

**From:** Michael Ridley [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 July 2016 11:19  
**To:** Nicholls, John; Beaumont, Elizabeth  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Objection to Planning Application 2016/2827/P

Dear Mr Nicholls and Ms Beaumont,

I am writing to reject to planning application 2016/2827/P submitted by Flats 1 and 2, on the following grounds, on behalf of my wife, our two children and myself.

1. this is not a new application it is just a rehashed version of the planning application 2015/3684/P that rightly was rejected last year. So this is an illegal application as it is not allowed to represent a planning application after it has already been rejected. It is not only not allowed it is also vexatious and an assault on the working norms of local government to present the same application twice.
2. this application (just like last year's rejected application) proposes moving an off street parking space with the result that an on street public Camden parking space is lost. This is an attempt to achieve private gain at the expense of a loss to the public; something Camden Council should always guard against.
3. the proposed new siting of the parking space (just like in last years rejected application) which has already been created and used without permission, is extremely dangerous. No one driving out of this parking space could be able to see people walking down the pavement on the west side of Maresfield Gardens; so people would be put at risk of a traffic accident every time the car was driven out of the new parking space location.

Thank you for taking these points into consideration and thank you for your hard work on this distressing application.

Kind regards,

Dr Michael Ridley  
45D Maresfield Gardens  
London NW3 5TE

[REDACTED]