

Tel: +44(0)208 222 8778 Fax: +44(0)208 222 8575 Email: info@kpacoustics.com www.kpacoustics.com

73A HEATH STREET, LONDON

PLANNING COMPLIANCE REPORT

Report 14296.PCR.01

Prepared on 03 June 2016

For:

Creedbourne Ltd.

73A Heath Street

London

NW3 6UG

Site Address	Report Date	Revision History
73 Heath Street, London, NW3 6UG	03/06/2016	

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	2
2.0	ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY AND EQUIPMENT	2
2.1	Procedure	2
2.2	Equipment	2
3.0	RESULTS	3
4.0	DISCUSSION	4
4.1	Objective overview	4
4.2	Noise Control Strategy	5
5.0	CONCLUSION	6

List of Attachments

14296. SP1	Indicative Site Plan
14296. TH1	Environmental Noise Time History
Appendix A	Glossary of Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Acoustic Calculations

1.0 INTRODUCTION

KP Acoustics Ltd, Britannia House, 11 Glenthorne Road, London, W6 0LH, has been commissioned by Creedbourne Ltd, 73A Heath Street, London, NW3 6UG to undertake a noise impact assessment of the proposed kitchen extraction system at 73A Heath Street, London. The background noise levels measured will be used to determine daytime and night-time noise emission criteria for the kitchen extraction system installation in agreement with the planning requirements of the London Borough of Camden.

This report presents the overall methodology and results from the environmental survey followed by calculations to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed kitchen extraction system to satisfy the emissions criterion at the closest noise-sensitive receivers and outline mitigation measures as appropriate.

2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY AND EQUIPMENT

2.1 Procedure

Automated noise monitoring was undertaken by KP Acoustics Ltd at the position shown in Site Plan 14296.SP1. This location was chosen in order to collect representative noise data in relation to the nearest noise sensitive receivers relative to the proposed kitchen extraction system installation. Continuous automated monitoring was undertaken for the duration of the survey adjacent to 73 Heath Street between 11:00 on 01 June 2016 and 11:00 on 02 June 2016.

Initial inspection of the site revealed that the background noise profile at the monitoring location was typical of an urban cityscape environment.

The weather during the course of the survey was generally dry with wind speeds within acceptable tolerances and therefore suitable for the measurement of environmental noise. The measurement procedure complied with ISO 1996-2:2007 Acoustics "Description, measurement and assessment of environmental noise - Part 2: Determination of environmental noise levels".

2.2 Equipment

The equipment calibration was verified before and after the survey and no calibration irregularities were observed.

The equipment used was as follows.

- 1 No. Svantek Type 957 Class 1 Sound Level Meter
- B&K Type 4231 Class 1 Calibrator

3.0 RESULTS

The results from the continuous noise monitoring are shown as a time history of L_{Aeq} , L_{Amax} , L_{A10} and L_{A90} averaged over 5 minute sample periods shown in Figure 14296.TH1.

Minimum background noise levels are shown in Table 3.1.

	Minimum background noise level L _{A90: Smin} dB(A)
Daytime (07:00-23:00)	46
Night-time (23:00-07:00)	39

Table 3.1: Minimum measured background noise levels

The criterion of the London Borough of Camden, for noise emissions of new plant in this instance is as follows:

The Council considers that for new/current developments involving noisy plant/equipment or other uses, design measures should be taken to ensure noise levels predicted at a point 1 metre external to sensitive facades are at least 5 dB (A) less than the existing background measurement (LA90) when the equipment is in operation.

We therefore propose to set the noise criteria as shown in Table 4.1 in order to comply with the above requirement.

	Daytime (07:00 to 23:00)	Night-time (07:00 to 23:00)
Noise criterion at nearest residential receiver (5dB below minimum L _{A90})	41 dB(A)	34 dB(A)

Table 3.2: Proposed Noise Emissions Criteria

As the proposed extraction system could be used inside the night-time criterion hours, we would suggest adopting the night-time criterion in order to render the noise impact assessment more robust.

4.0 DISCUSSION

It is understood that the plant installation is comprised of the following units:

Air Extraction system

- Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extract Fan
- 400mmx400mm square duct. Approximately 10m long.
- 2 no. 45° square bends
- 400mm diameter square duct at the duct termination.

The closest noise sensitive receiver to the duct termination of the proposed air extraction system will be a residential window located approximately 5m from the duct.

The closest noise sensitive receiver to the Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extract Fan Unit will be a residential window located approximately 2m from the fan unit.

The sound pressure levels at 4m provided by the manufacturer for the unit are shown in Table 5.1.

		Octave Frequency Band								
Unit	63Hz	63Hz 125Hz 250Hz 500Hz 1kHz 2kHz 4kHz 8k								
	Helios GBW 500/4 Fan Unit									
Helios GBW 500/4 Sound Pressure Level (dBA) (Extract)		57 dB (A) at 4m- No spectral data available								
Helios GBW 500/4 Sound Pressure Level (dBA) (Case Breakout)		45 c	IB (A) at	4m- <i>No s</i>	pectral a	lata avai	lable			

Table 5.1 Manufacturer's Sound Pressure Levels

4.1 Objective overview

Taking all acoustic corrections into consideration, including distance corrections, the noise level expected at the closest residential receivers due to the kitchen extraction system would be as shown in Table 5.2. Detailed calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Receiver - Nearest Noise Sensitive Window	Criterion dB(A)	Noise Level at Receiver dB(A)				
Helios GBW 500/4 Fan Unit						
Helios GBW 500/4 Sound Pressure Level (dBA) (Extract)	34 dB(A)	32 dB(A)				

Helios GBW 500/4		
Sound Pressure Level	34 dB(A)	34 dB(A)
(dBA) (Case Breakout)		

Table 5.2 Predicted noise level and criterion at nearest noise sensitive locations

As shown in Appendix B and Table 5.2, transmission of noise to the nearest sensitive windows due to the effects of the kitchen extraction system would satisfy the emissions criterion set. However, noise control elements should be adopted. These are shown in Section 5.2.

4.2 Noise Control Strategy

Air Extraction System

In order to render all noise emissions to within the criterion, we would recommend the installation of an inline silencer directly after the extraction fan with the following spectral attenuation envelope:

	Helios GBW 500/4 Extraction Fan							
	F	Required attenuation (dB) in each Frequency Band						d
Mitigation Type	63Hz	125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz	8kHz
In-Line Silencer, 1200mm long, (45% Free area)	-3	-7	-14	-21	-27	-26	-17	-12

Table 5.3: Spectral attenuation required from proposed silencer

Case Breakout Noise Control

In order to render all noise emissions to within the criterion and to protect the amenity of the residential receiver that is 2m from the Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extract Fan, we would recommend the installation of an acoustic enclosure with louvres as specified in table 5.5.

	Helios GBW 500/4 Extraction Fan							
		Insertion Loss (dB) in each Frequency Band						
Mitigation Type	63Hz	125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz	8kHz
Acoustic Louvres	-5	-7	-13	-16	-19	-20	-18	-14

Table 5.5 Spectral insertion loss required from proposed louvres

5.0 CONCLUSION

An environmental noise survey has been undertaken at 73A Heath Street, London by KP Acoustics Ltd between 11:00 on 01 June 2016 and 11:00 on 02 June 2016 in order to assess the current noise levels in the area of the proposed kitchen extraction system. The results of the survey have enabled criteria to be set for noise emissions for the proposed installation.

Using manufacturer noise data, noise levels have been calculated to the nearest noise sensitive receivers for compliance with current requirements.

Calculations undertaken by KP Acoustics Ltd. show that the noise emissions from the proposed kitchen extraction system would meet the criterion of the London Borough of Camden, provided that the noise control measures shown in section 4.2 are adopted.

Report by


Aidan Tolkien AMIOA

KP Acoustics Ltd

Checked by

Kyriakos Papanagiotou MIOA

KP Acoustics Ltd




Title:

Indicative site plan showing noise monitoring position (Image Source: Emily Greeves Architects)

Date: 03 June 2016

FIGURE 14296.SP1





APPENDIX A



GENERAL ACOUSTIC TERMINOLOGY

Decibel scale - dB

In practice, when sound intensity or sound pressure is measured, a logarithmic scale is used in which the unit is the 'decibel', dB. This is derived from the human auditory system, where the dynamic range of human hearing is so large, in the order of 10¹³ units, that only a logarithmic scale is the sensible solution for displaying such a range.

Decibel scale, 'A' weighted - dB(A)

The human ear is less sensitive at frequency extremes, below 125Hz and above 16Khz. A sound level meter models the ears variable sensitivity to sound at different frequencies. This is achieved by building a filter into the Sound Level Meter with a similar frequency response to that of the ear, an A-weighted filter where the unit is dB(A).

L_{eq}

The sound from noise sources often fluctuates widely during a given period of time. An average value can be measured, the equivalent sound pressure level $L_{\rm eq}$. The $L_{\rm eq}$ is the equivalent sound level which would deliver the same sound energy as the actual fluctuating sound measured in the same time period.

L_{10}

This is the level exceeded for no more than 10% of the time. This parameter is often used as a "not to exceed" criterion for noise.

L₉₀

This is the level exceeded for no more than 90% of the time. This parameter is often used as a descriptor of "background noise" for environmental impact studies.

L_{max}

This is the maximum sound pressure level that has been measured over a period.

Octave Bands

In order to completely determine the composition of a sound it is necessary to determine the sound level at each frequency individually. Usually, values are stated in octave bands. The audible frequency region is divided into 11 such octave bands whose centre frequencies are defined in accordance with international standards. These centre frequencies are: 16, 31.5, 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000 and 16000 Hertz.

Environmental noise terms are defined in BS7445, *Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise*.

APPENDIX A



APPLIED ACOUSTIC TERMINOLOGY

Addition of noise from several sources

Noise from different sound sources combines to produce a sound level higher than that from any individual source. Two equally intense sound sources operating together produce a sound level which is 3dB higher than a single source and 4 sources produce a 6dB higher sound level.

Attenuation by distance

Sound which propagates from a point source in free air attenuates by 6dB for each doubling of distance from the noise source. Sound energy from line sources (e.g. stream of cars) drops off by 3dB for each doubling of distance.

Subjective impression of noise

Hearing perception is highly individualised. Sensitivity to noise also depends on frequency content, time of occurrence, duration of sound and psychological factors such as emotion and expectations. The following table is a guide to explain increases or decreases in sound levels for many scenarios.

Change in sound level (dB)	Change in perceived loudness
1	Imperceptible
3	Just barely perceptible
6	Clearly noticeable
10	About twice as loud

Transmission path(s)

The transmission path is the path the sound takes from the source to the receiver. Where multiple paths exist in parallel, the reduction in each path should be calculated and summed at the receiving point. Outdoor barriers can block transmission paths, for example traffic noise. The effectiveness of barriers is dependent on factors such as its distance from the noise source and the receiver, its height and construction.

Ground-borne vibration

In addition to airborne noise levels caused by transportation, construction, and industrial sources there is also the generation of ground-borne vibration to consider. This can lead to structure-borne noise, perceptible vibration, or in rare cases, building damage.

Sound insulation - Absorption within porous materials

Upon encountering a porous material, sound energy is absorbed. Porous materials which are intended to absorb sound are known as absorbents, and usually absorb 50 to 90% of the energy and are frequency dependent. Some are designed to absorb low frequencies, some for high frequencies and more exotic designs being able to absorb very wide ranges of frequencies. The energy is converted into both mechanical movement and heat within the material; both the stiffness and mass of panels affect the sound insulation performance.

APPENDIX B

73A Heath Street, London

Proposed Kitchen Extraction System

Source: Proposed Kitchen Extraction System		Frequency, Hz							
Receiver: Nearest Residential Window	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	dB(A)
Sound Pressure Level as provided by manufacturer									
Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extraction Fan at 4m (Extract)									57
Correction to Sound Pressure Level at 1m									12
Distance correction to receiver, dB (5m)									-14
Attenuation from Inline Silencer, dB (1200mm/45% Free Area)	3	7	14	21	27	26	17	12	-23
Sound Pressure level from Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extraction Fan									32

Design Criterion 34

Source: Proposed Kitchen Extraction System		Frequency, Hz							
Receiver: Nearest Residential Window	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	dB(A)
Sound Pressure Level as provided by manufacturer									
Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extraction Fan at 4m (Extract) (Case Breakout)									45
Correction to Sound Pressure Level at 1m									12
Correction for reflections, dB									3
Distance correction to receiver, dB (2m)									-6
Attenuation required by proposed acoustic louvres	5	7	13	16	19	20	18	14	-20
Sound Pressure level from Helios GBW 500/4 Kitchen Extraction Fan									34

Design Criterion

34