C Grade – trees of low quality and value, with a life expectancy of more than 10 years

U Grade – trees for removal, with a life expectancy of less than 10 years


Quality and Value of Existing Tree Stock


| | 5 | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total No. | A Grade | B Grade | C Grade | U Grade |
| Trees (9) | | | | |
| No. of Trees | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |

8.4 The RPAs of the trees are included in the Tree Survey Schedule with reference to Table 1 of BS 5837. The RPA is the area, measured in m², which is calculated in accordance with the BS 5837 using the stem diameter of the trees. This should provide retained trees with sufficient rooting environment to survive the proposed development. Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837 provides for the shape of the RPA to be modified from the

Challice Consulting Ltd.

starting point of a circle to account for site features where rooting may be restricted, as long as the total area remains the same.





Modified RPAs

| Tree No. | Impediments to Normal Rooting |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| T1 and T2 | Existing hard surfacing |

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

9.0 Introduction to Arboricultural Impact Assessment

9.1 This section comprises an assessment of the impact the proposed works detailed in Section 2 above have on trees. It considers the arboricultural impact and how this may be mitigated.

10.0 Tree Removal and Retention

10.1 The proposed scheme provides for the retention and protection of all the trees with the exception of Willow T4 which is located within the boundary of the site.

11.0 Tree Pruning Works

11.1 Minor tree pruning is recommended to ensure reasonable clearance from the proposed construction. The pruning described in the Tree Survey Schedule with Recommended Tree Works at **Appendix 1** will not adversely affect the trees or their contribution to local amenity.

12.0 Incursions into Root Protection Areas

12.1 The table below summarises the significant incursions into the RPAs of retained trees. The 'Action' column details how the incursion has been mitigated and why it is considered acceptable. Incursions may be fully invasive (where specialist methods are not used and some root loss is considered acceptable) or low invasive (where specialist methods are used to minimise damage to or loss of roots). Full details of how the works will be carried out without causing damage to the trees are given in the AMS.

| Tree No. | Type of Incursion | Incursion % | Action |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| | | | |
| T1 | Low invasive to | 2% | All excavations within the |
| | construct | | RPA of this tree will be |
| | foundations | | carried out by hand under |
| | | | direct arboricultural |
| | | | supervision to limit root |
| | | | disturbance to an acceptable |
| | | | level (see Appendix 4 |
| | | | Method 2) |

Summary of Incursions into RPAs

12.2 No new underground services are to be installed within the RPAs of retained trees.

13.0 Proximity Issues and Shading

13.1 The approximate shade segments for key retained trees have been plotted using the ArborCAD software system, which identifies the area of the site which may be affected by shade during the course of the day. The

Challice Consulting Ltd.

shade segment does not represent the area which will be in shade all day long; however, it represents an area which **may** be affected **at some point** during the course of a day by shade depending on the time of day and season.

- 13.2 The juxtaposition between retained trees and the proposed development is in accordance with Section 5.3 of the BS 5837 and should not lead to future pressure to heavily prune or remove retained trees for the following reasons:
 - 1. Tree pruning has been recommended to provide adequate separation between the proposed development and the retained trees.
 - 2. Any future tree pruning works are unlikely to be over and above those generally accepted as good arboricultural practice in an urban environment.

14.0 Summary of Arboricultural Impact

- 14.1 In summary, the arboricultural impact of the proposed scheme is relatively minor as only one tree is to be removed.
- 14.2 The retained off site trees can be afforded an appropriate degree of protection in accordance with the BS 5837 as detailed in the AMS.

ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT

15.0 Introduction to Arboricultural Method Statement

- 15.1 To safeguard the retained trees (both above and below ground parts) during the development works and preserve the soil structure of areas which could be allocated for new planting, it will be necessary to implement tree protection measures as outlined below.
- 15.2 The basic principle is that the area inside the tree protective fencing and where ground protection has been used is to be protected for the duration of the works.

Challice Consulting Ltd.

- 15.3 A copy of this AMS shall be maintained on site at all times and made available to all site personnel.
- 15.4 All site personnel shall be made aware of the key impact of this AMS and be given an arboricultural induction by the Site Manager. An Induction Form is attached at **Appendix 5**. A copy of the Induction Form will be signed by all site personnel to confirm that they have understood the issues involved.
- 15.5 As of 2005, Local Planning Authorities have powers to serve **Temporary Stop Notices** if agreed tree protection measures are not carried out. Adhering to this AMS will ensure that such costly and time consuming action is avoided.

16.0 Pre-Commencement Meeting

16.1 A pre-commencement site meeting, involving representatives from the Development Company, the Arboricultural Consultant and the LPA Tree Officer will be held to ensure that all aspects of the tree protection process are understood and agreed. A record of the meeting will be communicated to all parties by the Arboricultural Consultant.

17.0 General Site Precautions

- 17.1 The following points will be observed at all times:
 - No fires will be lit on site during the construction or demolition phases.
 - No access will be permitted inside the tree protective fencing.
 - No materials, equipment or debris will be stored within the tree protective fencing.
 - Notice boards, telephone cables or other services will not be attached to any parts of retained trees.
 - Materials which will contaminate the soil (e.g. diesel oil and vehicle washings) will not be permitted to migrate into the RPAs of retained trees.

Challice Consulting Ltd.

 A dedicated mixing and cleaning area will be set up to prevent concrete, cement and cleaning residue leaching into the RPAs of the retained trees (see Tree Protection Plan for specification).

18.0 Tree Works

- 18.1 All works will be carried out in accordance with BS 3998:2010 'Recommendations for Tree Work' (as amended) and to current arboricultural best practice. Tree works will be carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced Arboricultural Contractor holding the necessary insurance cover. This contractor should carry out the relevant site specific risk assessments and record such information prior to commencement of tasks and work in accordance with current health and safety standards, practices and legislation. A list of such contractors is available from the Arboricultural Association at www.trees.org.uk.
- 18.2 The subject trees may be protected by virtue of being within a Conservation Area or covered by a by a TPO. Submission of this AMS in connection with a planning application should be construed as a formal application to carry out those works specified in the Tree Survey Schedule with Recommended Tree Works at **Appendix 1**. It is recommended that this matter be clarified in writing with the LPA prior to any works commencing.
- 18.3 In addition, prior to the commencement of any tree works, an ecological assessment of specific trees may be required to ascertain whether protected species (e.g. bats, badgers and certain invertebrates) may be affected.
- 18.4 If additional pruning of trees is required to facilitate the proposed works or access for machinery/plant, the Arboricultural Consultant will be contacted to advise on appropriate works and liaise with the LPA as necessary.

Challice Consulting Ltd.

19.0 Tree Protective Fencing

- 19.1 Tree protective fencing is used to ensure that the RPAs of retained trees are safeguarded. These measures may also be employed to protect areas of ground for new landscaping.
- 19.2 The positioning and specification of the fencing is shown in Appendix 2.In this case, the default specification of BS 5837 consisting of fixed Heras fencing would be effective.
- 19.3 The protective fencing will remain in position for the duration of the development, including the removal of any existing structures. Clear signs will be attached to the fencing once erected suggested wording will be **'Construction Exclusion Zone No Access'**.

20.0 Ground Protection

20.1 A provision has been made to install ground protection between the edge of the proposed development and the tree protective fencing. This provides adequate working space to permit the safe and practical completion of construction works whilst protecting the rooting environment of the retained trees (position and specification shown in **Appendix 2**). The ground protection will remain in place for the duration of the development, including the removal of any existing structures.

21.0 Site Access/Hard Surfaces

21.1 The existing access to the front of the site is suitable for site access during construction and little or no damage is anticipated to the root systems of retained trees.

22.0 Demolition

22.1 There is no requirement for demolition within the RPAs of retained trees.

23.0 Underground Services

- 23.1 The proposed scheme can make use of existing services and all new services and soakaways will be located in the adequate space outside the RPAs of the retained trees.
- 23.2 The locations, specifications and installation methods of all new services will be available for review at the pre-commencement site meeting before any works start on site.

24.0 Foundations

24.1 Any excavations to construct foundations within the RPA of T1 will be hand dug under direct arboricultural supervision to limit root disturbance to an acceptable level (see **Appendix 4 Method 2**).

25.0 Construction/Hard Landscaping

- 25.1 There is no requirement for additional construction or hard landscaping that will affect the surveyed trees.
- 25.2 Construction is taken to include erection of scaffolding and the installation of associated hard landscaping features such as retaining walls, patios, and cycle stores.
- 25.3 In this instance, retained trees will not impede the erection of scaffolding and no ancillary structures are proposed within the RPAs of the retained trees.
- 25.4 Subject to all of the above tree protection measures being implemented, construction works may proceed without risk of damage to retained trees.

26.0 Soft Landscaping/Boundary Fencing

26.1 Soft landscaping will be undertaken when heavy machinery has been removed from site and tree protective fencing taken down. The following points will be observed:

Challice Consulting Ltd.

- Care will be taken not to compact the soil within the RPAs of retained trees or where new tree planting is to be carried out.
- No changes in ground levels will occur within the RPAs of the retained trees.
- Unwanted vegetation will be removed manually or using contact herbicides that will not damage existing tree roots.
- No irrigation or drainage pipes will be installed within the RPAs of retained trees.
- If soil has been compacted in areas where planting is proposed, measures to improve soil structure (e.g. decompaction) may be necessary to facilitate successful plant establishment.
- Where fence posts are being installed within the RPAs of retained trees, this will be undertaken under arboricultural supervision.
 Fence post holes shall be lined with polythene where concrete is used to prevent the harmful cement leaching into the soil and damaging the roots of the retained trees.

27.0 Sequencing and Supervision

- 27.1 Effective tree protection relies on following a logical sequence of events and arboricultural inspection/supervision.
- 27.2 Works which have the potential to affect trees will be supervised by a suitably qualified and experienced Arboricultural Consultant. Regular inspection visits will also be undertaken to ensure that tree protection measures are being adhered to. The final details of supervision and the frequency of inspection visits will be agreed with the Tree Officer at the pre-commencement meeting. The Arboricultural Consultant will make a record of visits, which will be attached to the site copy of the AMS for inspection and communicated in writing to the LPA. An example of the Site Inspection Record is found in **Appendix 3**.

Sequencing and Supervision

| Stage | Action | Personnel Responsible |
|-------|---|--|
| 1. | Issue arboricultural report to site manager | Client/Developer |
| 2. | Give Arboricultural Consultant (AC) at least a week's notice of pre- commencement meeting | Client/Developer |
| 3. | Pre-commencement meeting | Site Manager, Tree Officer and AC |
| 4. | Arboricultural induction | Site Manager |
| 5. | Carry out tree works | AC to monitor |
| 6. | Erect tree protective fencing and install ground protection | AC to inspect on a monthly basis to ensure tree protection is in place and report to the LPA (client instruction required) |
| 7. | Excavate foundations by hand | AC to supervise |
| 8. | Install underground services | AC to supervise |
| 9. | Erect scaffolding and carry out construction (including hard landscaping) | Site Manager |
| 10. | Remove machinery/plant | Site Manager |
| 11. | Remove tree protective fencing/ground protection | Site Manager |
| 12. | Carry out soft landscaping and erect boundary fencing | Site Manager to brief landscaping company on site and supervise |

28.0 Amendments

28.1 Issues sometimes arise on development sites which require amendments to the previously agreed tree protection details. Any amendments to this AMS will be discussed with the Arboricultural Consultant and approved in writing by the LPA prior to being implemented. Copies of paperwork relating to any amendments shall be attached to the site copy of the AMS to provide a definitive record of what has been agreed.

29.0 List of Contacts

| Contact | Name | Company/LPA | Contact Number(s) | Report Issued to? |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Client | Mr. Gabriel George | Michaelis Boyd Associates | 020 7221 1237 | Yes |
| Arboricultural Supervisor | Mr. David Challice | Challice Consulting Ltd. | 01306 743374 07831 855764 | N/a |

<u>Tree Survey Schedule with Recommended Tree Works</u>

Page 1

Site: 55 Wilkin Street Mews, London NW5 3NN

Date Surveyed: 12th July 2016

Surveyor: Mr. David Challice

Our Ref: CC/1626 AR3061

| Tree No. | English Name | Height | | Ground Clearanc | Age Class | | Protection Multiplier | Protection Radius | Growth Vitality | Structural Condition | Landscape Contribution | | Sub Cat | Useful Life | Observations |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------|--|
| T1 | Common Ash 1 Number | 16 | 5 3 5 7 | GC 5 FB 3 S | Early Mature | 320 1 | 12 | 3.8 | Moderate | Fair | Medium | С | 2 | 20+ | Self sown tree Tree located off site |
| Recomme Reason fo | Recommended Works/ Reason for Works: Cut back to boundary only Recommended to permit development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T2 | Lawson Cypress 1 Number | 8 | 3 2 1 2 | GC 1 FB1 N | Semi-Mature | 100 1 est | 12 | 1.2 | Normal | Good | Low | С | 1,2 | 40+ | Tree located off site |
| Recommer Reason for | nded Works/ Cut back to bo r Works: | undary or | nly | | | | | | | R | ecommended to p development | ermit | | | |
| G3 | Lawson Cypress 6 Number | 16 | 3 3 3 3 | GC 2 FB2 N | Early Mature | 275 1 ave | 12 | 3.3 | Moderate | Fair | Medium | С | 1,2 | 20+ | Trees located off site |
| Recommended Works/ No work proposed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T4 | Willow 1 Number | 8 | 2 1 4 2 | GC 1 FB0 N | Early Mature | 275 1 est | 12 | 3.3 | Moderate | Fair | Low | С | 2 | 10+ | Growing in unsuitable location adjacent to fence Pyracantha shrub growing at base |
| Recommer Reason for | nded Works/ Fell Willo r Works: Pyracanth | | | | | | | | | R | ecommended to p development | ermit | | | |

Notes:

1. Height describes the approximate height of the tree measured in meters from ground level.

2. The Crown Spread refers to the crown radius in meters from the stem centre and is shown above on each of the four compass points (i.e. N, S, E, W).

3. Ground Clearance (GC) is the height in meters of crown clearance above adjacent ground level, the height of the first significant branch (FB) and the direction in which it is growing.

4. Stem Diameter is the diameter of the stem measured in millimeters at 1.5m from ground level. The stem diameter may be estimated (est) where access is restricted or an average (ave) taken for groups or multi-stemmed trees with more than five stems. The number of stems is also indicated.

5. Protection Multiplier is the number used to calculate the tree's protection radius and area and is shown as 12.

6. Protection Radius is a radial distance measured from the trunk centre.

7. Growth Vitality - Normal growth, Moderate (below normal), Poor (sparse/weak) or Dead (dead or dying tree).

8. Structural Condition - Good (no or only minor defects), Fair (remedial defects), Poor (major defects present).


9. Landscape Contribution - High (prominent landscape feature), Medium (visible in landscape), Low (secluded/among other trees).

10. B.S. Cat refers to British Standard 5837:2012 Table 1 and refers to tree/group quality and value; 'A' -High, 'B' - Moderate, 'C' - Low, 'U' - Remove.

11. Sub Cat refers to the retention criteria values where 1 is Arboricultural, 2 is Landscape and 3 is Cultural including Conservational, Historic and Commemorative.

12. Useful Life is the tree's estimated remaining contribution in years.

Challice Consulting Ltd. Tel: 01306 743374



| Plan to be printed in colour and to scale DRAWNG TITLE Tree Protection Plan PROJECT SSALE 1:50 @ A1 DATE 1:50 @ A1 18/07/2016 D.C. DRAWNG NUMBER TPP-CC/1626 AR3061 0 Challice Consulting Ltd. Holmwood Farm Grange Horsham Road North Holmwood Dorking Surrey RH5 4JR Tel: 01306 743374 Email: info@challiceconsulting.co.uk | or otect and w or the or op or the chection or the chection of | Notes: Tree protection barrier and ground protection to be erected and installe before machinery or materials are brought onto site, before any demolition or development of land and before soil stripping. Tree protection measures should be implemented following any necessary pre-development tree work. Where due to site constraints, construction activity cannot be fully or permanently excluded from all or part of a trees Root Protection Area, appropriate ground protection shoul be installed. | Implicative KEY: Shade pattern for key BS rooting area is shown uniform but may be modified BS rooting area has been modified to account for site features B Grade C Grade Projection of fixed (remove) Position of fixed Heras style Position of ground protection Challce Consulting Ltd. |
|--|--|---|---|

| Challice Consult T: 01306 743374 | ing Ltd. Arboricultural Sit | te Supervision | Challice Consulting Ltd. |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Site: Inspected By: | Sample D. Challice | | |
| Inspected By: Client: | The Builder | Date of Inspection: | |
| Site Agent: | No staff present | Time of Inspection: | |
| | ctive Fencing | | |
| | n in correct location | | |
| Comments/Action at this | s time | | |
| Agreed Co | nstruction Exclusion Zone | | |
| No debris withir | n construction exclusion zone | | tr a com |
| Comments/Act | tion | Tree protection | Т23 |
| No action at this | s time | | |
| No amendment | tion | | <image/> <image/> |
| General Com No ground prot Sweet Gum T1 | ection in place for T11,12,14,17 & 22 | 2 | |



Hand Digging Methodology and Installation of Services within Root Protection Areas

Introduction

- Trees need roots to stay upright and to obtain water and nutrients from the soil
- Any excavation within the Root Protection Area of a tree may affect its stability and health
- Roots over 25mm in diameter are likely to be of particular structural significance
- Roots less than 25mm in diameter are likely to be important to the tree for survival and structural significance. Cutting many small roots may have an impact on tree health and stability
- Most tree roots are within 0.6 -1m from the soil surface
- Desiccation and exposure to rapid temperature change is likely to cause root death
- Hand digging carried out correctly is less likely to damage tree roots than digging with machinery
- All digging within the Root Protection Areas of trees should be supervised by an Arboriculturalist
- Whether digging is acceptable and how it should be carried out depends on tree species and characteristics (age, vigour, past management etc.)
- Site conditions are also important when deciding whether digging is acceptable (soil type, ground levels, existing structures etc.)
- Carry out a suitable risk assessment prior to starting work. In particular, take care when working in the vicinity of underground services

Why/What For?

- Service installation/maintenance
- Demolition
- Foundations
- Hard surface installations
- Decay detection



Relevant Documents

- British Standard 5837:2012 Section 7.2 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations
- National Joint Utilities Group Volume 4 2007: Guidelines For The Planning, Installation And Maintenance Of Utility Apparatus In Proximity To Trees (Issue 2) – Operatives Handbook

Principles

The key principles are as follows:

- Avoid compaction of the soil when carrying out the works
- Sever as few roots as possible
- Do not leave damaged or poorly cut roots as these are likely to lead to decay in the future
- Do not let exposed roots dry out
- Do not use materials containing harmful chemicals or salt as these will harm the trees (including builders' sand)

How/What to Use?

Method 1 - Hand Digging Retaining all Roots Above 25mm in Diameter to British Standard 5837:2012 Section 7.2:

- Hand tools –pick, fork, spade, wheel barrow and trowel
- Brush it is useful to brush away loose soil from exposed roots prior to cutting them
- Secateurs/sharp pull-saw roots that need to be cut must be cut cleanly using suitable hand tools
- Damp Hessian sacking this should immediately cover the sides of the trench down to a depth of 1m below ground level and is effective in preventing roots drying out following excavation
- Suitable back-fill covering the exposed or cut roots with a 100mm layer of topsoil or a mixture of 50% composted organic matter and 50% un-compacted sharp sand is suitable
- Supervision a suitably qualified and experienced Arboriculturalist should be present when the works are carried out



Method 2 - Hand Digging Removing all Roots to a Depth of 1m Below Ground Level to British Standard 5837:2012 Section 7.2:

- Hand tools –pick, fork, spade, wheel barrow and trowel
- Brush it is useful to brush away loose soil from exposed roots prior to cutting them
- Secateurs/sharp pull-saw roots that need to be cut must be cut cleanly using suitable hand tools
- Damp Hessian sacking this should immediately cover the sides of the trench down to a depth of 1m below ground level and is effective in preventing roots drying out following excavation
- Suitable back-fill covering the exposed or cut roots with a 100mm layer of topsoil or a mixture of 50% composted organic matter and 50% un-compacted sharp sand is suitable
- Excavations below 1m from ground level can be carried out using an excavator or similar due to health and safety requirements
- Supervision a suitably qualified and experienced Arboriculturalist should be present when the works are carried out

Method 3 - Compressed Air Soil Displacement Combined with Hand Digging Retaining all Roots Above 5mm in Diameter to British Standard 5837:2012 Section 7.2:

- Air spade this uses a high pressure jet of air, delivered from a compressor to a hand held lance
- Hand tools pick, fork, wheel barrow and trowel
- Brush it is useful to brush away loose soil from exposed roots prior to cutting them
- Secateurs/sharp pull-saw roots that need to be cut must be cut cleanly using suitable hand tools
- Damp Hessian sacking this should immediately cover the sides of the trench down to a depth of 1m below ground level and is effective in preventing roots drying out following excavation
- Suitable back-fill covering the exposed or cut roots with a 100mm layer of topsoil or a mixture of 50% composted organic matter and 50% un-compacted sharp sand is suitable
- Supervision a suitably qualified and experienced Arboriculturalist should be present when the works are carried out



Method 4 - Trenchless Technique Retaining all Roots to British Standard 5837:2012 Section 7.7:

- Micro-tunnelling, thrust boring or surfaced launched directional drilling is designed to avoid open trenches and can provide single service runs for up to 150m between starting pits
- Starting pits should be located outside the Root Protection Areas of the retained trees or can be hand dug using **Method 1**
- Bore holes should be a minimum of 500mm below ground level
- Only water should be used to lubricate the mole or drill to prevent root death due to soil contamination
- Supervision of hand digging using Method 1 a suitably qualified and experienced Arboriculturalist should be present when the works are carried out

Induction Form for all Site Personnel:

Site Name:....

- I have had explained to me by the Site Manager the key implications of the Arboricultural Method Statement relating to the development at the above site.
- I am aware that the tree protective fencing must remain in its original position and must not be moved without the approval of the appointed Arboricultural Consultant.
- I understand that certain operations must be supervised by the appointed Arboricultural Consultant and that these operations must not start until the consultant is present and has given approval.
- I confirm that I will bring any concerns about potential damage to trees to the attention of the Site Manager.
- I am aware that I must not cause damage to any of the retained trees on or adjacent to the site. Damage may be caused by direct means (i.e. physical damage caused to roots or the trunk/branches of the tree) or by indirect means (e.g. by fire or toxic materials entering the rooting environment of the tree).

| Print Name: | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|--|
| <u>Sign Name</u> : | | | |
| <u>Date</u> : | | | |