

Dike, Darlene

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 May 2016 12:41
To: Dike, Darlene
Subject: Re: 12 Brookfield Park

Categories: Orange Category

Dear Darlene Dike,

Re your inquiry about DPCAAC comments on 12 Brookfield Pk, PA 2016/1246/P, we objected because the extension broke the CPG1 list of acceptable features: it is not secondary to the building extended in form or detailing, does not preserve the original design of the rear or the historic pattern of neighbouring houses. Were the uniform rear glazing divided to indicate the original pattern that wd be acceptable.

M.Port for DPCAAC.

On Thu, May 19, 2016 at 1:35 PM, Michael Port [REDACTED] wrote:
Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Advisory Committee. [REDACTED]

Dear Marlene Dike,

In response to your inquiry about our comments on No.12 Brookfield Park, PA 2016/1246/P, we regretted that the extension broke the CPG1 list of acceptable features, in that it is not secondary to the building being extended in form or detailing, does not preserve the original design of the rear or the historic pattern of neighbouring houses. Were the uniform glazing across the rear extension divided to indicate the original pattern, that would be acceptable.

Regards,

M.Port for DPCAAC



Virus-free. www.avast.com