

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2992/P	Suzanne Barber	Sunbury Fitzroy Park N6 6HX	01/07/2016 13:37:07	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to this application because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1 The house will be far too big for the site.2 My garden at Sunbury will be overlooked - violated - by the extension. The tree cover claimed in the application is utterly wrong.3 The extension would not be "subservient" - it will dominate.4 This application follows the disastrous acceptance by the Council of the application to remove a beautiful oak tree where the extension will be.5 The impact on Fitzroy Close and neighbouring properties, including my own, will be significant. <p>The application should be rejected.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/2992/P	Steve Barber	Sunbury Fitzroy Park N6 6HX	01/07/2016 13:29:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing as probably the most impacted neighbour to object against this planning application.</p> <p>My reasons for objection are various:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1 The proposals will entail further increasing the size of the footprint of this house which is already far too large for the small site. The first floor extension will extend some 4.5 metres.2 The proposed extension will be clearly visible from – and will dominate – my garden. The application claims that the proposed extension will be screened by trees in my garden (Sunbury). This is patently not the case as even the most cursory examination shows. There is one tree, slightly above where the proposed extension will be and not even this is evergreen. In summary, the application is wrong on this claim.3 The proposed extension is hardly “subservient” to the existing building, both for the neighbours in Fitzroy Close and myself and my neighbours at Ashridge. In fact, the proposed extension will dominate Fitzroy Close with massive extra windows and a balcony, looking straight in to neighbours houses.4 Although perhaps not directly relevant to the current considerations of the Council, this proposed extension is part of a long term plan by the applicants which entailed removing a huge tree from where the extension will be (of course there are no roots to disturb since the beautiful old oak tree has been removed – I believe that the Council was blatantly misled on this) and then getting planning permission for an extension to the roof, raising the height of the building. Together, these have vastly increased the size of the building to what to me is an unacceptable size.5 The application states that the proposed extension will not impact neighbouring properties detrimentally, with only the elevation facing Fitzroy Park being visible since it claims that the proposed extension facing my garden is shielded by trees. I fundamentally disagree with this contention as noted above. The drawing that shows the South elevation to the rear clearly demonstrates this. We will have a solid wall to look at. <p>I trust that these objections will result in the Council rejecting this application.</p>
