

158 IVERSION ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2HH Basement Impact Assessment Land Stability March 2016



Client:

Ground and Water Ltd., 2 The Long Barn Norton Farm, Selborne Road Alton Hampshire GU34 3NB

Copyright of this Report is vested in Ground and Project Consultants Ltd and no part of it may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written permission from Ground and Project Consultants Ltd. If you have received this Report in error, please destroy all copies in your possession and control and notify Ground and Project Consultants Ltd.

This report has been prepared by Ground and Project Consultants Ltd, with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the agreed scope and terms of contract and taking account of the manpower and resources devoted to it by agreement with its client, and is provided by Ground and Project Consultants Ltd solely for the use of its client, Ground and Water Ltd.

The advice and opinions in this report should be read and relied on only in the context of the report as a whole, taking account of the terms of reference agreed with the client. The findings are based on the information made available to Ground and Project Consultants Ltd at the date of the report (and will have been assumed to be correct) and on current UK standards, codes, technology and practices as at that time. They do not purport to include any manner of legal advice or opinion. New information or changes in conditions and regulatory requirements may occur in future, which will change the conclusions presented here.

This report is confidential to the client, Ground and Water Ltd. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by Ground and Project Consultants Ltd, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of the report. No liability is accepted by Ground and Project Consultants Ltd for any use of this report, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided.

Contents

Ν	Non-Technical Summary,4			
1	Introduction5			
2	Scope and Objective6			
3	BIA Screening for Slope/Land Stability7			
4	Site Information9			
	Existing Property and Basement Proposals9			
	Topography9			
	Geology9			
	Hydrology and Hydrogeology10			
	Other Environmental Data			
5	Ground Investigation13			
6	Conceptual Ground Model15			
7	Impact Assessment16			
	Adjacent Cutting:			
	London Clay/Shrink and Swell:			
	Trees and Bushes:16			
	Groundwater/Aquifer:16			
	Lost River:			
	Basement Depth:			
	Construction near footpath and highway:			
8	Conclusions19			
9	References			

Non-Technical Summary,

It is proposed to construct a 3.5m deep basement at 158 Iverson Road, London, NW6 2HH. The basement will be built under the full footprint of the existing house.

The screening exercise identified a number of issues for further consideration as follows:

- The property is relatively close to an existing railway cutting
- London Clay is the shallowest natural geological strata
- There is a tree close to the front of the house and there are bushes in the rear garden
- A 'lost river' runs relatively close to the site
- Groundwater may be encountered during construction works
- The basement will be deeper than neighbouring properties

The published geology suggests London Clay at site with the possibility of Head Deposits, which are softer and weaker.

A ground investigation was carried out by Ground & Water consisting of two 5m deep boreholes. These encountered Made Ground (i.e. ground placed by human activity) to 1.0 and 1.5m depth. London Clay was found beneath the Made Ground as a grey silty clay and of high shrinkage potential. Groundwater was not encountered during the investigation.

The scoping and assessment of the BIA concluded that:

- Groundwater inflow, if encountered, should be properly managed and controlled such that there is no significant wash out of fine material.
- The retaining wall should be appropriately designed.
- The construction of the basement is carried out by competent and experienced contractors and precautions are taken to maintain the stability of the excavations.
- Care should be taken to minimise the disturbance and damage to bushes and their roots. Should bushes be removed then an assessment of the potential for swelling of the London Clay soils should be carried out.
- Concrete should be designed accounting for the sulphate conditions anticipated.
- Monitoring of the structures should be carried out before and during construction. The exact nature of this monitoring should be determined by the structural engineer.

1 Introduction

Ground and Project Consultants Ltd have been instructed by Ground and Water Ltd (G&W) to undertake the land stability element of a Basement Impact Assessment, for 158 Iverson Road, London NW6 2HH. The property is located in the London Borough of Camden, London in the West Hampstead ward, its location is indicated on Figure 1.



Figure 1: Site Location

Ordnance Survey Data © Crown copyright and database right 2014

2 Scope and Objective

The scope of this report and approach is as follows:

- A review of the existing data supplied by the client has been carried out, including the proposal drawings produced to date, Ground Investigation data, photos of the building and the background data available through London Borough of Camden's website and other freely available data such as BGS geological information and purchased environmental data.
- In line with the London Borough of Camden guidance, CPG4, latest revision:
- In line with the CPG4 guidance:
 - A detailed assessment of the published and encountered geology
 - Development of a ground model including an assessment of geotechnical properties
 - An engineering interpretation including an assessment of slope stability and commentary and assessment regarding ground movements.
- Recommendations for additional work/ monitoring and observation have been provided.

Assessment of Ground Movements due to the proposed basement construction have not been carried out at this stage.

The report has not considered contaminated land aspects of the site.

This report and the work to support it has been carried out by Jon Smithson who is a Director of Ground and Project Consultants Ltd and is a Chartered Geologist (CGeol) with over 30 years' experience.

3 BIA Screening for Slope/Land Stability

A screening exercise has been carried out as per the guidance in Camden's Guidance for Basements, CPG4 as follows:

Question	Answer	Action/ Comment
Question 1: Does the existing	No. The front garden is	None
site include slopes, natural or	approximately 0.5m higher than	
manmade, greater than 7	the rear.	
degrees? (approximately 1 in 8)		
Question 2: Will the proposed	No. There are no significant	None
re-profiling of landscaping at site	changes in surface profile	
change slopes at the property	planned.	
boundary to more than 7deg?		
(approximately 1 in 8)		
Question 3: Does the	No. There are no railway	Further discussed in the
development neighbour land,	cuttings in the immediate	Impact Assessment.
like with a clone greater than	Acres from the rear of the	
like, with a slope greater than	40m from the rear of the	
rdegr (approximately 1 m 8)	property.	
Ouestion 4 : Is the site within a	No, the slope in the area is	None
wider hillside setting in which the	around 1 in 15 (2°) based on	
general slope is greater than	Ordnance Survey data. The site	
7degrees? (approximately 1 in 8)	is close from Hampstead Heath	
	and steeper ground	
Question 5: Is the London Clay	Yes: London Clay is indicated as	The presence of London
the shallowest strata at the site?	the shallowest strata on the BGS	Clay close to surface is
	maps	further discussed in the
		Impact Assessment
Question 6: Will any tree/s be	It is understood that there will	Further discussed in the
felled as part of the proposed	not be a need to fell trees. A	Impact Assessment.
development and/or are any	tree is present close to site on	
works proposed within any tree	the street in front of the	
protection zones where trees are	property, some bushes are	
to be retained? (Note that	present in the garden.	
consent is required from LB		
Camden to undertake work to		
any tree/s protected by a free		
Concernation Area if the tree is		
over certain dimensions)		
Ouestion 7 : Is there a history of	None known However London	Further discussed in the
seasonal shrink-swell subsidence	Clav is close to surface	Impact Assessment
in the local area, and/or evidence		
of such effects at the site?		
Question 8 : Is the site within	Possibly: Figure 11 of the Arup	This is further discussed in
100m of a watercourse or a	report indicates a 'Lost River'	the Impact Assessment
potential spring line?	close by to the northwest of the	
	property.	
Question 9: Is the site within an	None known or suspected.	None
area of previously worked		
ground?		

Question 10: Is the site within an	No. The London Clay is	Groundwater
aquifer? If so, will the proposed	classified by the	management is discussed
basement extend beneath the	Environment Agency	in the Impact Assessment.
water table such that dewatering	as unproductive strata	
may be required during	(rock layers with low	
construction?	permeability and	
	negligible significance	
	for water supply or	
	river base flow). The	
	site is not within a	
	source protection zone	
	of a public water	
	supaly.	
	However the basement may	
	extend into the water table.	
Question 11: Is the site within	No	None
50m of the Hampstead Heath		
ponds?		
Question 12: Is the site within	Yes	This is further discussed in
5m of a highway or pedestrian		the Impact Assessment.
right of way?		Health Safety and
<i>c ,</i>		environmental measures
		will be required to be
		integrated into the
		building contractors
		methods of working
Question 13: Will the proposed	It is understood that shallow	This is further discussed in
basement significantly increase	basements are present in the	the Impact Assessment.
the differential depth of	neighbouring properties as in	-
foundations relative to	no. 158, but the proposed	
neighbouring properties?	basement is around 1.5m	
	deeper.	
Question 14: Is the site over (or	The Jubilee Line passes about	None
within the exclusion zone of) any	80m south of the site.	
tunnels, e.g. railway lines?		

4 Site Information

Existing Property and Basement Proposals

The property at 158 Iverson Road is located on the south side of the road, about 20m from its junction with Medley Road. The property is a 4 storey terraced property, probably constructed around 1870-80. It is a brick built attached to no. 156 and 160. The property has a small shallow existing basement beneath the footprint of the front part of the house.

The property is around 2.5km North West of Regents Park and around 1.2km south west of Hampstead Heath. The Jubilee Line passes around 80m south of the site, the Overground line is around 40m south of the property and Thameslink around 100m to the north.

There are a number of bushes in the garden and adjacent gardens.

The basement proposals comprise a single storey beneath the full footprint of the property. The basement depth will be around 3.5m. The basement footprint will be approximately $92m^2$. The descriptions and dimensions above have been estimated from drawings provided by G&W.

The National Grid reference for the property is TQ 25228 84702. The location of the property is provided in Figure 1 above.

Topography

The OS map indicates the property is just below 47m AOD. The ground surface rises generally towards the North West at around 1 in 15 (approx. 4°). There is no significant change in elevation at the property although the front garden level is around 0.5m higher than the back.

Geology

The available geological mapping (Ref 1.) indicates that the site lies on London Clay which typically comprises a stiff grey fissured clay, weathering to brown near surface. Concretions of argillaceous limestone in nodular form (Claystones) occur throughout the formation. The geological map (North London 256) indicates that the property is very close to an area of 'propensity' for Head Deposits, associated with the higher ground of Highgate Hill. Typically these deposits are thin (<2m) and consist of soft, ocherous brown silty clay with blue-grey mottling in places and angular, frost-shattered fragments of flint occur sporadically throughout. The base of the London Clay is likely to occur significant depth below the property. See figure 2 below.



Figure 2: Geology

BGS copyright and database right 2015

Hydrology and Hydrogeology

The OS Map indicates that there are no surface water bodies in the vicinity of the site. The Hampstead Ponds are approximately 2km to the NE. There are no springs shown on OS mapping. There is a 'lost river' indicated approximately 50-100m to the northwest.

The London Clay is classified by the Environment Agency as unproductive strata (rock layers with low permeability and negligible significance for water supply or river base flow). The site is not within a source protection zone of a public water supply. There are no groundwater abstraction licenses within 2 km of the site and no source protection zones within 500 m of the site. (Ref 5. Groundsure Report).

Other Environmental Data

The Groundsure report for a nearby property gives a wealth of background data on local environmental issues and hazards. (See Appendix A). Some of the key issues relevant to land stability are summarised in the table below:

Local Waste/Landfill sites	There is a waste depot and transfer station 500m to the NE of the property
Drift Deposits	None are indicated on BGS mapping
Made Ground	None are indicated on BGS mapping
Groundwater Abstraction	There is a supply borehole around 1km to the East

Flood Risk	There is unlikely to be flood risk at the property. The area is not prone to groundwater flooding. (This should be the subject of an FRA report if commissioned and is outside of the remit of this report)
Shrink/ Swell	There is a moderate Hazard of shrink
	and swell from the London Clay soils
Landslide	Very Low Risk
Soluble Rocks	Negligible Risk
Compressible Ground	Negligible Risk
Collapsible Ground	Very Low Risk
Running Sand	Very Low Risk
Mining	None recorded

A number of historic OS maps have been obtained, see figures 3-5 below. These suggests that the property was built in around 1870-80.



Figure 3: Historic Map 1866



Figure 4: Historic Map 1894

5 Ground Investigation

A ground investigation (GI) has been carried out at the site by Ground and Water Ltd and results of these have been made available by G&W. The GI was carried out in March 2016.

The work comprised two window sample boreholes (WS1 and 2) to 5.00m bgl in front and rear garden areas of the property respectively. Two hand dug trail pit were also excavate to expose existing foundations. A dynamic probe was also drilled to 10m. No groundwater monitoring equipment was installed.

Below is a summary derived from the Ground Investigation report. The boreholes encountered a cover of Made Ground 1.00 to 1.80m thick. This can be summarised as a variably brown sand silty clay with brick, flint cement and carbonaceous fragments. Below this the borehole encountered a clay deposit described as brown, orange brown and grey silty clay with some silty pockets and selenite crystals. The clay is likely to be London Clay.

Groundwater was not encountered during drilling. Roots were noted above 0.8m and 1.8m depth.

The dynamic probe hole can be summarised as having N100 values less than 5 from ground level to 5 m bgl and between 5 to 10 from 5 to 10m bgl. These results can be correlated to SPT equivalents and in turn correlated to undrained shear strength. The results may be equated to an undrained shear strength range for the London Clay between 20 and 60 kPa from 1.3 to 5m bgl and 50 to 100kPa from 5.0 to 10.0 m bgl.

TP1 was excavated next to the west side of the front bay window. It encountered made ground to 1.0m overlying London Clay. Adjacent to this the pit revealed a stepped brick wall and underlying foundation with a founding depth of 1.11m (i.e. on London Clay). TP2 was located at the rear of the property and co-located with WS2. This encountered a thin cover of Concrete and Made Ground to 0.14m bgl overlying London Clay. Again the wall overlies a stepped brick foundation with the foundation base being at 0.20m below ground level, again onto London Clay.

Laboratory tests were carried out on the samples collected from the boreholes. Testing consisted of the following:

6 No. Atterberg Limit test including moisture content determination2 No. Soluble Sulphate, pH and related tests for Concrete Classification on soil samples1 No. one dimensional Consolidation Test

All of the Atterberg tests were conducted in the London Clay. These show general consistency with a slight reduction in water content with depth.

- Moisture content: 24 to 28%
- Plastic Limit: 23 to 28%
- Liquid Limit: 65 to 74%
- Plasticity Index: 41 to 51%
- Liquidity Index -0.02 to 0.06

The low variation in liquid, plastic limits and plasticity index is consistent with undisturbed London Clay. There is limited correlation to the dynamic probe tests. The low liquidity index values are suggestive of a firm to stiff or stiff consistency. The London Clay here is of medium to high plasticity and has high shrinkage potential.

The consolidation test was performed on a presumably remoulded sample is of limited value but indicates a soil of medium compressibility for the higher applied pressures.

6 Conceptual Ground Model

From the above a conceptual Ground model has been developed and is presented in tabular form below:

Strata	Typical Description	Depth at Property encountered in Gl	Geotechnical Properties – Tentative Characteristic Values*	Other
Made Ground	Dark brown, very silty clay, with grave	Ground level to between 0.14 and 1.8m	N/A	Made Ground should not be relied upon as a bearing strata. Made Ground is likely to be encountered to greater depths at the front of the property.
London Clay	Brown and grey silty clay. Probably firm to stiff becoming stiff with depth.	0.90 to 5.00m (base not proven).	C' =0 $\phi' = 20^{\circ}$ Cu = 50 increasing to 100kN/m ² at formation. **	The undrained shear strength of the London Clay should be confirmed prior to construction
Groundwater		Not encountered during drilling		May significantly vary seasonally or after prolonged wet or dry periods.

Table 3: Summary of Strata Characteristics

*The determination of parameters is tentative due to the lack of test data.

**Strength should be verified by hand held shear vane/ inspection during ground excavation.

7 Impact Assessment

There are no apparent major issues which should seriously affect the viability of the construction of the new basement. However the assessment of the geological environment of 158 Iverson Road and the screening exercise indicate some areas for further discussion in this report with suggested mitigation where appropriate.

Adjacent Cutting:

The London Overground is located in cutting some 40m to the south of the property. The depth of the cutting should be determined, but it is considered unlikely that there will any any impact from the basement on stability of the cutting.

London Clay/Shrink and Swell:

The basement will be founded in London Clay. These soils at this site are of medium to high plasticity and high volume change potential. The basement will be founded at around 3.5m bgl, therefore below any seasonal shrink and swell. The London Clay soils are known for their high levels of soluble sulphate. The concrete mix design should take appropriate account of sulphate levels in accordance with BRE Special Digest 1. The basement structure should be designed to account for swelling pressures. It will be important to account for the shallow nature of the existing foundations at the property and its neighbours. Any change in drainage or significant interruption/change to groundwater levels and flow patterns will need to be assessed for its implication on soil water content and consequential effect on soil volume change.

Trees and Bushes:

No trees are located in the garden although there are some bushes and a tree outside on the pavement to the front. Roots have been noted in the ground investigation at 0.8m and 1.8mbgl. Care should be taken to minimise root damage during construction works. Should bushes be removed there is potential for the soils to swell as a result which may affect this and neighbouring properties and this should be accounted for in design and further assessed as appropriate.

Groundwater/Aquifer:

Groundwater was not encountered during the ground investigation. This lack of measurement will not necessarily be representative groundwater presence and levels within the London Clay. It is recommended that a design level of ground surface is used, this accounts for seasonal variations and leaks from water supply, etc. Groundwater may be encountered during the works, particularly as seepages through sandy silty layers within London Clay or at the base of the Made Ground. These should be managed carefully to prevent ground loss particularly through loss of fines. Softening of formation due to water

ingress is a risk and softened soils should be excavated and replaced where practicable. Consideration should be given to limiting the size and time of face exposures during construction should significant flows be encountered during construction. Baseline and ongoing regular monitoring of the building and its immediate neighbours for settlement and movement/distress is highly recommended during building works and for a short period after completion. It is recommended that ongoing monitoring of groundwater levels is carried out during and up to the end of construction of the basement structure.

Lost River:

There is a lost river indicated 50m to 100m from distance from site. It is considered that this is unlikely to have an impact on the proposal, but its exact location should be verified before proceeding.

Basement Depth:

It is proposed to be construct the basement to a level of approximately 3.5m below the existing ground floor. The property adjoins neighbouring houses either side. The proposals to construct the basement is to be via underpinning at the party and rear and front walls. Underpinning proposals are understood to involve a 'hit and miss' approach in stages so each 'panel' is separated by 3-5 others from the next open one. It will be important that the building contractor is closely supervised and is experienced in this type of construction. It will be critical to prevent exposed faces from collapse or significant ground loss into the new excavation and temporary face support should be maintained where practicable. It is understood the there are no deep basements in adjoin/adjacent properties. Most ground movement should occur during wall installation, excavation of the basement and construction so the adequacy of temporary support will be critical in limiting ground movements. Heave movements will occur due to removal of soils.

A number of factors will assist in limiting ground movements:

- The speed of propping and support
- Good workmanship
- Ensuring that adequate propping is in place at all times during construction
- Installation of the first (stiff) support quickly and early in the construction sequence.
- Avoidance of ground loss through the gaps between the piles.
- Avoid leaving ground unsupported.
- Minimise deterioration of the central soil mass by the use of blinding/ covering with a waterproof membrane.
- Avoid overbreak
- Control dewatering to minimise fines removal and drawdown.

Construction near footpath and highway:

The proximity of the front of the property to the pavement and highway, means that works will be carried out in close proximity. A thorough assessment of risks to the public and the workforce will need to be developed and mitigation measures put in place where risks cannot be eliminated.

8 Conclusions

The methodology and approach of CPG4 has been followed in developing this BIA with respect to Land stability. It is concluded that with the construction of the new basement at 158 lverson Road should not have significant impacts on land stability provided that:

• Groundwater inflow, if encountered, is reduced to a minimum and properly controlled such that there is no significant wash out of fine material. Groundwater levels should be monitored before and during construction.

• The retaining wall should be appropriately designed.

• The construction of the basement is carried out by competent and experienced contractors and precautions are taken to maintain the stability of the excavations.

• Care should be taken to minimise the disturbance and damage to bushes and their roots. Should bushes be removed then an assessment of the potential for swelling of the London Clay soils should be carried out.

• Concrete should be designed in accordance with BRE Special Digest 1 accounting for the sulphate conditions anticipated.

• Monitoring of the structures is carried out before and during construction. The exact nature of this monitoring should be determined by the structural engineer.

9 References

- 1. BGS Geological Map Sheet 256.
- 2. Ordnance Survey Map, Explorer 173, London North
- 3. Arup: Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study.
- 4. Design Drawings supplied by G&W
- 5. G&W Ground Investigation Data available at 13/4/16
- 6. Groundsure EnviroInsight report for Priory Rd, GS-2103155